



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

NUCLEAR DATA SERVICES

DOCUMENTATION SERIES OF THE IAEA NUCLEAR DATA SECTION

IAEA-NDS-0235

December 2020

EXFORTABLES-1.0

An experimental nuclear reaction database based on EXFOR

Arjan Koning

International Atomic Energy Agency
P.O. Box 100, A-1400 Vienna
AUSTRIA

Nuclear Data Section
International Atomic Energy Agency
P.O. Box 100
A-1400 Vienna
Austria

E-mail: NDS.Contact-Point@iaea.org
Fax: (43-1)26007
Telephone: (43-1)2600-21725
Web: <http://www-nds.iaea.org>

EXFORTABLES-1.0

An experimental nuclear reaction database based on EXFOR

Arjan Koning

IAEA NDS Document Series IAEA-NDS-235, December 2020

Copyright © 2020 Arjan Koning

NDS.IAEA.ORG/TALYS

EXFORTABLES is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later version. This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License in Appendix A for more details.

Typesetting: The Legrand Orange Book, LaTeX Template, Version 2.1.1 (14/2/16), downloaded from: www.LaTeXTemplates.com. Original author: Mathias Legrand (legrand.mathias@gmail.com) with modifications by: Vel (vel@latextemplates.com). License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/.

First printing, December 2020

About the author



Arjan Koning is a nuclear physicist with a Masters Degree in Theoretical physics at the Univ. of Amsterdam, a PhD in the Natural Sciences on Multi-step direct reactions at the Univ. of Groningen, and a professorship at the Univ. of Uppsala on theoretical nuclear reactions.

Arjan is currently Head of the Nuclear Data Section at the IAEA in Vienna. Before that, he has worked at ECN/NRG Petten, the Netherlands, on nuclear reaction data for science and technology, and as guest scientist at CEA/Bruyeres-le-Chatel and Los Alamos National Laboratory on the development of nuclear reaction models. He has led various students to PhD degrees, has coordinated and chaired various international nuclear data projects such as the OECD/NEA JEFF and WPEC projects, and has advised governments and international organisations on nuclear research and development. Among his scientific accomplishments are innovations in nuclear reaction physics, especially the optical model and pre-equilibrium reactions, the TALYS nuclear model code, Total Monte Carlo uncertainty propagation and the TENDL nuclear data library. As of end-2020, his h-index is 52 with more than 14 000 citations.

Although Arjan has finally accepted leadership offers that he could no longer refuse, he aims to keep his scientific creativity alive by maintaining and extending TALYS + all products that emerge from that. Pleas from his friends to also spend time on other things are sometimes honored.

Preface

EXFORTABLES is a directory-structured database with experimental nuclear reaction data. It is entirely based on the international experimental nuclear reaction database EXFOR but, unlike EXFOR, contains the data in an easy accessible *projectile/element/mass/reaction* directory structure. A code *exfortables.f90* has been written which reads in the entire EXFOR database and produces EXFORTABLES. During this database translation, statistical tests are performed on almost the entire EXFOR database and the results of these tests are written to various diagnostic files, which can be taken into account by the Nuclear Reaction Data Centres network to correct EXFOR.

The idea to make EXFORTABLES was born in 2006, when I argued that in these times of strongly increasing computer power, the mining of experimental data from EXFOR, and assessing their correctness, is rapidly becoming one of the main delaying factors in data evaluation. Fortunately, several others had the same opinion and soon the NEA WPEC Subgroup 30 on the "Quality improvement of the EXFOR database" took off to address this issue. The current database is one of the results of that.

At certain moments in time, a well-defined version of EXFORTABLES is frozen. You are now reading the tutorial of version 1.0. Until 2020, EXFORTABLES was called NEWBASE.

For some reason, it took me 10 years to release this software and tutorial, so meanwhile other initiatives and visions on the availability of EXFOR are starting to emerge. EXFORTABLES translates the entire EXFOR database at once while it may be more flexible to have user-defined EXFOR retrievals via a dedicated API. Until that has been accomplished, there is EXFORTABLES, and later the results from the two approaches can always be compared.

License, contact and reference

As mentioned on the first page, in the source code and detailed in the last Appendix, EXFORTABLES falls in the category of GNU General Public License software.

In addition to the GNU GPL *terms* I have a *request*:

- When EXFORTABLES is used for your reports, publications, etc., please make a proper reference to the code. At the moment this is:

When you refer to the application of this software:

A.J. Koning, Bayesian Monte Carlo method for nuclear data evaluation, Eur. Phys. Journ. A51(12) 1 (2015).

When you refer to something particular of this tutorial:

A.J. Koning, EXFORTABLES-1.0: An experimental nuclear reaction database based on EXFOR, IAEA NDS Document Series IAEA(NDS)-235, December 2020

- Please inform me about, or send, extensions you have built into EXFORTABLES. Of course, proper credit will be given to the authors of such extensions in future versions of the code.
- Informing me about your use of EXFORTABLES in reports and publications will help me to maintain the EXFORTABLES-bibliography.

Although I have invested a lot of effort in the validation of our code, I will not make the mistake to guarantee perfection. Therefore, in exchange for the free use of EXFORTABLES: If you find any errors, or in general have any comments, corrections, extensions, questions or advice, I would like to hear about it at A.Koning@iaea.org. The webpage for EXFORTABLES is nds.iaea.org/talys.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank a few persons who have contributed to the present state of EXFORTABLES:

- Viktor Zerkin, for his ongoing effort to translate the original EXFOR (X4) database into a computational format database XC4.
- Present and past IAEA staff responsible for EXFOR, Naohiko Otsuka, Otto Schwerer, Svetlana Dunaeva, made an essential contribution by correcting the errors that are revealed by projects like this.
- Dimitri Rochman helped with the initial “total database retrievals” when I started this in 2006.
- The members of the NEA WPEC Subgroup 30 on *Quality improvement of the EXFOR database* are acknowledged for their helpful feedback.
- Amanda Lewis and Denise Neudecker for starting WPEC Subgroup 50 on an *Automatically readable, comprehensive, curated experimental reaction database*, which made me realize to (finally) release my database and software.
- NEA Data Bank, in particular Hans Henriksson, Nicolas Soppera and Emmeric Dupont have given important advice on how to proceed with the EXFOR checking process, and for funding an important part of this work.

Arjan Koning

Contents

1	Introduction	9
1.1	This tutorial	10
2	Installation and getting started	13
2.1	The EXFORTABLES package	13
2.2	The exfortables.f90 code	14
3	The experimental nuclear database	15
3.1	Cross sections	15
3.2	Residual production cross sections	17
3.3	Angular distributions	18
3.3.1	Elastic scattering angular distributions	18
3.3.2	Inelastic scattering and other angular distributions	19
3.4	Single-differential particle spectra	20
3.5	Double-differential particle spectra	20
3.6	Other types of data	21
3.6.1	Ratio data	21
3.6.2	Resonance data	21
3.6.3	Resonance integrals	21
3.6.4	Fission yields	21
3.6.5	Average number of fission neutrons	21
4	Testing the EXFOR database	23
4.1	Global statistics	23

4.2	Goodness-of-fit estimators as an EXFOR test	24
4.2.1	Nuclear data libraries and TALYS	25
4.2.2	Traditional goodness-of-fit estimators	26
4.2.3	The p-value, the ultimate goodness-of-fit estimator?	26
4.3	Output of detailed statistical information	27
4.3.1	F values per bin	29
4.3.2	F values per reaction	29
4.3.3	F values per reaction, nuclide and projectile	31
4.3.4	F values per reaction and mass unit	31
4.3.5	F values per reaction and energy	31
4.3.6	F values per reaction	32
4.3.7	F values per nuclide and energy	32
4.3.8	F values per nuclide and reaction	32
4.3.9	F values per energy bin	33
4.3.10	F values per entry	33
4.3.11	Total F values	33
4.4	Comparison with nuclear data libraries	34
4.5	Experimental uncertainties	37
4.5.1	Uncertainties per MT number	37
4.5.2	Uncertainties per bin	37
4.5.3	All uncertainties	38
5	Quality scoring of EXFOR data sets	39
5.1	Scoring classes	39
5.1.1	Subentries which are not reviewed or not automatically compared (blank) . .	39
5.1.2	Subentries which are automatically compared with data libraries (T)	39
5.1.3	Subentries which are reviewed by checking the publication (R or N)	40
5.2	Various stages of quality assignment	41
5.3	Quality scores	41
6	Input description	45
7	Reference Guide	47
7.1	EXFORTABLES keywords	47
8	Outlook and conclusions	87
	Bibliography	87
A	GNU GENERAL PUBLIC LICENSE	91
A.1	Preamble	91
A.2	Terms and Conditions	92

1. Introduction

EXFOR[1] is by far the most important and complete experimental nuclear reaction database in the world. Over the past 50 years, experimental data have been added to EXFOR by various compilers who used different (and allowed!) formatting rules to store the data. The result is a database that contains, certainly for neutrons but also for other incident particles, the numerical data of almost the entire history of nuclear reaction measurements. The main question is now whether and how the user has access to all these data. What has been put in can not always easily be taken out. For the study of a few detailed reactions, web interfaces are helpful tools to retrieve a few data sets, but a genuine step forward in the production of nuclear data libraries for applications requires that *all* nuclear data that exist in EXFOR can be retrieved in an unambiguous manner, and this is lacking at the moment. Moreover, EXFOR is known to contain various errors which in the past maybe have not been reported back sufficiently by the user community to the Nuclear Reaction Data Centers (NRDC).

The fast increase in computer power and the automation of nuclear model codes, cross section plotting software, etc. have made the easy accessibility of experimental data more important than it was in the past. In fact, the retrieval of experimental data is now becoming a delaying factor in contemporary data evaluation.

For this reason, EXFORTABLES was created. EXFORTABLES is a directory-structured database, derived from the experimental nuclear reaction database EXFOR. It is a follow-up database from an earlier version called NEWBASE which was the result of WPEC Subgroup 30 on the Quality improvement of the EXFOR database [2]. There are two objectives for EXFORTABLES:

- To have all experimental nuclear reaction data readily available in logically ordered directories, with one file per experimental data set, to enable quicker and more flexible use for both humans and software.
- To automatically test all nuclear reaction data of the EXFOR database against global nuclear model calculations, in order to reveal errors in the database and to test those nuclear models at the same time.




Figure 1.1: Databases produced out of EXFOR.

This is accomplished by the Fortran code *exfortables.f90*, which reads in three groups of large databases:

- the entire “mother” EXFOR database in X4 format, here used as one file *x4all.x4*
- the entire EXFOR database in extended computational XC4 format, here used as one file *x4all.xc4*
- The world nuclear data libraries TENDL-2019 [3], ENDF/B-VIII [4], JEFF-3.3 [5], JENDL-4.0 [6], CENDL-3.1 [7], IRDFF-2.0 [8], EAF-2010 [9], for all projectiles, target nuclides and energies.

The *exfortables.f90* code processes all these data, after which the EXFORTABLES database is produced in directories *n/ g/ p/ d/ t/ h/ a/* and *i/* (heavy ions), for the various projectiles.

In addition, a directory *stat/* is produced which contains all kinds on statistics of the EXFOR database. For example, there is an automatic C/E or χ^2 comparison with theoretical or evaluated data values for all cases where experiment could be compared with the world nuclear data libraries. Suspicious data are ordered in several output files.

The whole suite of databases we use to produce the experimental nuclear reaction database is presented in Fig. 1.1 as a flowchart. The central message is that we provide an experimental nuclear data library that is much easier to access, plot, and use in nuclear data evaluation. In the process, its quality is tested by large-scale comparison with TALYS, TENDL, and other world nuclear data libraries and statistical results on that quality becomes available. One thing is certain: The EXFOR database is so large and complex, that it is impossible to accomplish an entirely correct translation into a new database. Errors may pop up at the most unexpected places, and such errors will have to be corrected in future versions of EXFOR, XC4 or the translation code *exfortables.f90*. For EXFORTABLES-1.0, we may state it is particularly complete for cross sections, while for other data such as secondary angle or spectrum distributions, ratios etc. more development is required.

1.1 This tutorial

After this Introduction, you will find the following,

Chapter 2: Installation guide for EXFORTABLES, containing a general outline of the contents of the EXFORTABLES database, and also an explanation on how to (optionally) regenerate the database yourself.

Chapter 3: The experimental nuclear database. This Chapter contains a detailed description on how nuclear reaction data are stored in a structured way.

Chapter 4: Testing the EXFOR database. This describes the huge amount of statistical information, including errors and suspicious data, that is available after this analysis.

Chapter 5: Quality scoring of the EXFOR data sets.

Chapter 6: Input description, which is only needed if you want to reproduce EXFORTABLES yourself. In that case you need to run the *exfortables.f90* code.

Chapter 7: The reference guide with all input options.

Chapter 8: Outlook and conclusions.

2. Installation and getting started

2.1 The EXFORTABLES package

In what follows we assume EXFORTABLES will be installed on a Unix/Linux operating system. In total, you will need about 3 Gb of free disk space to install EXFORTABLES (this relatively large size is due to the EXFOR and XC4 files). If you obtain the entire EXFORTABLES package as a tar file, you should do

- **tar zxf exfortables.tar**

and the total EXFORTABLES package will be automatically stored in the *exfortables/* directory. It contains the following directories and files:

- *README* outlines the contents of the package.
- *source/* is the Fortran source of the *exfortables* code that performs the entire database creation and checking of the data.
- *files/*: the entire EXFOR database in X4 (*x4all.x4*) and XC4 (*x4all.xc4*) format, respectively, and other info such as abundance and discrete levels, original quality scoring etc.
- *n/ p/ d/ t/ h/ a/ g/ i/* are directories with the entire directory-structured database, produced by *exfortables.f90*.
- *doc/* contains the documentation: this tutorial in postscript and pdf format.
- *input/* contains the input file for *exfortables.f90* used to create the database delivered with this package.
- *quality* contains the quality scores as outline in Ref [10]
- *stat/* contains files with statistical (checking) information on all processed EXFOR entries.
- *special/* contains special data files such as thermal cross sections, MACS, etc.

Most users may now directly proceed to the next chapters in which the contents of the database are explained. **Thus, you do not need to further install or run anything and can use the database directly.** However, if you want to regenerate the database yourself with the *exfortables.f90* code, continue with the following section.

2.2 The `exfortables.f90` code

There may be reasons to regenerate the entire database from scratch again, such as:

- your local changes in `exfortables.f90` which improve or extend the database,
- newer `x4all.x4`, `x4all.xc4` files,
- a different version of the nuclear data libraries for comparison.

The code `exfortables.f90` has so far been tested by us on various Unix/Linux systems.

The installation for a Unix/Linux system proceeds as follows

- In `machine.f90` you should change the pathnames referring to the TALYS levels and abundance database into your own.
- In `machine.f90` change the pathnames referring to the `libraries` database, and optionally that for TALYS results, into your own.
- **gfortran -c *.f90**
- **gfortran *.o -o exfortables**

For the comparison of EXFOR and the various NDL's, the `libraries/` directory should be installed, which contains all data from the world's NDL's in tabular format. This can be downloaded from the same website. It is also possible to compare EXFOR with various versions of TALYS, but for that first a directory with TALYS results needs to be filled. This is not provided in the current release, but could be made using another tool, called **autotalys**.

If you run the `exfortables` code, it will overwrite all existing directories. It may thus be wise to create your own new directory, e.g. `exfortables2/`. Next you create the database simply by making an input file e.g. `exfortables.inp` (you may fill the input file with options, see chapter 6) and type

```
exfortables < exfortables.inp
```

After 1 or 2 hours, the directory-structured database and all checking and diagnosis files are available.

3. The experimental nuclear database

Until a general EXFOR-API comes along, we think that the most versatile use of experimental data for direct use is made if nuclear reaction data are stored directory-wise per reaction type, with a logical filenaming convention to make everything machine-readable. Then all experimental data is directly available to a computer. Therefore, the directory structure of EXFORTABLES is of the type *projectile/element/mass/reaction*. On the highest level, EXFORTABLES consists of directories *n/ p/ d/ t/ h/ a/ g/ i/*, for neutron-, proton-, deuteron-, triton-, helion-, alpha-, photon- and heavy ion-induced reactions, respectively. These directories contain all experimental nuclear reaction data which **so far** could be processed from the EXFOR database by *exfortables*.

The next level contains the isotope, e.g. *Fe054/*, for which experimental data exists. They are given in i3.3 format, e.g. *n/Fe054/* while data for natural elements are stored under the *Fe000/* directory. One level deeper are the reaction types. In this way, it is directly visible, by the number of data files, how often a certain reaction has been measured, and also particular nuclear reaction data can be found very fast with such a directory-structure. We will discuss the various classes of nuclear reaction quantities below.

3.1 Cross sections

First of all, cross section data are stored under the corresponding MT number as defined by the ENDF-6 format [11]. Although this may be a mysterious quantity for a "pure" nuclear physicist, it is helpful for nuclear data evaluation for applications to store data in this way. To serve all communities, the entire correspondence table of nuclear reaction channels and MT numbers is given in Table 3.1. Also in each subdirectory, there is a file, e.g. *n/Fe056/xs/n-Fe056.list* which gives the correspondence between an MT number and a reaction string.

As an example, all experimental cross section sets for $^{89}\text{Y}(n,p)$ reactions are stored in a subdirectory *n/Y089/xs/103/*. For cross sections to the ground state or an isomer, the MT number is extended by a 'g', 'm' or 'n'. Inside such a directory there are various files, one per experiment, for which the first authors name, the MT number, the subentry number and the year of publication are

used to construct the filename. In the case of $^{89}\text{Y}(n,p)$ we find the following files in *n/Y089/xs/103/*

```
n-Y089-MT103-Bayhurst-11462009.1961
n-Y089-MT103-Csikai-30115008.1967
n-Y089-MT103-Klopries-31532004.1997
n-Y089-MT103-Levkovskii-402230201.1969
n-Y089-MT103-LuoJunhua-32729004.2016
n-Y089-MT103-Molla-31494005.1998
n-Y089-MT103-Tewes-11504007.1960
```

To discuss the contents of such files, let us zoom in on one particular file, e.g. *n-Y089-MT103-Molla-31494005.1998* (to let the table fit on this page, we have cut it at column 80. Consult the database itself for the full table),

```
# Target Z      : 39
# Target A      : 89
# Target state:
# Projectile    : n
# Reaction      : (n,p)
# Final state   :
# Quantity      : Cross section
# Frame         : L
# MF            : 3
# MT            : 103
# X4 ID         : 31494005
# X4 code       : 39-Y-89(N,P)38-SR-89,,SIG
# Author        : Molla
# Year          : 1998
# Data points   : 3
# E(MeV)        xs(mb)      dxs(mb)      dE(MeV)
# 1.44100E+01  2.30000E+01  6.00000E+00  1.80000E-01
# 1.46300E+01  2.00000E+01  5.00000E+00  1.60000E-01
# 1.47100E+01  1.90000E+01  6.00000E+00  1.20000E-01
# Reference:
#N.I.Molla, S.Basunia, R.U.Miah, S.M.Hossain, M.Rahman, S.Spellerberg, S.M.Qaim
#Radiochemical study of the Sc-45(n,p)Ac-45 and Y-89(n,p)Sr-89 reactions in the
#Jour. Radiochimica Acta Vol.80, p.189, 1998
#
# Library comparison
#
# E(MeV)        xs(mb)      dxs(mb)      dE(MeV)      World      F
# 1.44100E+01  2.30000E+01  6.00000E+00  1.80000E-01  2.29223E+01  1.026
# 1.46300E+01  2.00000E+01  5.00000E+00  1.60000E-01  2.31464E+01  1.157
# 1.47100E+01  1.90000E+01  6.00000E+00  1.20000E-01  2.32037E+01  1.221
#
# Average deviation:                                1.155
#
# Quality 31494005 : T1
# Date 31494005 : 20-06-2014
# Reaction 31494005 : NP: 3 E-range: 14.4 - 14.7 MeV 39-Y-89(N,
# Action 31494005 :
#
# N      F      A      chi-2
# World 31494005 : 3 1.16 1.12 0.304
# TALYS 31494005 : 0 0.00 0.00 0.00
```

# tendl.2019	31494005	:	3	1.13	1.08	0.202
# endfb8.0	31494005	:	3	1.17	1.14	0.357
# jeff3.3	31494005	:	3	1.17	1.14	0.357
# jendl4.0	31494005	:	3	1.15	1.12	0.298
# eaf.2010	31494005	:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
# cendl3.1	31494005	:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
# irdff1.0	31494005	:	0	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note that a lot of reaction information has been adopted from the original EXFOR database. All reaction identifiers, such as the target, projectile, etc, are at well-defined locations in our files for further automatic processing. Of course, the original EXFOR reaction identifier, the so-called ID-number, is also given. This is important for further judgement or treatment of the data, such as looking up precise experimental details in the original EXFOR database, quality flagging outside the EXFOR database, etc. The “X4 Code” field contains the reaction string as given in EXFOR, so that we can check whether indeed the correct translation was carried out for this particular file. The complete bibliographic information from the original EXFOR database has been adopted and is given at the end of the file. All such non-numerical information is preceded by a '#', a character which is disregarded by most plotting packages. The only non-commented data are the 4 columns with the actual experimental data from EXFOR. Since most plotting packages, and also other codes, expect data in the x-y-dy form, we have used that format too. However, sometimes there is also an uncertainty on the incident energy available, so we have put dE in the 4th column.

Below the actual data we have added bibliographic information and statistics regarding the comparison with the world nuclear data libraries. Here, 'world' is an average over all libraries. The statistical quantities are explained in the next Chapter. This can be disregarded if one is only interested in the experimental data. It is added to reveal any possible problems with either those data libraries or EXFOR.

Some caution should be used for inelastic scattering to discrete levels, which are stored in MT51-90. Since EXFOR gives only the excitation energy, and not the level number, we have to use the TALYS (RIPL) discrete level database to estimate the number of the particular discrete level. This may not always give the correct answer, so it is possible that such data may end up in the wrong MT directory. A similar uncertainty holds for discrete level (n,p), etc. reactions that are stored in MT600-840.

Note that MT numbers 201-207 are used for total particle production cross sections, i.e. MT207 contains the $(n, x\alpha)$ cross section. At incident energies below about 20 MeV these data are equal to MT107 ((n, α)). Hence, to compare calculated results with e.g. all (n, α) cross sections one may take, besides MT107, the low energy part of data from MT207 into account as well.

For the current version of EXFORTABLES, we are mostly interested in the actual data points, plus the leading metadata. In the future, we may include all original EXFOR information as well.

3.2 Residual production cross sections

Residual production cross sections are stored in the *residual/* subdirectory. Inside *residual/*, data files per residual product are stored in directories *ZZZAAA* with *ZZZ* the charge number and *AAA* the mass number of the product. Hence, in e.g. *p/Y089/residual/039088/* the various filenames for the reaction $^{89}\text{Y}(p,x)^{88}\text{Y}$ can be found. The contents have basically the same shape as that of the cross sections of section 3.1. As an example, the contents of *p/Y089/residual/039088/p-Y089-rp039088-Tarkanyi-D41670042.2004* are

```
# Target Z      : 39
```

```

# Target A      : 89
# Target state:
# Projectile   : p
# Reaction     : (p, x)
# Final Z      : 39
# Final A      : 88
# Final state  :
# Quantity     : Cross section
# Frame        : L
# MF           : 3
# MT           : 851
# X4 ID        : D41670042
# X4 code      : 39-Y-89(P,X)39-Y-88,,SIG
# Author       : Tarkanyi
# Year         : 2004
# Data points  : 16
#   E(MeV)      xs(mb)      dxs(mb)      dE(MeV)
#   1.55000E+01 8.00000E-01 7.00000E-01 0.00000E+00
#   2.64000E+01 2.80200E+02 3.04000E+01 0.00000E+00
#   3.44000E+01 2.72400E+02 2.95000E+01 0.00000E+00
#   3.69000E+01 2.15400E+02 2.34000E+01 0.00000E+00
# .....
# Reference:
# .....

```

Also here, reactions to the ground state are in subdirectories with the extension 'g', while cross sections to an isomer in 'm'.

3.3 Angular distributions

Angular distributions are stored in the *angle/* subdirectories.

3.3.1 Elastic scattering angular distributions

Elastic scattering angular distributions are stored in the *angle/002/* subdirectory. For example, neutron elastic scattering angular distributions for ^{89}Y can be found in *n/Y089/angle/002/*. Next to the authors name, MT number, subentry and the year and the term 'ang', the incident energy in MeV is used to construct the filename. The contents of *n/Y089/angle/002/* are

```

n-Y089-MT002-Becker-11511019-ang-E0003.200.1966
n-Y089-MT002-Bostrom-11130010-ang-E0003.670.1959
n-Y089-MT002-Bostrom-11130013-ang-E0001.450.1959
n-Y089-MT002-Cox-10332012-ang-E0000.889.1972
n-Y089-MT002-Hansen-12935007-ang-E0014.600.1985
# .....

```

and for example the file *n-Y089-MT002-Hansen-12935007-ang-E0014.600.1985* looks as follows

```

# Target Z      : 39
# Target A      : 89
# Target state:
# Projectile    : n
# Reaction      : (n, e1)

```

```

# E-inc      :   14.600 MeV
# Quantity   : Angular distribution
# Frame      : L
# MF         :    4
# MT         :    2
# X4 ID      : 12935007
# X4 code    : 39-Y-89(N,EL)39-Y-89,,DA
# Author     : Hansen
# Year       : 1985
# Data points :    15
# Angle(deg)  xs(mb/sr)  dxs(mb/sr)  dAngle(deg)
  9.29991E+00  6.07830E+03  4.26100E+02
  1.68800E+01  2.78380E+03  1.95400E+02
  2.40600E+01  9.71800E+02  6.85000E+01
  3.26400E+01  1.32300E+02  9.60000E+00
.....

```

Note that we have not yet performed an automatic library comparison for angular distributions in this version of EXFORTABLES.

For charged-particle elastic scattering angular distributions we produce, in addition to the files mentioned above, files with differential cross sections relative to the Rutherford cross section with the extra extension *.ruth*, see e.g. *p-Y089-MT002-Bertrand-00293002-ang-E0061.500.1969.ruth* which has the same structure as the example above.

3.3.2 Inelastic scattering and other angular distributions

Inelastic scattering angular distributions are stored in the subdirectories such as *angle/051/* (for the first inelastic level). For example, neutron inelastic scattering angular distributions to the first level of ^{56}Fe can be found in *n/Fe056/angle/051/*. For example the file *n-Fe056-MT051-Hyakutake-20690005-ang-E0014.100.1975* looks as follows

```

# Target Z    :   26
# Target A    :   56
# Target state:
# Projectile   : n
# Reaction    : Inelastic scattering
# E-inc       :   14.100 MeV
# E-exc       :   0.84678 MeV (EXFOR:  0.85000)
# Quantity    : Angular distribution
# Frame       : C
# MF          :    4
# MT          :   51
# X4 ID       : 20690005
# X4 code     : 26-FE-56(N,INL)26-FE-56,PAR,DA
# Author      : Hyakutake
# Year        : 1975
# Data points :    20
# Angle(deg)  xs(mb/sr)  dxs(mb/sr)  dAngle(deg)
  2.04000E+01  1.16100E+01  2.03000E+00
  2.55000E+01  1.50100E+01  2.22000E+00
  3.05000E+01  1.38600E+01  1.27000E+00
  3.56001E+01  1.29000E+01  1.02000E+00
# .....

```

Note that, as for cross sections, we have guessed that this concerns the first inelastic level by comparing the excitation energy given in EXFOR with the energies of the TALYS (RIPL) discrete level file. We give both values near the top of the file, so they can be compared. The contents are not full-proof, since sorting the XC4 file for secondary distributions has not yet been done adequately. In other words, use angular information in EXFORTABLES with care.

3.4 Single-differential particle spectra

Single-differential (i.e. angle-integrated) particle spectra are given in the *nspec/*, *pspec/*, etc. subdirectories. For example, neutron induced proton emission spectra for ^{56}Fe can be found in *n/Fe056/spectrum/p/*. The file *n-Fe056-MT203-Grimes-10827107-spec-E0014.800.1979* looks as follows

```
# Target Z      : 26
# Target A      : 56
# Target state:
# Projectile    : n
# Reaction      : (n, xp)
# E-inc         : 14.800 MeV
# Quantity      : Differential cross section
# Frame         : L
# MF            : 5
# MT            : 203
# X4 ID         : 10827107
# X4 code       : 26-FE-56(N,X)1-H-1,,DE
# Author        : Grimes
# Year          : 1979
# Data points   : 22
# E-out(MeV)    xs(mb/MeV)  dxs(mb/MeV)    dE(MeV)
  1.75000E+00  2.50000E-05  0.00000E+00  2.50000E-01
  2.25000E+00  2.17000E-05  0.00000E+00  2.50000E-01
  2.75000E+00  2.32000E-05  0.00000E+00  2.50000E-01
  3.25000E+00  3.29000E-05  3.24000E-06  2.50000E-01
  3.75000E+00  3.79000E-05  0.00000E+00  2.50000E-01
.....
```

Here, the first column now contains the emission energy. The contents are not full-proof, since sorting the XC4 file for secondary distributions has not yet been done adequately. In other words, use spectra in EXFORTABLES with care.

3.5 Double-differential particle spectra

Double-differential particle spectra are given in the *ddx/n/*, *ddx/p/*, etc. subdirectories. For example, neutron induced double-differential neutron spectra for ^{56}Fe can be found in *n/Fe056/ddx/n/*. In this directory, the file *n-Fe056-MT201-Marcinkowski-12811003-ddx-E0025.700.1983* looks as follows

```
# Target Z      : 26
# Target A      : 56
# Target state:
# Projectile    : n
# Reaction      : (n, xn)
# E-inc         : 25.700 MeV
```

```

# Quantity      : Double-differential cross section
# Frame         : C
# MF            :      6
# MT            :    201
# X4 ID         : 12811003
# X4 code       : 26-FE-56(N,X)0-NN-1,,DA/DE
# Author        : Marcinkowski
# Year          : 1983
# Data points   :      98
# E-out(MeV) xs(mb/MeV.sr) dxs(mb/MeV.sr) dE(MeV)
  1.25000E+01  3.97000E-06  1.30000E-07  5.00000E-01  2.47000E+01
  1.35000E+01  3.25000E-06  1.30000E-07  5.00000E-01  2.47000E+01
  1.45000E+01  2.90000E-06  1.20000E-07  5.00000E-01  2.47000E+01
  1.55000E+01  2.83000E-06  1.20000E-07  5.00000E-01  2.47000E+01
.....

```

Here, the first column now contains the emission energy. The double-differential particle spectra could not yet be processed correctly. The emission angle is still in the final column and we need a better sorted XC4 file before a better file system can be made for this class of data.

3.6 Other types of data

3.6.1 Ratio data

Ratio data have been automatically stored under *ratio/* but are still to be further investigated and described.

3.6.2 Resonance data

Resonance data have been automatically stored under *resonance/* but are still to be further investigated and described.

3.6.3 Resonance integrals

Resonance integrals have been automatically stored under *resint/* but are still to be further investigated and described.

3.6.4 Fission yields

Fission yields have been automatically stored under *FY/* but are still to be further investigated and described.

3.6.5 Average number of fission neutrons

The average number of fission neutron have been automatically stored under *fission/*, for the total, delayed and prompt fission neutrons, but are still to be further investigated and described.

MT	Reaction	MT	Reaction	MT	Reaction
1	Total	34	(n,nh)	113	(n,t2 α)
2	Elastic	35	(n,nd2 α)	114	(n,d2 α)
3	Non-elastic	36	(n,nt2 α)	115	(n,pd)
4	Total (n,n')	37	(n,4n)	116	(n,pt)
5	(n,x)	38	4th-chance (n,f)	117	(n,d α)
11	(n,2nd)	41	(n,2np)	201	(n,xn)
16	(n,2n)	42	(n,3np)	202	(n,x γ)
17	(n,3n)	44	(n,n2p)	203	(n,xp)
18	Total (n,f)	45	(n,np α)	204	(n,xd)
19	1st-chance (n,f)	51-90	(n,n' ₁) - (n,n' ₄₀)	205	(n,xt)
20	2nd-chance (n,f)	91	Continuum (n,n')	206	(n,xh)
21	3rd-chance (n,f)	102	(n, γ)	207	(n,x α)
22	(n,n α)	103	(n,p)	600-640	(n,p ₀) - (n,p ₄₀)
23	(n,n3 α)	104	(n,d)	649	Continuum (n,p)
24	(n,2n α)	105	(n,t)	650-690	(n,d ₀) - (n,d ₄₀)
25	(n,3n α)	106	(n,h)	699	Continuum (n,d)
28	(n,np)	107	(n, α)	700-740	(n,t ₀) - (n,t ₄₀)
29	(n,n2 α)	108	(n,2 α)	749	Continuum (n,t)
30	(n,2n2 α)	109	(n,3 α)	750-790	(n,h ₀) - (n,h ₄₀)
32	(n,nd)	111	(n,2p)	799	Continuum (n,h)
33	(n,nt)	112	(n,p α)	800-840	(n, α ₀) - (n, α ₄₀)
				849	Continuum (n, α)

Table 3.1: The ENDF-6 MT numbers and corresponding reaction channels.

4. Testing the EXFOR database

While producing the directory-structured database described in the previous chapter, we can simultaneously check experimental data on some basic level of correctness and quality (in terms of reasonable values). For all nuclear reaction data for which this was possible, we have compared EXFOR data with a set of nuclear data libraries (NDL) or TALYS calculations. Since the XC4 database is not yet well sorted and processable in terms of secondary distributions (angular distributions, spectra), the current comparison has only been done for cross sections. With future versions of XC4 and the *exfortables.f90* code, we hope that more data can be tested.

For each experimental energy point we search for the corresponding energy point, using interpolation, in the NDL's and provide a measure for the deviation.

Besides the NDL comparison, we have also done a global study on the uncertainties of data points in EXFOR. This will be reported in a separate section.

This testing leads to a subjective quality scoring as outline in Ref. [10].

While we make the translation from the XC4 computational database to our own directory-structured database, we do our NDL comparison, checks and statistical analyses on the fly. After about 1-2 hours, the conversion is done and all checking and statistical results are available. Though not present in this release, various TALYS options (global vs. local, microscopic vs phenomenological etc.) can also be tested against the entire EXFOR database, provided first the database with TALYS results is made, similar to those of the NDL's.

4.1 Global statistics

First of all, we like to keep track of how many entries EXFOR contains, and how many can be processed by our system. This information is given in various files in the directory *stat/total/*.

The main output file is *statistics*, which looks as follows:

```
EXFORTABLES Statistics
```

```

Date of XC4 file      : 20191212
Time of XC4 file     : 184918
Time of X4 file      : 20191211

```

	Entries	Subentries	Data points
EXFOR(NRDC)		172946	
XC4(NRDC)	16103	104970	8887277
EXFOR	23933	181369	
XC4	16103 (67.3%)	104970 (57.9%)	8887277 (100.0%)
EXFORTABLES		95995 (91.4%)	8574341 (96.5%)
Libraries		67420 (70.2%)	3581289 (41.8%)

This table shows among others that only 2/3 of the EXFOR database has been translated into computational XC4 format, that almost the entire XC4 database has been translated into EXFORTABLES, and that we have been able to do an NDL comparison for less than half of the EXFOR data points.

Various other files are produced that give insight in our current ability to process EXFOR data, and which give a first indication of the quality of the data:

- *x4toxc4.yes*: entries which are in X4 and in XC4.
- *x4toxc4.no*: entries which are in X4 but not in XC4. This list could be judged by the person doing the X4toXC4 translation, to see how the translation rate could be increased.
- *xc4toexfortables.yes*: the EXFOR entries which have been translated from XC4 into EXFORTABLES.
- *xc4toexfortables.no*: the EXFOR entries which have not been translated from XC4 into EXFORTABLES. These remaining items give a clear indication on what classes of nuclear data still need to be considered in terms of processing by the *exfortables.f90* code.
- *warnings*: entries with values which are suspicious, on the basis of simple physics rules. As much as possible the reason for this suspicion is given in this file.
- *compare.yes*: the subentries of EXFORTABLES which could be compared with NDL's
- *compare.no*: the subentries of EXFORTABLES which could not be compared with NDL's

4.2 Goodness-of-fit estimators as an EXFOR test

The comparison done in EXFORTABLES is an EXFOR test and an NDL test at the same time. All statistical results averaged per data set are available in the directory *stat/comp/*. This global comparison obviously does not replace a "true" evaluation for one particular isotope, which involves careful studying all experimental work, precise nuclear model fitting, etc. However, it has already been shown in many occasions that TALYS or the TENDL library [3] provides very reasonable estimates for many reaction processes. Hence, with the exception of certain reactions, they should be able to give a reasonably good prediction of many reaction data, and obviously we will always try to extend such predictions to as many reactions as possible in future versions. At first sight, the problem is simple: If we know that an NDL or TALYS is usually within e.g. 30% of the

experimental data for a certain reaction channel, alarm bells should start ringing if the deviation of a data set for such a channel is suddenly much larger. We note that large deviations may also come from bad NDL or TALYS performance, even if the visual agreement on linear scale is good. For example, for threshold reactions the difference between TALYS and experiment may easily be a factor of 3, close to threshold. In general the rule holds that the smaller the cross section, the larger the relative error. It is therefore important to judge not only the calculation/experiment (C/E) values, but also the absolute deviation. In several cases, it turns out that there are problems in EXFOR, and many of them can not so easily be detected with ways other than by comparing with a model code, which is why these EXFOR problems have not been discovered in the first place. The problems which are easiest to detect concern C/E values around 0.001 or 1000, (with C standing for an NDL or TALYS) suggesting the well known error of mistaking barns for millibarns. Unfortunately, the majority of cases is more difficult to judge. The current comparison may also help to solve one of the largest problems of EXFOR: reaction identifiers which are assigned in wrong, inconsistent or even multiple ways, which can be regarded as an “injustice” to experimentalists who have provided good-quality experimental data. In other words, if the NDL’s are expected to give a reasonably good prediction for a reaction and we obtain a large deviation, it may be that we are not comparing the NDL result with the correct quantity, and the EXFOR reaction identifier should perhaps be corrected.

4.2.1 Nuclear data libraries and TALYS

To judge a single experimental data point, one may compare it with various other estimates for that point:

- Other measurements for the same reaction and energy range,
- CENDL-3.1 [7]: Chinese Evaluated Nuclear Data Library (China), a general purpose library for neutrons,
- EAF-2010 [9]: European Activation File (UKAEA Culham/NRG Petten), a special purpose library for activation reactions,
- ENDF/B-VIII [4]: Evaluated Nuclear Data File (USA), a general purpose library for neutrons,
- IRDFF-2.0 [8]: International Reactor Dosimetry and Fusion File (IAEA), a special purpose library for a limited number of reaction channels,
- JEFF-3.3 [5] : Joint Evaluated Fission and Fusion file (NEA Data Bank), a general purpose library for neutrons,
- JENDL-4.0 [6]: Japanese Evaluated Nuclear Data Library (Japan), a general purpose library for neutrons,
- TENDL-2019 [3]: TALYS Evaluated Nuclear Data Library, a general purpose library for neutrons and all other incident particles,
- Nuclear model codes, in our case TALYS [12], with different options, e.g. phenomenological vs microscopic inputs, global versus local adjustment, etc.

The existing nuclear data libraries should be able to give a reasonably good prediction of many reaction data. It should of course be realised that the contents of these data libraries are already heavily dependent on the experimental data which are checked. Usually, they consist of nuclear model calculations tuned to EXFOR data, but often the experimental data are included, often through some least-squares fit, themselves as well. At first sight, the problem is simple: If it is known that libraries are usually reasonably close to the experimental data for a certain reaction channel, alarm bells should start ringing if the deviation of an experimental data set for such a channel is suddenly much larger.

4.2.2 Traditional goodness-of-fit estimators

To discover and classify problems, often a few well known goodness-of-fit estimators are used. If they are all very large, something is wrong somewhere. They are the F-factor

$$F = e^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left(\ln \frac{\sigma_T^i}{\sigma_E^i} \right)^2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

the χ^2 estimator,

$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left(\frac{\sigma_T^i - \sigma_E^i}{\Delta \sigma_E^i} \right)^2, \quad (4.2)$$

and the absolute deviation

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |\sigma_T^i - \sigma_{exp}^i|. \quad (4.3)$$

In these equations, the subscript T stands for theory, TALYS or NDL and E for experimental. In all cases, we average over the number of energy points, N, in each data set. Hence, each EXFOR subentry (data set) that contains a cross section excitation function, or only 1 point, is described by 3 average numbers: F , χ^2 and Δ , while we also keep track of all individual points F_i , χ_i^2 and Δ_i , in an extra column in the EXFORTABLES reaction database, see the previous chapter.

The F-factor is a kind of twisted $C/E = \sigma_T / \sigma_E$ value. In fact, each individual component of the sum inside F contributes to C/E if it is larger than 1, and E/C if it is smaller than 1. This is a more appropriate quantity than the average C/E, since averaging C/E values over many points may not be very meaningful if the individual values cross unity at some point. Eq. (4.1) remedies this. A value of $F=1.2$ means that for the entire data set we are approximately 20% off on average. We use F as the leading indicator in our statistical study, i.e. we sort our results in order of increasing F to identify the best and worst cases. Another standard indicator is of course χ^2 , but then the extra complexity is that apart from the central values the uncertainties given in EXFOR need to be reliable as well. This is a separate issue which will be addressed in a later section. Finally, large F or χ^2 values may be normal if the underlying quantities have a small value. To identify those cases, the absolute deviation in mb, Δ is helpful. In sum, it is best to look at all such indicators simultaneously.

4.2.3 The p-value, the ultimate goodness-of-fit estimator?

With the goodness-of-fit estimators outlined above, we test the deviation of one experimental data point at the time with various alternatives for NDL's and TALYS, also one by one, and there is an F-value for each NDL-experiment combination.

If we look at one particular experimental data point, we can also construct a probability distribution based on *all* alternative values, from NDL's and other measurements (at approximately the same energy).

One may typically have about 5 (correlated or independent) NDL's, a few other measurements, TALYS results from various options for the models etc. and, though not used here yet, other model codes, alternative systematics or Machine Learning estimates. This entire collection of information is sometimes enough to make statistically sound verdicts about outliers.

The proper quantity to do that is the p-value. In null hypothesis significance testing, the p-value is the probability of obtaining test results at least as extreme as the results actually observed, under




Figure 4.1: General notion of a p-value

the assumption that the null hypothesis is correct. Figure 4.1 explains the general notion of a p-value.

For the detection of outliers in EXFOR, we take the liberty to loosely translate this as follows: the p-value is the probability that the true cross section value is the observed data point or more extreme than that.

As an example, Figure 4.2 shows a plot of for the $^{31}\text{P}(n,p)$ reaction, for various measurements and NDL's. The lowest value at 14.8 MeV is from a measurement by Prasad(1971). Fig. 4.3 shows the probability distribution of the reaction at 14.8 MeV. Obviously, the more independent values can be found around the average (i.e. the peak of the distribution), the smaller the width of the distribution becomes and the worse the verdict is for the outlier. Information about data points inside an energy window close to the data point under consideration can be taken into account. For this particular example, the p-value, i.e. the probability that the true cross section is given by the value of Prasad *or lower than that* is 0.016.

4.3 Output of detailed statistical information

The statistical information can be further classified in various different ways, allowing for easier correction plans, and these are discussed below.

Most of the tables contain the F values for all reactions that have been compared with TALYS, with one F value per reaction, sorted by increasing F value. Other columns contain the EXFOR ID number, the number of points in each data set and the reaction string. Large F values may give an indication of problems in EXFOR, problems in TALYS, or an indication that incomparable quantities are compared. For the correction of EXFOR, the most interesting are of course the specific cases with (very) large deviation. This may range from $F=2-3$ up to $F > 10^{15}$. We note however, that the latter cases may not concern EXFOR errors at all! For example, TALYS is known to deviate from the experimental (n,He3) threshold by at least 1 MeV, resulting in a huge deviation near threshold. Often, for such cases the deviation is small on an absolute scale.

Eventually, we think the p-value will replace the F value as the leading goodness-of-fit estimator, but more development is needed for that. The p-values are given in the various statistical diagnosis files.




Figure 4.2: $^{31}\text{P}(n,p)^{31}\text{Si}$ reaction: experimental data and nuclear data libraries




Figure 4.3: Probability distribution for $^{31}\text{P}(n,p)$ reaction at 14.8 MeV

4.3.1 F values per bin

To get a global view on the comparison between the world libraries and EXFOR, we store the F values for all measurements in numerical bins for each reaction. The results are given in *stat/comp/histo* for each MT number. With these files, the number of outliers per reaction channel can be estimated. As an example *n-MT001.histo*, for neutron total cross sections, looks as follows

```
#MT = 1 (n,tot)      #Sets: 2091 Reference: World
# Fbin #Sets Cum. fraction Average F(1-sigma):      1.54201 F(2-sigma):      6.15139
  1.000  279   13.343      1.031
  1.050  400   32.472      1.055
  1.100  235   43.711      1.073
  1.150  133   50.072      1.085
  1.200  100   54.854      1.097
  1.250   83   58.824      1.109
.....
127.893    8    99.857      2.803
253.189    3   100.000      3.293
503.187    0   100.000      3.293
1000.000    0   100.000      3.293
```

in which we list the width of the F bin, the number of sets falling in that bin, the accumulated fraction of all cases (which thus always runs to 100%), and the average F value calculated for all sets up to and including that bin.

We distribute the bins up to F=3 linearly and divide F=3-1000 over logarithmic equidistant bins. Hence, the first bin contains the NDL's which deviates between 0-5% from the experimental data, the second bin between 5-10%, and so on. All cases with F>1000 are put in the last bin. The high peak at the lowest bins means good news for the nuclear data libraries and EXFOR. The cases with very high F-values probably mean trouble for EXFOR (or XC4, or the it exfortables code). The cases in between mean trouble for either the libraries or EXFOR, or both. For error determination in EXFOR, the interesting cases are in the tail of the distribution and it is probably best to start checking and working on the highest values. Note that there is always the possibility of an erroneous XC4 interpretation from our side, leading to false alarm, and hopefully this improves over time. These distributions are available for all MT numbers, and also for residual production cross sections, which are all stored in MT851, see e.g. *p-MT851.histo* for incident protons. Fig. 4.4 shows the distribution of the F-values for all (n,2n) and (p,n) reactions, in this case for TALYS, from files *n-MT016.histo*, and *p-MT004.histo*, respectively, that we managed to get out of the XC4 database.

4.3.2 F values per reaction

Another interesting check is to look at lists of sorted F values for one kind of reaction. These can be found in *stat/comp/MT/*, where e.g. the file *n-MT016.F* gives all (n,2n) reactions in EXFOR, sorted by increasing F value. This file looks as follows:

```
# Z  A  T  M  SUBENT      AUTHOR      YEAR  N      Reaction      F
 84 210 0 -1 41065002 Faddeev      1990  0 84-P0-210(N,2N)84-P0-209,,SIG 0.00
 40  88 0 -1 12763002 Prestwood     1984  0 40-ZR-88(N,2N)40-ZR-87,,SIG 0.00
 39  88 0 -1 127630042Prestwood     1984  0 39-Y-88(N,2N)39-Y-87,,SIG 0.00
 39  88 0 -1 31653002 HuangFeizengg 1990  0 39-Y-88(N,2N)39-Y-87,,SIG,,DE 0.00
.....
 69 169 0 -1 20802010 Dilg           1968  1 69-TM-169(N,2N)69-TM-168,,SIG 1.00
  3   6 0 -1 20794005 Mather           1969  1 3-LI-6(N,2N)3-LI-5,,SIG 1.00
```




Figure 4.4: Frequency table for the F-values for (n,2n) reactions, and all (p,n) reactions.

82	204	0	-1	40171005	Druzhinin	1972	1	82-PB-204(N,2N)	82-PB-203,,SIG	1.01
82	0	0	-1	40136025	Maslov	1974	1	82-PB-0(N,2N)	,,SIG	1.01
.....										
5	0	0	-1	11632013	Ashby	1958	1	5-B-0(N,2N)	,,SIG	12.7
20	40	0	-1	11520008	Arnold	1965	7	20-CA-40(N,2N)	20-CA-39,,SIG	19.2
14	28	0	-1	11520004	Arnold	1965	5	14-SI-28(N,2N)	14-SI-27,,SIG	98.1
25	55	0	-1	11684003	Nix	1961	1	25-MN-55(N,2N)	25-MN-54,,SIG	781.

where one could expect that for F-values around 1000 we probably have a barn-millibarn error. Unfortunately, not all problems are that simple. On isomeric production, there may be an inconsistency between TENDL (most other NDLS do not contain isomers) and EXFOR on the definition of an isomer, leading to large discrepancies and it is also known that in several cases isomeric, ground state and total cross sections have been mixed in the EXFOR database.

4.3.3 F values per reaction, nuclide and projectile

The most detailed sorting of the F values per reaction can be found in *stat/comp/reaction/*, which is probably the best directory to start working on erroneous cases. Here we have sorted the results in one file per target isotope, projectile, and reaction. This produces a large list of files, whereby each file directly shows the outliers from the average and from TALYS. If we look at e.g. *n-Y089-MT103.F* we have all $^{89}\text{Y}(n,p)$ reactions sorted, in increasing F-order,

#	Z	A	T	M	SUBENT	AUTHOR	YEAR	N	Reaction	F
39	89	0	-1	402230201	Levkovskii	1969	1	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.08
39	89	0	-1	31532004	Klopries	1997	11	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.15
39	89	0	-1	31494005	Molla	1998	3	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.16
39	89	0	-1	32729004	LuoJunhuua	2016	3	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.19
39	89	0	-1	11462009	Bayhurst	1961	18	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.25
39	89	0	-1	11504007	Tewes	1960	5	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	1.50
39	89	0	-1	30115008	Csikai	1967	1	39-Y-89(N,P)	38-SR-89,,SIG	2.29

The interesting cases now concern different F values for similar energy ranges (listed in some columns), indicating problems for one or more data sets.

4.3.4 F values per reaction and mass unit

In *stat/comp/MTA/* we find the F-values per reaction channel and binned per mass number of the target. For example *n-MT016.F* looks as follows,

# Average F values per mass unit for neutron and MT = 16 (n,2n)									
#	Z	A	World			TALYS			
#			F	#points	#sets	F	#points	#sets	
	1		1.24	189	46	0.00	0	0	
	2		1.28	192	49	0.00	0	0	
	3		1.28	192	49	0.00	0	0	
	4		1.32	209	55	0.00	0	0	

These files may be used to see if there is a trend of the libraries describing experimental data as a function of target mass.

4.3.5 F values per reaction and energy

In *stat/comp/MTE/* we find the F-values per reaction channel and binned per incident energy. For example *n-MT016.F* looks as follows,

```
# Average F values per energy bin for neutron and MT = 4 (n,n')
# energy          World          TALYS
#                | F      #points #sets | F      #points #sets
  0.00            1.40           11    7 0.00           0    0
  0.200           1.51           51   19 0.00           0    0
  0.500           1.76          4693   56 0.00           0    0
  1.00            1.89          7453   85 0.00           0    0
  1.50            1.65          5062   59 0.00           0    0
  2.00            1.86          5541   71 0.00           0    0
  3.00            1.28          1341   44 0.00           0    0
```

These files may be used to see if there is a trend of the libraries describing experimental data as a function of incident energy.

4.3.6 F values per reaction

In *stat/comp/MT/* we find the F-values per reaction channel, sorted by F value. For example *n-MT103.F* looks as follows,

```
# Z  A  T  M  SUBENT      AUTHOR      YEAR      N      Reaction
  55 133 0 -1 30175002 Borbely      1963      0 55-CS-133(N,P)54-XE-133,,S
  40  94 0 -1 11856006 Carroll      1966      0 40-ZR-94(N,P)39-Y-94,,SIG
  37  84 0 -1 V1001334 Mughabghab 2006      0 37-RB-84(N,P)36-KR-84,,SIG
  ....
```

4.3.7 F values per nuclide and energy

In *stat/comp/nucE/* we find the F-values per nuclide, summed over reaction channels and binned per incident energy. For example *n-Fe056* looks as follows,

```
# Average F values per energy bin for neutron + Fe56
# energy          World          TALYS
#                | F      #points #sets | F      #points #sets
  2.5300E-08      1.06           148   17 0.00           0    0
  1.0000E-06      1.07            61    6 0.00           0    0
  1.0000E-05      1.23            31    4 0.00           0    0
  1.0000E-04      1.12            13    4 0.00           0    0
  1.0000E-03      1.52            17    4 0.00           0    0
```

which may give a global profile of the description of the world libraries for a nuclide as a function of incident energy.

4.3.8 F values per nuclide and reaction

In *stat/comp/nucMT/* we find the F-values per nuclide, summed over reaction channels and binned per incident energy. For example *n-Fe056* looks as follows,

```
# Average F values per MT number for neutron + Fe 56
# Z  A  MT Iso      World          TALYS
#                | F      #points #sets | F      #points #sets
  1 -1  1.58      18600      13 0.00           0    0
  2 -1  1.08           19    9 0.00           0    0
  3 -1  1.10            2    1 0.00           0    0
  4 -1  1.98      14621      10 0.00           0    0
  16 -1 1.27           37    9 0.00           0    0
```

which may give a global profile of the description of the world libraries for a nuclide as a function of reaction channel.

4.3.9 F values per energy bin

In *stat/comp/parE/* we find the F-values per energy bin.

4.3.10 F values per entry

In *stat/comp/entry/* we find the F-values per EXFOR entry.

4.3.11 Total F values

In *stat/comp/total/* we find the total statistical numbers. The first are the average F values per MT number, together with the number of data points and data sets used in the comparison. For example, here is the top of *n-MT.F*

```
# Average F values per reaction summed over all nuclides for neutron for all
  28865 subentries with F < 3.00
# MT Iso          World          TALYS
#           | F    #points #sets | F    #points #sets |
  1 -1      1.56   1827109 2046 0.00         0    0
  2 -1      1.26    27904   631 0.00         0    0
  3 -1      1.26     757   368 0.00         0    0
  4 -1      1.74    27012   250 0.00         0    0
  4  0      1.62     81     2 0.00         0    0
  4  1      1.42    1960   230 0.00         0    0
  4  2      2.32     7     3 0.00         0    0
.....
```

Next, the file *n-nuc.F* has the F-values per nuclide

```
# Average F values per nucleus summed over all reactions for neutron for all
  28865 subentries with F < 3.00
# Z  A          World          TALYS
#           | F    #points #sets | F    #points #sets
  3  6      1.29   25434   121 0.00         0    0
  3  7      1.41    6383    59 0.00         0    0
  4  9      1.30   30897   136 0.00         0    0
  4 10      1.03     2     2 0.00         0    0
.....
```

The file *n-allreac*, is rather large since it contains *all* reactions sorted by F-value.

The file *all* has all EXFOR entries sort by F value.

The file *all.F* has the total numbers per incident particle:

```
# Average F values per projectile summed over all reactions
# particle          World          TALYS          tendl.2019
   endfb8.0         jeff3.3         jendl4.0         eaf.2010
           cendl3.1         irdff1.0
#           | F    #points #sets | F    #points #sets
gamma      1.56    24035   781 0.00         0    0
neutron    1.57  2659349 28865 0.00         0    0
proton     1.62   114866  6909 0.00         0    0
deuteron   1.68    29688  2239 0.00         0    0
triton     2.28    1663    43 0.00         0    0
helium-3   1.80     5972   657 0.00         0    0
alpha      1.72   57435  3579 0.00         0    0
heavyion   0.00     0     0 0.00         0    0
```

```
Total: 1.58 2893008 43073 0.00 0 0
```

where the last line gives the total number of points and data sets used in the comparison. These tables show that one should not take the F values too literally if they are summed over *all* data. It is completely dominated by far outliers.

There is also a file *MT.sum* which gives the number of reactions considered per MT number. The top of *MT.sum* looks as follows

```
Total number of reactions compared with TALYS
(g,tot )          4
(g,el  )          2
(g,non )          99
(g,n'  )         114
(g,n'  ) isom=0    1
(g,n'  ) isom=1    2
(g,2n  )         119
.....
```

4.4 Comparison with nuclear data libraries

Fig. 4.5 shows the distribution of all (n,2n) subentries over the various F values. Note that the term “data set”, i.e. the sum over N , can apply to one EXFOR subentry, e.g. one excitation function, all subentries for the same (Z,A) nuclide and reaction channel (MT number), all subentries for the same (Z,A) nuclide, all subentries for the same reaction channel (MT number), all subentries for the same projectile, and finally to the entire EXFOR database, or at least the part that could be compared. For all this, average F-values are recorded. In addition, all these averages can be taken for each nuclear data library (i.e. ENDF/B-VIII, JEFF, etc.) separately, or averaged over all of them. For the purpose of checking EXFOR, the goodness-of-fit for one subentry, i.e. one experimental data set for one energy or a range of energies, averaged over all libraries, is used as the leading indicator. For statistics on all reaction channels we refer to Ref. [10].

The F values can also be binned per incident energy, averaged over all nuclides. This is displayed in Fig. 4.6, for the (n,2n) subentries compared with all libraries. For isomeric reactions, only libraries with a significant amount of, or effort in, isomeric reactions have been included in the plots. The energy scale for these figures has been shifted. If we would simply plot the F values as a function of incident energy, an insignificant scatter plot would show up, since the threshold is different for each reaction, and the deviation from nuclear models is largest around the threshold. To take Q-values and Coulomb barriers into account in an empirical way, we have determined for each reaction the incident energy E_{1mb} where the excitation function crosses the value of 1 mb. This corresponds to the cross section value around which several measurements have been attempted. Plotting F values as a function of $E - E_{1mb}$ then reveals some trends which are to be expected. First, around threshold, i.e. $E = E_{1mb}$ the deviation is relatively large, near the peak it is smaller, and in the tail of the excitation function, i.e. $E - E_{1mb}$ is around 15 MeV, the deviation from models or libraries increases again. Again, consult Ref. [10] for the other reaction channels. These trends are the basis for the prior uncertainties of global TALYS calculations as outlined in the Bayesian Monte Carlo approach [13].




Figure 4.5: Distribution of F-values for the (n,2n) reaction.




Figure 4.6: F values, averaged over all nuclides, for (n,2n) reactions as a function of incident energy, for the various nuclear data libraries. E_{1mb} is an estimate for the threshold energy and is the incident energy where the cross section crosses the 1 mb value.

4.5 Experimental uncertainties

Besides the comparison with the world libraries, we have also performed statistical tests on the experimental uncertainties. TENDL or other libraries are not needed for this: we simply analyze the uncertainties as given in the XC4 database. The results are in *stat/unc/*. The results are classified in different ways.

4.5.1 Uncertainties per MT number

Per reaction channel, the experimental uncertainties for all entries are averaged per data set, sorted in increasing uncertainty and printed. Unrealistically small or large experimental uncertainties can then be identified upon closer inspection of the EXFOR file. The results are stored in *stat/unc/MT/* where e.g. the file *n-MT018.unc* gives the average uncertainty per measured fission cross section data set. That file looks as follows:

SUBENT	Rel. Err.	E-min	E-max	Abs. Err.	Rel. Err.
14229020	0.00	0.506	3.65	0.00	0.00
14229019	0.00	0.505	3.86	0.00	0.00
12562003	0.00	2.530E-08	2.530E-08	0.00	0.00
406700022	0.00	0.130	7.40	0.00	0.00
10349004	0.00	3.639E-07	1.700E-06	0.00	0.00
10670002	0.00	0.700	2.98	0.00	0.00
14229013	0.00	0.597	4.23	0.00	0.00
14229012	0.00	0.891	4.94	0.00	0.00
14229011	0.00	0.455	3.07	0.00	0.00
.....						
103460022	1.21	1.00	6.00	0.00	0.00
41303007	1.22	0.500	14.9	7.675E-02	2.82
21520008	1.22	2.530E-08	2.530E-08	0.00	0.00
40751006	1.24	1.500E-04	4.500E-02	1.040E-03	12.8
41303011	1.25	0.135	15.0	8.533E-02	5.44
20143006	1.25	8.600E-09	7.170E-08	0.00	0.00
.....						
20138002	670.	2.005E-05	5.208E-05	0.00	0.00
10323002	7.763E+03	2.401E-05	4.68	0.00	0.00
23217003	2.472E+05	45.0	73.0	0.00	0.00
10266006	2.694E+06	2.001E-05	1.997E-04	0.00	0.00
20484002	2.483E+07	3.503E-06	2.975E-02	0.00	0.00

Again, the bottom of this file, with the largest average uncertainties, probably deserves close inspection. On the other hand also zero uncertainties (meaning no uncertainties reported or compiled), or very small uncertainties (too optimistic) may be suspicious.

4.5.2 Uncertainties per bin

The experimental uncertainties per reaction channel are also stored in bins, which gives info about the average experimental uncertainty for different reaction channels. The results are stored in *stat/unc/histo/* where e.g. the file *n-MT018.histo* gives the uncertainties per measured fission cross section data set binned per value. The top of that file looks as follows:

```
#Uncertainties for MT = 18 (n,f)
# % bin      #sets
0.00         0
5.00        235
```




Figure 4.7: Uncertainties for the (n,n') reaction.

10.0	123
15.0	63
20.0	36
25.0	22
30.0	8
35.0	6
.....	
801.	0
931.	0
1.094E+03	0
1.300E+03	4

which shows that most sets have an uncertainty, averaged over the entire set, within 5 % but there are also two cases with unrealistically large values. Closer inspection of the file mentioned above will then reveal the suspicious cases, and possibly directly the error. Fig. 4.7 shows the binned uncertainties for the (n,n') reaction. Such distributions could maybe be used to assign uncertainties to measurements where uncertainties were not reported.

4.5.3 All uncertainties

In *stat/unc/histo/* all uncertainties per projectile are given, sorted by uncertainty. These files, such as *n-unc* can be inspected to investigate the worst cases.

5. Quality scoring of EXFOR data sets

An extensive review of quality scoring of many data sets in EXFOR has been given in Ref. [10]. Many of these scores for subentries have been assigned automatically, by comparing the experimental values of the subentry with nuclear data libraries. Since the criteria for quality classes may change over time with new insights, the most important results of that study may be whether the data sets were correctly compiled in EXFOR or not, which was the result of a lot of manual work! The identifier for this, either a T, R, N or E, see below, will be maintained in the future no matter what the actual numerical quality score is.

For each subentry, a data block has been created including the basic information of the subentry review and the score. This database with all the scores is kept in the *files/quality.all* file. The T, R, N or E labels are read from there and combined with the quality score as produced by the last run of EXFORTABLES. The resulting files are in the *quality/* directory.

5.1 Scoring classes

As an initial classification, the data are categorized in three numerical classes: (1) close to, (2) reasonably close to or (3) far away from other data sources (usually evaluated data libraries). In addition, a symbol (T, R, N or E) is assigned to a data set to assign the review status.

5.1.1 Subentries which are not reviewed or not automatically compared (blank)

blank **Neither reviewed nor compared with evaluations.**

The subentry is not (yet) cross-checked with information from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations. This is the default score.

5.1.2 Subentries which are automatically compared with data libraries (T)

T1 **Automatically compared with libraries: small deviations.**

The subentry contains (very) probably the reaction and data measured by the author, and although the associated publication has not been checked by the reviewer, the quantities

have central values and uncertainties which are close to other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

T2 Automatically compared with libraries: questionable deviations

The subentry contains maybe the reaction and data measured by the author, and the associated publication has not (yet) been checked by the reviewer. The quantities have central values and uncertainties which are deviating to some extent from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

T3 Automatically compared with libraries: strong deviations

The subentry contains probably not the reaction and data measured by the author, and the associated publication has not (yet) been checked by the reviewer. The quantities have central values and uncertainties which are strongly deviating from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

5.1.3 Subentries which are reviewed by checking the publication (R or N)

R1 Paper reviewed: small deviations.

The subentry contains certainly the reaction and data measured by the author, since the associated publication has been checked by the reviewer. The quantities have central values and uncertainties, which are close to other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

R2 Paper reviewed: questionable deviations

The subentry contains certainly the reaction and data measured by the author, since the associated publication has been checked by the reviewer. The quantities have central values and uncertainties which are deviating to some extent from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

R3 Paper reviewed: strong deviations

The subentry contains certainly the reaction and data measured by the author, since the associated publication has been checked by the reviewer. The quantities have central values and uncertainties which are strongly deviating from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations.

N1 Automatic score T1, but pdf of paper not available for checking

N2 Automatic score T2, but pdf of paper not available for checking

N3 Automatic score T3, but pdf of paper not available for checking

Subentries which contain errors and require specified action (E)

E1 Error: subentry contains other quantity or wrong values - small deviations.

Although the quantities have central values and uncertainties which are close to other measurements, libraries and/or calculations, the subentry does not contain the reaction or data measured by the author, but either another quantity or a slightly different numerical value. Obviously, these errors are hardest to find, since these subentries initially get a 'T1' score. Action: confirmation and correction by Data Centres.

E2 Error: subentry contains other quantity or wrong values - questionable deviations.

The subentry does not contain the reaction or data values measured by the author, while the quantities have central values and uncertainties which are deviating to some extent from other measurements, libraries and/or calculations. These are errors in subentries which initially received a 'T2' score. The associated publication has been checked by the reviewer, and the values found are wrong. Action: confirmation and correction by Data Centres.

E3 Error: subentry contains other quantity or wrong values - strong deviations.

The subentry contains reaction and data that do not agree at all with other measurements, libraries and/or calculations. The associated publication has been checked by the reviewer, and often the values found are wrong. Sometimes, no origin of the value or alternative meaning for the value could be found. Action: further analysis, confirmation and correction by Data Centres.

5.2 Various stages of quality assignment

Stage 1

All EXFOR entries that can be automatically compared with nuclear data libraries get a score T1, T2 or T3. A score T1 will probably not be changed anymore. Only if unexpected new information comes to surface, from either the experimental or modelling side, this may change. Hence, the result of this stage is:

- T1: Definite assignment in database
- T2+T3: Could or should be reviewed.

Stage 2

The papers of subentries with score T2 and T3 are reviewed. In the course of time, the boundary between T1, T2 and T3 may be altered. This depends on the number of false alarms in the T2 class, which determines whether the decision for a paper review should be more or less strict. After paper review, a subentry with an initial score of T2 will end up in R2, N2, or E2, while a subentry with an initial score of T3 will end up in R3, N3, or E3. Hence, the result of this stage is:

- R2+R3: Definite assignment in database
- E1+E2+E3: Should be corrected
- N3 (and maybe N2): should have priority for acquiring the pdf file of the paper, so that it can be reviewed.

Stage 3

Cases with score E1, E2, E3 result in a message to the Data Centres with a recommended correction. After this correction, these subentries will be reviewed again after which they may be upgraded to R1, R2 or R3 in the next EXFOR update. Hence, the result of this stage is:

- R1+R2+R3: Definite assignment in database

Stage 4

The final scores, i.e. after all corrections, will be either blank, T1, N1, N2, N3, R1, R2 or R3.

It is noted that the scoring classes may be subject to change in the future. One could for example decide to use other numerical indicators, for example real numbers instead of just the integer 1, 2 and 3. What is most important now is the assignment of an 'R', specifying confirmation that the paper contains indeed the compiled quantity and value, even if there is a (large) discrepancy from other measured values or values from nuclear data libraries.

5.3 Quality scores

The goodness-of-fit estimators have already been described in Chapter 4, and represent an average deviation between data libraries and an experimental data set. Another factor we will use for quality scoring indicates the relative magnitude of the cross section itself, namely

$$Q_i = \frac{\sigma_T^i}{\sigma_{non}^i} \quad (5.1)$$

with σ_{non}^i the theoretical nonelastic cross section, and σ_T^i the theoretical partial reaction cross section.

Now that the goodness-of-fit estimators have been defined, the reviewing classification can be defined. The F values for all experimental data sets in a reaction channel have been ranked from small (close to 1) to large. For certain reaction channels the F values are relatively smaller than for others. This can have several reasons:

- The measurements are easier to perform, so that extreme outliers are generally not expected.
- The reaction channel is easier to model.
- There are more measurements per reaction channels, so that experimentalists are more influenced by previous work.
- etc.

This also means that so called “suspicious” F values are lower for some reaction channels than for others. For each reaction channel, the F value is determined for which “1-sigma”, i.e. 68.27 % of all F values fall inside this particular F value. The similar F value for “2-sigma”, i.e. 95.45 %, is determined. This leads to the values given in Table 5.1.

The quality classes assigned to an EXFOR data set are now as follows

Class 1 : (T1, N1, R1 and E1): $1 \leq F \leq F_{1\sigma}$

Class 2 : (T2, N2, R2 and E2): $F_{1\sigma} < F \leq F_{2\sigma}, \chi^2 < 30, Q_i < 0.10$
 $F > F_{1\sigma}, \chi^2 < 30, Q_i < 0.05$

Class 3 : (T3, N3, R3 and E3): $F_{1\sigma} < F \leq F_{2\sigma}, \chi^2 > 30, Q_i > 0.10$
 $F > F_{2\sigma}, \chi^2 > 30, Q_i > 0.05$

We think that class '3' should always be reviewed by checking the associated documentation (publication), while class '2' should be reviewed if, despite the more favorable numerical criterion, the visual fit is bad, also compared to other experiments.

All papers with an 'R' classification have been reviewed, while those with an 'E' classification have been sent to NRDC for correction. When these corrections have been applied, the 'E' category for these reactions should be turned into an 'R'. Similarly, the 'N3' category should disappear for these reactions, since after retrieval of the pdf papers from other sources, the papers have been reviewed and the code should change into 'R3'. Note that there are only a few 'E's, especially when compared with the 'R's. This can have several meanings:

- By far the majority of experiments in these reaction classes have been correctly compiled.
- A more efficient reviewing criterion is needed, only a few % of the suspicious values turn out to be compilation errors.
- A remarkable large amount of experimental data has been published which deviate considerably from the norm.

Reaction	$F_{1\sigma}$	$F_{2\sigma}$	#Sets
(n,n')	1.40	5.8	213
(n,n')m	1.52	3.5	251
(n,2n)	1.25	2.50	1675
(n,2n)g	1.41	2.45	397
(n,2n)m	1.28	3.6	719
(n,3n)	2.27	23.6	84
(n,n ₁)	2.6	20.	491
(n,n ₂₋₄₀)	3.3	80.	312
(n,p)	1.31	3.55	1846
(n,p)g	1.82	8.0	188
(n,p)m	1.70	7.0	426
(n,d)	3.0	15.0	45
(n,t)	2.1	27.0	137
(n,a)	2.0	12.0	1068
(n,a)g	2.4	13.0	78
(n,a)m	2.75	13.0	196
(n,np)	7.5	121.7	148
(n,na)	7.2	6.75	54
(n,xp)	1.43	2.99	87
(n,xt)	2.00	159.	23
(n,xa)	2.07	9.07	164

Table 5.1: $F_{1\sigma}$ and $F_{2\sigma}$ values per reaction channel. A fraction of 68.27 and 95.45 %, respectively, of all F values fall inside the given boundaries. To indicate the statistical significance, also the number of included experimental data sets to come to these values is listed. Only channels with at least 20 experimental data sets have been assigned such boundaries.

6. Input description

The communication between EXFORTABLES and its users resembles strongly that of TALYS: It works with keywords which should obey some basic rules (see the TALYS tutorial at www.talys.eu). You may run EXFORTABLES with the input file below and you will get the same ready-to-use database as was delivered with this package. If you want to have a different version of the database you will have to use the keywords which are described in Chapter 7. The input file for EXFORTABLES to make the standard database is as follows

```
statistics y
tables y
x4 y
pointcomp all
qualitycomp all
lib y
talys n
xseps 0.001
Fmax 3.
dexp n
#maxentry 10000
#libspath /Users/koning/libraries/
#pointcomp tendl
#particle n
#Zmin 92
#Zmax 92
#Amin 235
#Amax 235
#Zmax 40
#eaf n
#endfb y
```

```
#jendl n
#tendl y
#jeff n
#irdff n
#cendl n
#outprocess y
#x4 n
```

Note that we have left some '#'-commented, and thus not used, keywords in this input file. These were used for some temporary tests, such as doing the database only up to 10000 EXFOR entries, with a specific path to the data libraries, comparing to TENDL only, for neutrons only, for U-235 only, only for TENDL and ENDF/B-VIII, to give detailed output for the processing, and to leave out detailed EXFOR input formation. The first 10 lines of the above input file drive the database creation and statistical comparison delivered with this package.

7. Reference Guide

In this part, all keywords will be described, one per page. The description of each keyword is as follows:

- Name of the keyword
- Explanation
- Examples
- Range of allowed values
- Default value
- Comments (optional), when we feel that some extra warnings or explanation for proper use is appropriate.

7.1 EXFORTABLES keywords

In this Section, we will explain all the possible keywords. For each keyword, we give an explanation, a few examples, the default value, and the theoretically allowed input range.

Amax

Maximal A value to process, used for quick tests.

Examples

Amax 208

Amax 40

Range

$0 \leq \text{Amax} \leq 400$ and $\text{Amax} \geq \text{Amin}$

Default

Amax 400

Amin

Minimal A value to process, used for quick tests.

Examples

Amin 208

Amin 40

Range

$0 \leq \text{Amin} \leq 400$ and $\text{Amin} \leq \text{Amax}$

Default

Amin 0

cendl

Flag to include or exclude CENDL from library average.

Examples

cendl y
cendl n

Range

y or n

Default

cendl y

dexp

Flag to use experimental uncertainty in F factor.

Examples

dexp y
dexp n

Range

y or n

Default

dexp y

eaf

Flag to include or exclude EAF from library average.

Examples

eaf y
eaf n

Range

y or n

Default

eaf y

Emin

Minimum energy (MeV) for comparison of EXFOR data.

Examples

Emin 0.001

Emin 50.

Range

0. <= Emin <= 1000. and Emin <= Emax.

Default

Emin 0 MeV

Emax

Maximum energy (MeV) for comparison of EXFOR data.

Examples

Emax 200.

Emax 50.

Range

0. <= Emax <= 1000. and Emax >= emin.

Default

Emax 1000 MeV.

endfb

Flag to include or exclude ENDF/B from library average.

Examples

```
endfb y  
endfb n
```

Range

y or n

Default

endfb y

expo

Flag to use exponential root-mean-square factor instead of power of 10.

Examples

expo y
expo n

Range

y or n

Default

expo y

eview

Flag to make ECISVIEW files.

Examples

eview y
eview n

Range

y or n

Default

eview n

filepath

Path for the X4 and nuclear structure files.

Examples

filepath /home/koning/newfiles/

Range

filepath should exist.

Default

Default: **filepath** ~/exfortables/files/

Fmax

Maximal F value per point taken into account.

Examples

Fmax 3.

Fmax 10.

Range

1. <= Fmax <= 1.e38.

Default

Fmax 1.e38.

group

Flag to group resonance data.

Examples

group y

group n

Range

y or n

Default

group y

irdff

Flag to include or exclude IRDFF from library average.

Examples

```
irdff y  
irdff n
```

Range

y or n

Default

irdff y

jeff

Flag to include or exclude JEFF from library average.

Examples

jeff y

jeff n

Range

y or n

Default

jeff y

jendl

Flag to include or exclude JENDL from library average.

Examples

```
jendl y  
jendl n
```

Range

y or n

Default

jendl y

lib

Flag to compare EXFOR data with nuclear data libraries.

Examples

lib y
lib n

Range

y or n

Default

lib y

libspath

Path for the data libraries. You should have this path hardwired in subroutine *machine.f*, but it may be helpful to easily change between different versions of the cross section database.

Examples

libspath /home/koning/libraries

Range

libspath should exist.

Default

Default: **libspath ~/libraries/**

MT

MT number to be included.

Examples

MT 2

MT 102

Range

$1 \leq \text{MT} \leq 851$.

Default

MT is not used, i.e. all MT numbers are considered

maxentry

Number of EXFOR entries that are processed. Put this temporarily to a lower number (e.g. 1000) if you want to test whether a new version of EXFORTABLES, or new input case, works.

Examples

maxentry 2

maxentry 10

Range

1 <= maxentry <= 1000000000.

Default

maxentry 1000000000, i.e. continue to the end.

outprocess

Flag for more extensive output on processing the EXFOR subentries.

Examples

outprocess y

outprocess n

Range

y or n

Default

outprocess n

particle

Particles which are included in the processing.

Examples

particle n

particle g n p a

Range

particle can be any combination of **g, n, p, d, t, h** and **a**.

Default

Include all possible particles, i.e. **particle g n p d t h a**

pointcomp

Reference for pointwise comparison.

Examples

```
pointcomp jeff  
pointcomp tendl
```

Range

pointcomp should be equal to one of **all**, **talys**, **tendl**, **endfb**, **jeff**, **jendl**, **eaf**, **cendl**, **irdff**.

Default

```
pointcomp talys
```


qualitycomp

Reference library for quality assignment. For the 'quality; of each EXFOR subentry a weighted average of nuclear data libraries can be taken or a single library can be chosen.

Examples

```
qualitycomp jeff  
qualitycomp tendl
```

Range

qualitycomp should be equal to one of **all**, **talys**, **tendl**, **endfb**, **jeff**, **jendl**, **eaf**, **cendl**, **irdff**.

Default

qualitycomp all, i.e. all libraries are included in the weighing.

remove

Flag to remove the previous database before creating a new one.

Examples

remove y
remove n

Range

y or n

Default

remove y

statistics

Flag for statistics of the EXFOR data.

Examples

statistics y
statistics n

Range

y or **n**

Default

statistics y

tables

Flag to produce new cross section database before doing statistics.

Examples

tables y

tables n

Range

y or n

Default

tables y

talys

Flag to do a TALYS/TENDL comparison for all the EXFOR data.

Examples

talys y
talys n

Range

y or n

Default

talys y

talysemin

Minimum energy (MeV) for TALYS comparison.

Examples

talysemin 0.1

talysemin 5.

Range

0. <= talysemin <= 1000. and **talysemin <= talysemax.**

Default

talysemin 0.001 MeV.

talysemax

Maximum energy (MeV) for TALYS comparison.

Examples

talysemax 200.
talysemax 50.

Range

0. <= talysemax <= 1000. and talysemax >= talysemin.

Default

talysemax 1000. MeV.

talyspath

Path for the TALYS database.

Examples

```
talyspath /home/koning/tendl
```

Range

talyspath should exist.

Default

```
talyspath /Users/koning/drip/
```


tendl

Flag to include or exclude TENDL from library average.

Examples

```
tendl y  
tendl n
```

Range

y or n

Default

tendl y

uncertainty

Flag to check the uncertainties of the EXFOR data.

Examples

uncertainty y

uncertainty n

Range

y or n

Default

uncertainty y

x4

Flag to read the original EXFOR database for more complete translation statistics.

Examples

x4 y
x4 n

Range

y or n

Default

x4 y

xseps

Minimum cross section (mb) for TALYS + library comparison.

Examples

xseps 1.

xseps 10.

Range

1.e-10 <= xseps <= 1000.

Default

xseps 0.1 mb.

xsonly

Flag to process only cross sections

Examples

`xsonly y`

`xsonly n`

Range

`y` or `n`

Default

`xsonly n`

Zmin

Minimal Z value to process, used for quick tests.

Examples

Zmin 92

Zmin 40

Range

$0 \leq Zmin \leq 150$ and $Zmin \leq Zmax$

Default

Zmin 0

Zmax

Maximal Z value to process, used for quick tests.

Examples

Zmax 92

Zmax 40

Range

$0 \leq Z_{\max} \leq 150$ and $Z_{\max} \geq Z_{\min}$

Default

Zmax 150

Table 7.1: The keywords of EXFORTABLES.

Keyword	Range	Default	Page
Amax	0-400	400	48
Amin	0-400	0	49
cendl	y,n	y	50
dexp	y,n	y	51
eaf	y,n	y	52
Emax	0 - 1000.	1000.	54
Emin	0 - 1000.	0.001	53
endfb	y,n	y	55
eview	y,n	y	57
expo	y,n	y	56
filepath	filename	/exfortables/files/	58
Fmax	0 - 1.e38	1.e38	59
group	y,n	y	60
irdff	y,n	y	61
jeff	y,n	y	62
jendl	y,n	y	63
lib	y,n	y	64
libspath	filename	/libraries/	65
maxentry	0-1000000000	1000000000	67
MT	0-851	not used	66
outprocess	y,n	n	68
particle	g,n,p,d,t,h,a	g n p d t h a	69
pointcomp	all, talys, etc.	talys	70
qualitycomp	all, talys, endfb, etc.	all	71
remove	y,n	y	72
statistics	y,n	y	73
tables	y,n	y	74
talys	y,n	y	75
talysemax	0 - 1000.	1000.	77
talysemin	0 - 1000.	0.001	76
talyspath	filename	/Users/koning/drip/	78
tendl	y,n	y	79
uncertainty	y,n	y	80
x4	y,n	y	81
xseps	1.e-10 - 1000.	0.1	82
xsonly	y,n	n	83
Zmax	0-150	150	85
Zmin	0-150	0	84

8. Outlook and conclusions

This tutorial describes EXFORTABLES-1.0, a directory structured database derived from EXFOR, containing both experimental nuclear reaction data and checks on these data. All experimental data are presented in uniform x-y-dy tables, and classified according to projectile, target nucleus, and reaction. From an automation point of view, this is probably the best until a versatile EXFOR-API is developed.

In the future, this procedure should also be applied to secondary distributions such as angular distributions and (double-)differential spectra. Before this can be done, the secondary energies and angles in the XC4 database first need to be sorted.

There are two obvious reasons to create EXFORTABLES:

- To identify problems in EXFOR and to correct them.
- To have an experimental database which is directly available to modern data evaluation using scripts and automation.

With all these results available, it is now also possible to set-up some "zeroth-order" quality flagging. Although we can never be 100% sure, it is very probable that the subentries with F-values close to 1 (where 'close' depends on the reaction channel) represent indeed the type of quantities that are reported in EXFOR. In other words, the reaction identifier assigned by the compiler for these subentries is correct, and this is flagged in our database. This could be compared to other analyses of EXFOR data, e.g. via Machine Learning or simple visual inspection of the data. Hence, we can work towards a large "verified" set of EXFOR data, while "validation" of the data would involve a more precise study of the detailed experiment and possible renormalization. WPEC Subgroup 50 on an *Automatically readable, comprehensive, curated experimental reaction database* was launched in 2020 to address this issue.

Bibliography

- [1] N. Otuka et al. “Towards a more complete and accurate experimental nuclear reaction data library (EXFOR): International collaboration between nuclear reaction data centres (NRDC)”. In: *Nuclear Data Sheets* 120 (2014), pp. 272–276.
- [2] Arjan Koning et al. *Quality Improvement of the EXFOR database*. Tech. rep. NEA/WPEC-30, International Evaluation Cooperation, Vol. 30. NEA/NSC/WPEC/DOC, 2010.
- [3] A.J. Koning et al. “TENDL: Complete Nuclear Data Library for innovative Nuclear Science and Technology”. In: *Nuclear Data Sheets* 155 (2019), p. 1.
- [4] D.A. Brown et al. “ENDF/B-VIII.0: The 8th Major Release of the Nuclear Reaction Data Library with CIELO-project Cross Sections, New Standards and Thermal Scattering Data”. In: *Nuclear Data Sheets* 148 (2018), p. 1.
- [5] A. J. M. Plompen et al. “The joint evaluated fission and fusion nuclear data library, JEFF-3.3”. In: *European Physical Journal A* 56 (2020), p. 181.
- [6] K. Shibata et al. “JENDL-4.0: A New Library for Nuclear Science and Engineering”. In: *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology* 48.1 (2011), pp. 1–30.
- [7] Z.G. Ge et al. “The Updated Version of Chinese Evaluated Nuclear Data Library (CENDL-3.1)”. In: *J. Kor. Phys. Soc.* 59 (2011), p. 1052.
- [8] A. Trkov et al. “IRDF-II: A New Neutron Metrology Library”. In: *Special issue of Nuclear Data Sheets* 163 (2020), pp. 1–108.
- [9] J.-Ch. Sublet et al. *Activation File: EAF-2010 neutron-induced cross section library*. Tech. rep. EASY Documentation Series CCFE-R (10) 05. UKAEA, 2010.
- [10] A.J. Koning. *Statistical Verification and Validation of the EXFOR database: (n,g), (n,n'), (n,2n), (n,p), (n,a) and other neutron-induced reaction cross-sections*. Tech. rep. OECD/NEA Data Bank report NEA/DB/DOC(2017)1. 2017.

-
- [11] A. Trkov, M. Herman, and D.A. Brown. *ENDF-6 Formats Manual, Data Formats and Procedures for the Evaluated Nuclear Data Files ENDF/B-VI, ENDF/B-VII and ENDF/B-VIII*. CSEWG Document ENDF-102, Report BNL-203218-2018-INRE, SVN Commit: revision 215. 2012.
 - [12] A.J. Koning and D. Rochman. “Modern nuclear data evaluation with the TALYS code system”. In: *Nuclear Data Sheets* 113 (2012), p. 2841.
 - [13] AJ Koning. “Bayesian Monte Carlo method for nuclear data evaluation”. In: *The European Physical Journal A* 51.12 (2015), p. 184.

A. GNU GENERAL PUBLIC LICENSE

Version 3, 29 June 2007

A.1 Preamble

The GNU General Public License is a free, copyleft license for software and other kinds of works.

The licenses for most software and other practical works are designed to take away your freedom to share and change the works. By contrast, the GNU General Public License is intended to guarantee your freedom to share and change all versions of a program—to make sure it remains free software for all its users. We, the Free Software Foundation, use the GNU General Public License for most of our software; it applies also to any other work released this way by its authors. You can apply it to your programs, too.

When we speak of free software, we are referring to freedom, not price. Our General Public Licenses are designed to make sure that you have the freedom to distribute copies of free software (and charge for them if you wish), that you receive source code or can get it if you want it, that you can change the software or use pieces of it in new free programs, and that you know you can do these things.

To protect your rights, we need to prevent others from denying you these rights or asking you to surrender the rights. Therefore, you have certain responsibilities if you distribute copies of the software, or if you modify it: responsibilities to respect the freedom of others.

For example, if you distribute copies of such a program, whether gratis or for a fee, you must pass on to the recipients the same freedoms that you received. You must make sure that they, too, receive or can get the source code. And you must show them these terms so they know their rights.

Developers that use the GNU GPL protect your rights with two steps: (1) assert copyright on the software, and (2) offer you this License giving you legal permission to copy, distribute and/or modify it.

For the developers' and authors' protection, the GPL clearly explains that there is no warranty for this free software. For both users' and authors' sake, the GPL requires that modified versions be

marked as changed, so that their problems will not be attributed erroneously to authors of previous versions.

Some devices are designed to deny users access to install or run modified versions of the software inside them, although the manufacturer can do so. This is fundamentally incompatible with the aim of protecting users' freedom to change the software. The systematic pattern of such abuse occurs in the area of products for individuals to use, which is precisely where it is most unacceptable. Therefore, we have designed this version of the GPL to prohibit the practice for those products. If such problems arise substantially in other domains, we stand ready to extend this provision to those domains in future versions of the GPL, as needed to protect the freedom of users.

Finally, every program is threatened constantly by software patents. States should not allow patents to restrict development and use of software on general-purpose computers, but in those that do, we wish to avoid the special danger that patents applied to a free program could make it effectively proprietary. To prevent this, the GPL assures that patents cannot be used to render the program non-free.

The precise terms and conditions for copying, distribution and modification follow.

A.2 Terms and Conditions

0. Definitions.

“This License” refers to version 3 of the GNU General Public License.

“Copyright” also means copyright-like laws that apply to other kinds of works, such as semiconductor masks.

“The Program” refers to any copyrightable work licensed under this License. Each licensee is addressed as “you”. “Licensees” and “recipients” may be individuals or organizations.

To “modify” a work means to copy from or adapt all or part of the work in a fashion requiring copyright permission, other than the making of an exact copy. The resulting work is called a “modified version” of the earlier work or a work “based on” the earlier work.

A “covered work” means either the unmodified Program or a work based on the Program.

To “propagate” a work means to do anything with it that, without permission, would make you directly or secondarily liable for infringement under applicable copyright law, except executing it on a computer or modifying a private copy. Propagation includes copying, distribution (with or without modification), making available to the public, and in some countries other activities as well.

To “convey” a work means any kind of propagation that enables other parties to make or receive copies. Mere interaction with a user through a computer network, with no transfer of a copy, is not conveying.

An interactive user interface displays “Appropriate Legal Notices” to the extent that it includes a convenient and prominently visible feature that (1) displays an appropriate copyright notice, and (2) tells the user that there is no warranty for the work (except to the extent that warranties are provided), that licensees may convey the work under this License, and how to view a copy of this License. If the interface presents a list of user commands or options, such as a menu, a prominent item in the list meets this criterion.

1. Source Code.

The “source code” for a work means the preferred form of the work for making modifications to it. “Object code” means any non-source form of a work.

A “Standard Interface” means an interface that either is an official standard defined by a

recognized standards body, or, in the case of interfaces specified for a particular programming language, one that is widely used among developers working in that language.

The “System Libraries” of an executable work include anything, other than the work as a whole, that (a) is included in the normal form of packaging a Major Component, but which is not part of that Major Component, and (b) serves only to enable use of the work with that Major Component, or to implement a Standard Interface for which an implementation is available to the public in source code form. A “Major Component”, in this context, means a major essential component (kernel, window system, and so on) of the specific operating system (if any) on which the executable work runs, or a compiler used to produce the work, or an object code interpreter used to run it.

The “Corresponding Source” for a work in object code form means all the source code needed to generate, install, and (for an executable work) run the object code and to modify the work, including scripts to control those activities. However, it does not include the work’s System Libraries, or general-purpose tools or generally available free programs which are used unmodified in performing those activities but which are not part of the work. For example, Corresponding Source includes interface definition files associated with source files for the work, and the source code for shared libraries and dynamically linked subprograms that the work is specifically designed to require, such as by intimate data communication or control flow between those subprograms and other parts of the work.

The Corresponding Source need not include anything that users can regenerate automatically from other parts of the Corresponding Source.

The Corresponding Source for a work in source code form is that same work.

2. Basic Permissions.

All rights granted under this License are granted for the term of copyright on the Program, and are irrevocable provided the stated conditions are met. This License explicitly affirms your unlimited permission to run the unmodified Program. The output from running a covered work is covered by this License only if the output, given its content, constitutes a covered work. This License acknowledges your rights of fair use or other equivalent, as provided by copyright law.

You may make, run and propagate covered works that you do not convey, without conditions so long as your license otherwise remains in force. You may convey covered works to others for the sole purpose of having them make modifications exclusively for you, or provide you with facilities for running those works, provided that you comply with the terms of this License in conveying all material for which you do not control copyright. Those thus making or running the covered works for you must do so exclusively on your behalf, under your direction and control, on terms that prohibit them from making any copies of your copyrighted material outside their relationship with you.

Conveying under any other circumstances is permitted solely under the conditions stated below. Sublicensing is not allowed; section 10 makes it unnecessary.

3. Protecting Users’ Legal Rights From Anti-Circumvention Law.

No covered work shall be deemed part of an effective technological measure under any applicable law fulfilling obligations under article 11 of the WIPO copyright treaty adopted on 20 December 1996, or similar laws prohibiting or restricting circumvention of such measures. When you convey a covered work, you waive any legal power to forbid circumvention of technological measures to the extent such circumvention is effected by exercising rights under this License with respect to the covered work, and you disclaim any intention to limit

operation or modification of the work as a means of enforcing, against the work's users, your or third parties' legal rights to forbid circumvention of technological measures.

4. Conveying Verbatim Copies.

You may convey verbatim copies of the Program's source code as you receive it, in any medium, provided that you conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate copyright notice; keep intact all notices stating that this License and any non-permissive terms added in accord with section 7 apply to the code; keep intact all notices of the absence of any warranty; and give all recipients a copy of this License along with the Program.

You may charge any price or no price for each copy that you convey, and you may offer support or warranty protection for a fee.

5. Conveying Modified Source Versions.

You may convey a work based on the Program, or the modifications to produce it from the Program, in the form of source code under the terms of section 4, provided that you also meet all of these conditions:

- (a) The work must carry prominent notices stating that you modified it, and giving a relevant date.
- (b) The work must carry prominent notices stating that it is released under this License and any conditions added under section 7. This requirement modifies the requirement in section 4 to "keep intact all notices".
- (c) You must license the entire work, as a whole, under this License to anyone who comes into possession of a copy. This License will therefore apply, along with any applicable section 7 additional terms, to the whole of the work, and all its parts, regardless of how they are packaged. This License gives no permission to license the work in any other way, but it does not invalidate such permission if you have separately received it.
- (d) If the work has interactive user interfaces, each must display Appropriate Legal Notices; however, if the Program has interactive interfaces that do not display Appropriate Legal Notices, your work need not make them do so.

A compilation of a covered work with other separate and independent works, which are not by their nature extensions of the covered work, and which are not combined with it such as to form a larger program, in or on a volume of a storage or distribution medium, is called an "aggregate" if the compilation and its resulting copyright are not used to limit the access or legal rights of the compilation's users beyond what the individual works permit. Inclusion of a covered work in an aggregate does not cause this License to apply to the other parts of the aggregate.

6. Conveying Non-Source Forms.

You may convey a covered work in object code form under the terms of sections 4 and 5, provided that you also convey the machine-readable Corresponding Source under the terms of this License, in one of these ways:

- (a) Convey the object code in, or embodied in, a physical product (including a physical distribution medium), accompanied by the Corresponding Source fixed on a durable physical medium customarily used for software interchange.
- (b) Convey the object code in, or embodied in, a physical product (including a physical distribution medium), accompanied by a written offer, valid for at least three years and valid for as long as you offer spare parts or customer support for that product model, to give anyone who possesses the object code either (1) a copy of the Corresponding Source for all the software in the product that is covered by this License, on a durable

- physical medium customarily used for software interchange, for a price no more than your reasonable cost of physically performing this conveying of source, or (2) access to copy the Corresponding Source from a network server at no charge.
- (c) Convey individual copies of the object code with a copy of the written offer to provide the Corresponding Source. This alternative is allowed only occasionally and noncommercially, and only if you received the object code with such an offer, in accord with subsection 6b.
 - (d) Convey the object code by offering access from a designated place (gratis or for a charge), and offer equivalent access to the Corresponding Source in the same way through the same place at no further charge. You need not require recipients to copy the Corresponding Source along with the object code. If the place to copy the object code is a network server, the Corresponding Source may be on a different server (operated by you or a third party) that supports equivalent copying facilities, provided you maintain clear directions next to the object code saying where to find the Corresponding Source. Regardless of what server hosts the Corresponding Source, you remain obligated to ensure that it is available for as long as needed to satisfy these requirements.
 - (e) Convey the object code using peer-to-peer transmission, provided you inform other peers where the object code and Corresponding Source of the work are being offered to the general public at no charge under subsection 6d.

A separable portion of the object code, whose source code is excluded from the Corresponding Source as a System Library, need not be included in conveying the object code work.

A “User Product” is either (1) a “consumer product”, which means any tangible personal property which is normally used for personal, family, or household purposes, or (2) anything designed or sold for incorporation into a dwelling. In determining whether a product is a consumer product, doubtful cases shall be resolved in favor of coverage. For a particular product received by a particular user, “normally used” refers to a typical or common use of that class of product, regardless of the status of the particular user or of the way in which the particular user actually uses, or expects or is expected to use, the product. A product is a consumer product regardless of whether the product has substantial commercial, industrial or non-consumer uses, unless such uses represent the only significant mode of use of the product.

“Installation Information” for a User Product means any methods, procedures, authorization keys, or other information required to install and execute modified versions of a covered work in that User Product from a modified version of its Corresponding Source. The information must suffice to ensure that the continued functioning of the modified object code is in no case prevented or interfered with solely because modification has been made.

If you convey an object code work under this section in, or with, or specifically for use in, a User Product, and the conveying occurs as part of a transaction in which the right of possession and use of the User Product is transferred to the recipient in perpetuity or for a fixed term (regardless of how the transaction is characterized), the Corresponding Source conveyed under this section must be accompanied by the Installation Information. But this requirement does not apply if neither you nor any third party retains the ability to install modified object code on the User Product (for example, the work has been installed in ROM). The requirement to provide Installation Information does not include a requirement to continue to provide support service, warranty, or updates for a work that has been modified or installed by the recipient, or for the User Product in which it has been modified or installed.

Access to a network may be denied when the modification itself materially and adversely affects the operation of the network or violates the rules and protocols for communication across the network.

Corresponding Source conveyed, and Installation Information provided, in accord with this section must be in a format that is publicly documented (and with an implementation available to the public in source code form), and must require no special password or key for unpacking, reading or copying.

7. Additional Terms.

“Additional permissions” are terms that supplement the terms of this License by making exceptions from one or more of its conditions. Additional permissions that are applicable to the entire Program shall be treated as though they were included in this License, to the extent that they are valid under applicable law. If additional permissions apply only to part of the Program, that part may be used separately under those permissions, but the entire Program remains governed by this License without regard to the additional permissions.

When you convey a copy of a covered work, you may at your option remove any additional permissions from that copy, or from any part of it. (Additional permissions may be written to require their own removal in certain cases when you modify the work.) You may place additional permissions on material, added by you to a covered work, for which you have or can give appropriate copyright permission.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this License, for material you add to a covered work, you may (if authorized by the copyright holders of that material) supplement the terms of this License with terms:

- (a) Disclaiming warranty or limiting liability differently from the terms of sections 15 and 16 of this License; or
- (b) Requiring preservation of specified reasonable legal notices or author attributions in that material or in the Appropriate Legal Notices displayed by works containing it; or
- (c) Prohibiting misrepresentation of the origin of that material, or requiring that modified versions of such material be marked in reasonable ways as different from the original version; or
- (d) Limiting the use for publicity purposes of names of licensors or authors of the material; or
- (e) Declining to grant rights under trademark law for use of some trade names, trademarks, or service marks; or
- (f) Requiring indemnification of licensors and authors of that material by anyone who conveys the material (or modified versions of it) with contractual assumptions of liability to the recipient, for any liability that these contractual assumptions directly impose on those licensors and authors.

All other non-permissive additional terms are considered “further restrictions” within the meaning of section 10. If the Program as you received it, or any part of it, contains a notice stating that it is governed by this License along with a term that is a further restriction, you may remove that term. If a license document contains a further restriction but permits relicensing or conveying under this License, you may add to a covered work material governed by the terms of that license document, provided that the further restriction does not survive such relicensing or conveying.

If you add terms to a covered work in accord with this section, you must place, in the relevant source files, a statement of the additional terms that apply to those files, or a notice indicating

where to find the applicable terms.

Additional terms, permissive or non-permissive, may be stated in the form of a separately written license, or stated as exceptions; the above requirements apply either way.

8. Termination.

You may not propagate or modify a covered work except as expressly provided under this License. Any attempt otherwise to propagate or modify it is void, and will automatically terminate your rights under this License (including any patent licenses granted under the third paragraph of section 11).

However, if you cease all violation of this License, then your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated (a) provisionally, unless and until the copyright holder explicitly and finally terminates your license, and (b) permanently, if the copyright holder fails to notify you of the violation by some reasonable means prior to 60 days after the cessation.

Moreover, your license from a particular copyright holder is reinstated permanently if the copyright holder notifies you of the violation by some reasonable means, this is the first time you have received notice of violation of this License (for any work) from that copyright holder, and you cure the violation prior to 30 days after your receipt of the notice.

Termination of your rights under this section does not terminate the licenses of parties who have received copies or rights from you under this License. If your rights have been terminated and not permanently reinstated, you do not qualify to receive new licenses for the same material under section 10.

9. Acceptance Not Required for Having Copies.

You are not required to accept this License in order to receive or run a copy of the Program. Ancillary propagation of a covered work occurring solely as a consequence of using peer-to-peer transmission to receive a copy likewise does not require acceptance. However, nothing other than this License grants you permission to propagate or modify any covered work. These actions infringe copyright if you do not accept this License. Therefore, by modifying or propagating a covered work, you indicate your acceptance of this License to do so.

10. Automatic Licensing of Downstream Recipients.

Each time you convey a covered work, the recipient automatically receives a license from the original licensors, to run, modify and propagate that work, subject to this License. You are not responsible for enforcing compliance by third parties with this License.

An “entity transaction” is a transaction transferring control of an organization, or substantially all assets of one, or subdividing an organization, or merging organizations. If propagation of a covered work results from an entity transaction, each party to that transaction who receives a copy of the work also receives whatever licenses to the work the party’s predecessor in interest had or could give under the previous paragraph, plus a right to possession of the Corresponding Source of the work from the predecessor in interest, if the predecessor has it or can get it with reasonable efforts.

You may not impose any further restrictions on the exercise of the rights granted or affirmed under this License. For example, you may not impose a license fee, royalty, or other charge for exercise of rights granted under this License, and you may not initiate litigation (including a cross-claim or counterclaim in a lawsuit) alleging that any patent claim is infringed by making, using, selling, offering for sale, or importing the Program or any portion of it.

11. Patents.

A “contributor” is a copyright holder who authorizes use under this License of the Program or a work on which the Program is based. The work thus licensed is called the contributor’s

“contributor version”.

A contributor’s “essential patent claims” are all patent claims owned or controlled by the contributor, whether already acquired or hereafter acquired, that would be infringed by some manner, permitted by this License, of making, using, or selling its contributor version, but do not include claims that would be infringed only as a consequence of further modification of the contributor version. For purposes of this definition, “control” includes the right to grant patent sublicenses in a manner consistent with the requirements of this License.

Each contributor grants you a non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free patent license under the contributor’s essential patent claims, to make, use, sell, offer for sale, import and otherwise run, modify and propagate the contents of its contributor version.

In the following three paragraphs, a “patent license” is any express agreement or commitment, however denominated, not to enforce a patent (such as an express permission to practice a patent or covenant not to sue for patent infringement). To “grant” such a patent license to a party means to make such an agreement or commitment not to enforce a patent against the party.

If you convey a covered work, knowingly relying on a patent license, and the Corresponding Source of the work is not available for anyone to copy, free of charge and under the terms of this License, through a publicly available network server or other readily accessible means, then you must either (1) cause the Corresponding Source to be so available, or (2) arrange to deprive yourself of the benefit of the patent license for this particular work, or (3) arrange, in a manner consistent with the requirements of this License, to extend the patent license to downstream recipients. “Knowingly relying” means you have actual knowledge that, but for the patent license, your conveying the covered work in a country, or your recipient’s use of the covered work in a country, would infringe one or more identifiable patents in that country that you have reason to believe are valid.

If, pursuant to or in connection with a single transaction or arrangement, you convey, or propagate by procuring conveyance of, a covered work, and grant a patent license to some of the parties receiving the covered work authorizing them to use, propagate, modify or convey a specific copy of the covered work, then the patent license you grant is automatically extended to all recipients of the covered work and works based on it.

A patent license is “discriminatory” if it does not include within the scope of its coverage, prohibits the exercise of, or is conditioned on the non-exercise of one or more of the rights that are specifically granted under this License. You may not convey a covered work if you are a party to an arrangement with a third party that is in the business of distributing software, under which you make payment to the third party based on the extent of your activity of conveying the work, and under which the third party grants, to any of the parties who would receive the covered work from you, a discriminatory patent license (a) in connection with copies of the covered work conveyed by you (or copies made from those copies), or (b) primarily for and in connection with specific products or compilations that contain the covered work, unless you entered into that arrangement, or that patent license was granted, prior to 28 March 2007.

Nothing in this License shall be construed as excluding or limiting any implied license or other defenses to infringement that may otherwise be available to you under applicable patent law.

12. No Surrender of Others’ Freedom.

If conditions are imposed on you (whether by court order, agreement or otherwise) that

contradict the conditions of this License, they do not excuse you from the conditions of this License. If you cannot convey a covered work so as to satisfy simultaneously your obligations under this License and any other pertinent obligations, then as a consequence you may not convey it at all. For example, if you agree to terms that obligate you to collect a royalty for further conveying from those to whom you convey the Program, the only way you could satisfy both those terms and this License would be to refrain entirely from conveying the Program.

13. Use with the GNU Affero General Public License.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this License, you have permission to link or combine any covered work with a work licensed under version 3 of the GNU Affero General Public License into a single combined work, and to convey the resulting work. The terms of this License will continue to apply to the part which is the covered work, but the special requirements of the GNU Affero General Public License, section 13, concerning interaction through a network will apply to the combination as such.

14. Revised Versions of this License.

The Free Software Foundation may publish revised and/or new versions of the GNU General Public License from time to time. Such new versions will be similar in spirit to the present version, but may differ in detail to address new problems or concerns.

Each version is given a distinguishing version number. If the Program specifies that a certain numbered version of the GNU General Public License “or any later version” applies to it, you have the option of following the terms and conditions either of that numbered version or of any later version published by the Free Software Foundation. If the Program does not specify a version number of the GNU General Public License, you may choose any version ever published by the Free Software Foundation.

If the Program specifies that a proxy can decide which future versions of the GNU General Public License can be used, that proxy’s public statement of acceptance of a version permanently authorizes you to choose that version for the Program.

Later license versions may give you additional or different permissions. However, no additional obligations are imposed on any author or copyright holder as a result of your choosing to follow a later version.

15. Disclaimer of Warranty.

THERE IS NO WARRANTY FOR THE PROGRAM, TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW. EXCEPT WHEN OTHERWISE STATED IN WRITING THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND/OR OTHER PARTIES PROVIDE THE PROGRAM “AS IS” WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THE ENTIRE RISK AS TO THE QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAM IS WITH YOU. SHOULD THE PROGRAM PROVE DEFECTIVE, YOU ASSUME THE COST OF ALL NECESSARY SERVICING, REPAIR OR CORRECTION.

16. Limitation of Liability.

IN NO EVENT UNLESS REQUIRED BY APPLICABLE LAW OR AGREED TO IN WRITING WILL ANY COPYRIGHT HOLDER, OR ANY OTHER PARTY WHO MODIFIES AND/OR CONVEYS THE PROGRAM AS PERMITTED ABOVE, BE LIABLE TO YOU FOR DAMAGES, INCLUDING ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE USE OR INABILITY TO

USE THE PROGRAM (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOSS OF DATA OR DATA BEING RENDERED INACCURATE OR LOSSES SUSTAINED BY YOU OR THIRD PARTIES OR A FAILURE OF THE PROGRAM TO OPERATE WITH ANY OTHER PROGRAMS), EVEN IF SUCH HOLDER OR OTHER PARTY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

17. Interpretation of Sections 15 and 16.

If the disclaimer of warranty and limitation of liability provided above cannot be given local legal effect according to their terms, reviewing courts shall apply local law that most closely approximates an absolute waiver of all civil liability in connection with the Program, unless a warranty or assumption of liability accompanies a copy of the Program in return for a fee.

END OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS