

# Determining normalization factor for decay involving transient equilibrium

Caroline Nesaraja Oak Ridge National Laboratory NSDD 2019

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



# <sup>137</sup>La: <sup>137</sup>Ce $\varepsilon$ decay

Determine decay scheme normalization involving transient equilibrium intensities

Normalization can be calculated if the relative intensities are known with respect to a transition in a daughter or further down in the decay chain provided that the sample is in transient equilibrium and the absolute intensity is known for some transition in the decay chain





#### Transient equilibrium from Bateman Equation





Activity Equilibrium correction factor



Open slide master to edit

# <sup>137</sup>La: <sup>137</sup>Ce $\varepsilon$ decay <sup>137</sup>Ce<sup>m</sup> (Parent $T_{1/2}$ = 34.4 (3) hr) 254γ $\epsilon + \beta^+$ decay <sup>137</sup>Ce g.s (Daughter T<sub>1/2</sub>= 9.0 (3) hr) 447γ <sup>137</sup>La

Activity Equilibrium correction factor =  $\frac{T_p}{T_p - T_d} = \frac{34.4}{34.4 - 9.0} = 1.354$  (17)





# It's a bit of a sticky wicket to determine the NR

# Experiment: 1975He20

- Henry et.al (1975)
- Measured γ and conversion electron
- Hslcc
- At equilibrium, the total ε+β+ decay from the <sup>137</sup>Ce g.s (9 hr) is the total intensity of the isomeric 254γ.
- In transient equilibrium spectra

#### I(254γ)/I(477γ)= 4.91 (15)

• NR= 0.0224 (10)

### Evaluation: ENSDF

- Current ENSDF database
- Determined normalization factor NR using activity Equilibrium correction factor
- Brlcc
- NR= 0.0168 (8)

# Experiment: 2012To09

- Torrel & Krane (2012)
- Measured γ
- Authors used normalization factor from ENSDF
- NR= 0.0168 (8)

#### In transient equilibrium:

$$I(254\gamma)/I(447\gamma) = 4.91 (15)$$
 (1)

At equilibrium, the total  $\varepsilon$ + $\beta$ + decay from the <sup>137</sup>Ce g.s (9 hr) is the total intensity of the isomeric 254 $\gamma$ .

Hence  $TI(254\gamma)$  can be set to 100 decays of the gs

 $TI(254\gamma)=I(254\gamma)(1+\alpha)=100$  (2)

Combine equation (1) and (2)

 $I(447\gamma) = [100/(1+\alpha)] / 4.91 (15)$ 

i) with a (254g) (Hslcc)=8.08 (25)

 $I(447\gamma)= 2.24$  (9) per 100 <sup>137</sup>Ce g.s (9 hr)

NR=2.24 (9)/1000 =0.00224 (9)

ii) with Activity Equilibrium correction factor =1.354 (17)

 $I(447\gamma)=2.24/1.354$  (17)=1.68 (8) per 100 <sup>137</sup>Ce g.s (9 hr)

#### 1975He20

----

TABLE I.  $\gamma$  rays which follow <sup>137</sup>Ce<sup>s</sup> decay.

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}$ (rel.) <sup>a</sup>	Assignment from-to
10.56 (4) <sup>b,c</sup>		10-0
148.83 (8)	0.5 (2)	641-493
217.03 (5)	2.2 (3)	926-709
433.22 (9)	29.1 (15)	926-493
436.59 (9)	149 (5)	447-10
447.15 (8)	1000 <sup>d</sup>	447-0
479.12(10)	6.7 (3)	926-447
482.47(10)	25.7 (9)	493-10
493.03(10)	5.9 (3)	493-0
529.3 (2)?	0.2 (1)	(1171-641)
631.38 (6)	7.5 (4)	641-10
678.26(12)	0.5 (2)	1171-493
698.72(11)	17.5 (9)	709-10
709.72(11)	0.6 (1)	709-0
724.4 (3)	0.4 (2)	1171-447
770.97(10)	3.4 (2)	781-10
781.57(13)	1.7 (2)	781-0
915.80(13)	28.9 (10)	926-10
926.35(13)	19.0 (7)	926-0
1160.85(22)	0.84 (8)	1171-0

<sup>a</sup> To obtain absolute photon intensities, multiply by 0.00224(10).

10.56 keV obtained from energy differences of cascade and crossover transitions,  $E_{\gamma} = 10.61$  keV using a LEPS; see text.

<sup>c</sup> Uncertainties in the last significant figures are shown in parentheses.

<sup>d</sup> In transient equilibrium spectra  $I(254\gamma)/I(447\gamma)$ = 4.91(15).

#### $\gamma(^{137}La)$

Iy normalization: from I(254 $\gamma$ )/I(447 $\gamma$ )=4.91 *15* in a transient equilibrium  $\gamma$ -spectrum of 9.0 h and 34.4 h <sup>137</sup>Ce. The correction factor for the  $\gamma$ -ray intensities from <sup>137</sup>Ce(9.0 h) is 34.4 *3*/[34.4 *3* – 9.0 *3*] = 1.354 *16*, where 34.4 h *3* is the half-life of <sup>137m</sup>Ce, and 9.0 h *3* the half-life of <sup>137</sup>Ce ground state. Thus the normalization factor becomes I $\gamma$ (447)/[I $\gamma$ (254)x(1+ $\alpha$ )]x 1/1.354 *16* = (1/4.91 *15*)x(1/(1+7.93 *12*))x(1/1.354 *16*) = 0.0168 *6*, where  $\alpha$ =7.93 *12* is the M4 conversion coefficient of 254 $\gamma$ . However, since in our scale of relative intensities we use I $\gamma$ (447)=1000, then I $\gamma$  normalization=0.00168 *6*.

#### $I(447\gamma)=100$ $\alpha=7.93$ (12)(Brlcc) CF=1.354 (16) ENSDF Database: NR= 0.0168 (6)

<sup>137</sup>Ce ε decay:9.11 h:XUNDL-3 2012To09 (continued)

#### $\gamma(^{137}La)$

Iy normalization: See detailed comment in 137CE EC DECAY (9.0 H) dataset in ENSDF database.

 $I(447\gamma)=100$   $\alpha=7.93$  (12)(Brlcc) CF=1.354 (16)

XUNDL: NR= 0.0168 (6)

<sup>137</sup>Ce ε decay (9.11 h) 2012To09,1975He20 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{137}La)$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: At transient equilibrium, the total <sup>137g</sup>Ce  $\varepsilon + \beta$  decay equals to the total intensity of the 254 $\gamma$  with I(254 $\gamma$ )/I(447 $\gamma$ )=4.91 *15* in a transient equilibrium  $\gamma$ -spectrum of 9.11 h and 34.80 h <sup>137</sup>Ce given by 1975He20. The evaluator assumes that the correction factor for the  $\gamma$ -ray intensities from <sup>137</sup>Ce(9.11 h) which is 34.80 *3*/[34.80 *3* - 9.11 *3*]= 1.354 *16*, where 34.80 h *3* is the half-life of <sup>137m</sup>Ce, and 9.11 h *3* the half-life of <sup>137</sup>Ce ground state has been already taken into account by the authors in 1975He20. Thus the normalization factor is I $\gamma$ (447)/[I $\gamma$ (254)x(1+ $\alpha$ )]=(1/4.91 *15*)x(1/(1+7.93 *12*)))=0.0228 *8*, where  $\alpha$ =7.93 *12* (BrICC) is the M4 conversion coefficient of 254.283 $\gamma$  (E $\gamma$  from 2012To09).

#### $I(447\gamma)=100$ $\alpha=7.93$ (12)(Brlcc)

## Current Evaluation: NR= 0.0228 (8)

