

INDC International Nuclear Data Committee

$\label{eq:Evaluation excitation functions} for \ensuremath{\,^{28}\rm{Si}(n,p)^{28}Al, \ensuremath{^{31}\rm{P}(n,p)^{31}Si, and \ensuremath{^{113}\rm{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}In \ reactions}}$

K.I. Zolotarev

Institute of Physics and Power Engineering Obninsk, Russia

> Obninsk October 2014

IAEA Nuclear Data Section Vienna International Centre, P.O. Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria

Selected INDC documents may be downloaded in electronic form from <u>http://www-nds.iaea.org/publications</u> or sent as an e-mail attachment. Requests for hardcopy or e-mail transmittal should be directed to <u>NDS.Contact-Point@iaea.org</u>

> or to: Nuclear Data Section International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna International Centre PO Box 100 1400 Vienna Austria

Printed by the IAEA in Austria

October 2014

$\label{eq:Evaluation excitation functions} for \ensuremath{\,^{28}\rm{Si}(n,p)^{28}Al, \ensuremath{^{31}\rm{P}(n,p)^{31}Si, and \ensuremath{^{113}\rm{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}\rm{In reactions}} }$

K.I. Zolotarev

Institute of Physics and Power Engineering Obninsk, Russia

> October 2014 Obninsk, Russia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	DDUCTION	7
1. M	ETHOD OF EVALUATION OF THE REACTION EXCITATION FUNCTIONS	7
1.1.	Sources of information used in the evaluation	7
1.2.	Analysis of experimental data	7
1.3.	Theoretical model calculation cross-section values for the dosimetry reactions	9
1.4.	Statistical analysis of cross-sections from the database	9
2. EV	ALUATION OF THE ²⁸ SI(N,P) ²⁸ AL REACTION EXCITATION FUNCTION	12
3. EV	VALUATION OF THE ³¹ P(N,P) ³¹ SI REACTION EXCITATION FUNCTION	23
4. EV	VALUATION OF THE EXCITATION FUNCTION OF THE 113 IN(N, Γ) 114M IN	
RE	EACTION	33
CONC	LUSION	48
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	48

INTRODUCTION

Cross section data for ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al, ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reactions are needed for solving a wide spectrum of scientific and technical tasks. The excitation function of ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction refers to the nuclear data involved in fusion reactor design calculations. The ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction is interesting also as the monitor reaction for measurements at fusion facilities. Activation detectors on the basis of the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction are commonly used in the reactor dosimetry. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction is promising regarding reactor dosimetry application for two reasons. First, due to the ^{114m}In decay parameters which are rather suitable for activation measurements. Half-life of ^{114m}In is equal to T_{1/2}= (49.51 ± 0.01) days and gamma spectrum accompanying decay has only one line with energy 190.27 keV and intensity (15.56 ± 0.15)%. Second, the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction reaction.

Preliminary analysis of existing evaluated excitation functions for ${}^{28}\text{Si}(n,p){}^{28}\text{Al}$, ${}^{31}\text{P}(n,p){}^{31}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma){}^{114m}\text{In}$ reactions show that new evaluations are needed for all above mentioned reactions.

This report is devoted to the preparation of the new evaluations of cross sections data and related covariance matrixes of uncertainties for the ${}^{28}\text{Si}(n,p){}^{28}\text{Al}$, ${}^{31}\text{P}(n,p){}^{31}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma){}^{114\text{m}}\text{In}$ reactions.

1. METHOD OF EVALUATION OF THE REACTION EXCITATION FUNCTIONS

1.1. Sources of information used in the evaluation

In the process of evaluating cross sections and their uncertainties two common information sources were used for reactions ${}^{28}\text{Si}(n,p){}^{28}\text{Al}$, ${}^{31}\text{P}(n,p){}^{31}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma){}^{114\text{m}}\text{In}$: available differential and integral experimental data. Differential and integral experimental data were taken mainly from EXFOR Library (Version April 2014). In those cases where the necessary information (e.g., decay data, reference to and numeric data about the monitor reactions etc.) was absent in the EXFOR, the information was taken from the original publications.

1.2. Analysis of experimental data

In the first step of evaluation all experimental data were analysed. During this procedure all experimental data, where possible, were corrected to the new recommended cross section data for monitor reactions used in the measurements and to the new recommended decay data.

Correction of experimental data to the new standards, in general, lead to decreasing the discrepancies in the experimental data and thus to decreasing the uncertainty in the evaluated cross section values. The needed information about standards used for correction of microscopic experimental data under investigation is given in the Table 1.1.

Recommended cross section data for monitor reactions used in the measurements of integral cross sections in ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum were taken from Refs. [1.10] [1.23-1.24]. Digital data for ²³⁵U thermal fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra were taken from Refs. [1.25] and [1.26], respectively.

Information about isotopic compositions of the elements was taken from Ref. [1.27].

Manitan nagation	Cross section used	Half-life of	Radiation mode	Emission Probability	
Monitor reaction	as standard	residual nuclei	and energy, keV	per decay	
1 H(n,n) 1 H	Carlson+ [1.1]				
⁶ Li(n,t) ⁴ He	Carlson+ [1.1]				
$\frac{27}{1}$ $(n c)^{24}$ No	Zolotarov [1.2]	14.007 (12) h	Gamma 1368.63	0.999936 (15) [1.12]	
Al(II,0) Ina		14.997 (12) 11	Gamma 2754.01	0.99855 (5) [1.12]	
27 Al(n n) ²⁷ Mg	Zolotarev⊥ [1.3]	9.458(12) m	Gamma 843.76	0.718 (4) [1.13]	
AI(II,p) Wig	Z010talev+ [1.5]	7.438 (12) III	Gamma 1014.44	0.280 (4) [1.13]	
$^{31}P(n \alpha)^{28} \Delta 1$	Gonych+ [14]	2.245(2) m	Gamma 1778.99	[1.14]	
		2.243 (2) III	Beta- 2863.27	0.99990 (10) [1.14]	
56 Fe(n n) 56 Mn	Zolotarev [1.5]	2 5789 (1) h	Gamma 846.76	0.9887 (3) [1.13]	
		2.3789 (1) 11	Gamma 1810.73	0.2719 (79) [1.13]	
59Co(n x) ⁶⁰ Co	Mughababab [16]	1025 28 (14) d	Gamma 1173.228	0.9985 (3) [1.15]	
$CO(\Pi,\gamma)$ CO	Winghaoghao [1.0]	1923.28 (14) u	Gamma 1332.492	0.999826 (6) [1.15]	
			Beta+ 2925.8	0.9760 (3) [1.16]	
${}^{63}Cu(n,2n){}^{62}Cu$	Zolotarev [1.7]	9.67 (3) m	Gamma 511	1.9566 (5) [1.16]	
			Gamma 1172.94	0.00342 (5) [1.16]	
93 Nb(n,2n) 92m Nb	Zolotarev [1.8]	10.15 (2) d	Gamma 934.44	0.9907 (4) [1.13]	
115 In(n,n') 115m In	Zolotarev+ [1.9]	4.486 (4) h	Gamma 336.24	0.458 (22) [1.17]	
			Gamma 416.90	0.272 (4) [1.18]	
115 In(n, γ) 116m In	Zolotarev [1.10]	54.29 (17) m	Gamma 1097.28	0.585 (8) [1.18]	
			Gamma 1293.56	0.848 (12) [1.18]	
127 I(n, γ) 128 I	Zolotarev [1.11]	24.99 (2) m	Gamma 442.90	0.169 (17) [1.13]	
$197 \Lambda u(n x)^{198} \Lambda u$	Mughabghab [1.6]	26047(2)	Gamma 411.802	0.9562 (4) [1.19]	
Au(II, y) Au	Carlson+ [1.1]	2.0947 (3) u			
$^{235}U(n,f)$	Carlson+ [1.1]				
$^{238}U(n,f)$	Carlson+ [1.1]				

Table 1.1. Data used as standards for correction of microscopic experimental cross sections.

Comment for Table 1.1: for beta transition the end-point value of energy is given.

Table 1.2. Data used as standards for correction of integral experimental cross sections measured in ²³⁵U thermal fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra.

Monitor Reaction	Cross section used as standard, mb	Half-life for residual nuclei	Radiation mode and energy, keV	Emission Probability per decay
27 Al(n, α) 24 Na	0.7007±1.28% [1.23] U 1.016±1.28% [1.24] Cf	14.997 (12) h	Gamma 1368.63 Gamma 2754.01	0.999936(15) [1.12] 0.99855 (5) [1.12]
$^{32}S(n,p)^{32}P$	69.08±1.97% [1.23] U	14.268 (5) d	Beta- 1710.66	1.000 [1.20]
54 Fe(n,p) 54 Mn	78.09±1.50% [1.10] U	312.05 (4) d	Gamma 834.848	0.999760(10) [1.21]
⁵⁶ Fe(n,p) ⁵⁶ Mn	1.079±1.54% [1.23] U	2.5789 (1) h	Gamma 846.754 Gamma 1810.72	0.9887 (3) [1.13] 0.2719 (79) [1.13]
⁵⁸ Ni(n,p) ⁵⁸ Co	108.2±1.30% [1.23] U	70.86 (6) d	Gamma 511 Gamma 810.78	0.298 (4) [1.22] 0.99450 (10) [1.22]
¹¹⁵ In(n,n') ^{115m} In	187.8±1.23% [1.23] U 197.4±1.37% [1.24] Cf	4.486 (4) h	Gamma 336.24	0.458 (22) [1.17]
238 U(n,f)	325.7±1.64% [1.24] Cf			

Comments for Table 1.2: Symbol "U" – means ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum,

Symbol "Cf" – means ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum.

1.3. Theoretical model calculation cross-section values for the dosimetry reactions

The theoretical model calculation was used as additional source of cross-section information for reactions with poor experimental data. In our case, the theoretical model calculation was carried out for the determination of the ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation function above 2 keV.

For theoretical description of the excitation function, the 113 In $(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In reaction optical-statistical method was used while taking into account consistently the contribution of the direct, preequilibrium and statistical equilibrium processes in the different outgoing channels.

The practical calculations of cross sections were made by means of a modified version of the GNASH code [1.28]. The modified GNASH code is differing in general from the original GNASH code [1.29]. The modified version includes subroutine which permits to take into account the neutron width fluctuation. Furthermore, the modified GNASH code has a mode which permits to calculate the cross-section of population of individual levels excited in the investigated reaction. This capability is very important to calculate the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross sections.

The calculation of penetrability coefficients for neutrons was made on the basis of a generalized optical model, which permits to estimate the cross sections for the direct excitations of collective low-lying levels. The ECIS coupled channel deformed optical model code [1.30] was used for these calculations. The optical coefficients of proton and alpha particle penetrabilities were determined by means of the SCAT2 code [1.31].

The data on discrete levels parameters for ¹¹⁴In and all residual nuclei were obtained from Ref. [1.13]. Unknown branching ratios were estimated on the basis of statistical calculations of the possible E1, E2, and M1 gamma-ray transitions. Intensities of such transitions were calculated in accordance with the radiation strength functions recommended in Ref. [1.32].

Continuum level densities were represented with the Gilbert-Cameron [1.33] model using the Cook parameters [1.34] (mode IBSF=1 in the GNASH code). The calculation of gamma-ray transition probabilities in the continuum region of excited states of all nuclei under consideration was made in the frame of hypothesis of domination of giant dipole resonance with parameters of radiative strength function from Kopecky-Uhl systematic [1.35]. Recommended parameters of giant dipole resonances were taken from Ref. [1.36].

By means of the modified GNASH code cross section values of the ${}^{113}In(n,\gamma){}^{114m}In$ reaction were calculated from 2 keV to 20 MeV.

1.4. Statistical analysis of cross-sections from the database

The method of statistical analysis of correlated data used to evaluate the excitation functions of dosimetry reactions ${}^{28}\text{Si}(n,p){}^{28}\text{Al}$, ${}^{31}\text{P}(n,p){}^{31}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma){}^{114\text{m}}\text{In}$ was described in the IAEA NDS Reports [1.2], [1.7]. Detailed description of the method and PADE-2 code may be found in Refs. [1.37-1.39].

REFERENCES to Section 1

- [1.1] A.D. CARLSON, V.G. PRONYAEV, D.L. SMITH, N.M. LARSON, CHEN ZHENPENG, G.M. HALE, F.-J. HAMBSCH, E.V. GAI, SOO-YOUL OH, S.A. BADIKOV, T. KAWANO, H.M. HOFMANN, H. VONACH, S. TAGESEN, International Evaluation of Neutron Cross Section Standards, Nuclear Data Sheets 110 (2009) 3215-3324.
- [1.2] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, Evaluation of Cross-Section Data from Threshold to 40-60 MeV for Specific Neutron Reactions Important for Neutron Dosimetry Applications. Part 1. Evaluation of the excitation functions for the ${}^{27}Al(n,\alpha)^{24}Na$, ${}^{55}Mn(n,2n)^{54}Mn$, ${}^{59}Co(n,p)^{59}Fe$, ${}^{59}Co(n,2n)^{58m+g}Co$ and ${}^{90}Zr(n,2n)^{89m+g}Zr$ reactions., **INDC(NDS)-0546**, **IAEA**, Vienna, Austria, April 2009.
- K.I. ZOLOTAREV, A.B. PASHCHENKO, J. CSIKAI, Re-evaluation of ²⁷Al(n,p)²⁷Mg Reaction Cross Sections for Use as a Standard in Dosimetry and Activation Measurements, Acta Universitas Debreceniensis De Ludovico Kossuth Nominatae, Series Phisica et Chimica, Vol. XXXVIII-XXXIX (2005) 381-404.
- [1.4] P.M. GOPYCH, M.N. DEMCHENKO, I.I. ZALYUBOVSKIY et al., Neutron crosssections for tin, tellurium, silicon and phosphorus at the neutron energy 14.6 MeV. Proc. of the 40th All-Union Conf. on Nuclear Spectroscopy and Nuclear Structure, Leningrad, USSR, 10-13 April 1990, p. 277.
- [1.5] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, Re-evaluation cross section data for the reaction ⁵⁶Fe(n,p)⁵⁶Mn (Rev.3), **Private communication, IPPE, Obninsk, March 2010**.
- [1.6] S.F. MUGHABGHAB, Atlas of Neutron Resonances: Resonance Parameters and Thermal Cross Sections (Z=1-100), Elsevier Science, Amsterdam 2006.
- [1.7] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, Re-evaluation of Microscopic and Integral Cross-Section Data for Important Dosimetry Reactions. Re-evaluation of the excitation functions for the ${}^{24}Mg(n,p){}^{24}Na$, ${}^{32}S(n,p){}^{32}P$, ${}^{60}Ni(n,p){}^{60m+g}Co$, ${}^{63}Cu(n,2n){}^{62}Cu$, ${}^{65}Cu(n,2n){}^{64}Cu$, ${}^{64}Zn(n,p){}^{64}Cu$, ${}^{115}In(n,2n){}^{114m}In$, ${}^{127}I(n,2n){}^{126}I$, ${}^{197}Au(n,2n){}^{196}Au$ and ${}^{199}Hg(n,n'){}^{199m}Hg$ reactions, **INDC(NDS)-0526, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, August 2008**.
- [1.8] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, Evaluation of Cross-Section Data from Threshold to 40-60 MeV for Specific Neutron Reactions Important for Neutron Dosimetry Applications. Part 2. Evaluation of the excitation functions for the ⁵⁹Co(n,3n)⁵⁷Co, ⁸⁹Y(n,2n)⁸⁸Y, ⁹³Nb(n,2n)^{92m}Nb, ¹⁶⁹Tm(n,2n)¹⁶⁸Tm and ²⁰⁹Bi(n,3n)²⁰⁷Bi reactions, **INDC(NDS)-0584, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, August 2010**.
- [1.9] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, F.K. ZOLOTAREV, *Re-valuation of the excitation function for the In115(n,n')In115m reaction*, **Private communication**, **Obninsk**, **RF**, **March 2009**.
- [1.10] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, F.K. ZOLOTAREV, Evaluation of Some (n,n'), (n,γ) , (n,p), (n,2n) and (n,3n) Reaction Excitation Functions for Fission and Fusion Reactor Dosimetry Applications. **Report INDC(NDS)-0657, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, December 2013**
- [1.11] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, *Re-evaluation of cross section data for the* ${}^{127}I(n,\gamma){}^{128}I$ *reaction.* **Private communication, IPPE, Obninsk, March 2013**.
- [1.12] R.B. FIRESTONE, Nuclear Data Sheets, 108 (2007) 2319.
- [1.13] R.B. FIRESTONE, *Table of Isotopes CD-ROM*, Eighth Edition, Version 1.0, March 1996,
 S.Y. Frank Chu, CD-ROM Ed., V.S. Shirley, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, 1996.
- [1.14] M.C. BASUNIA, Nuclear Data Sheets, **114** (2013) **1189**.
- [1.15] E. BROWNE, J.K. TULI, Nuclear Data Sheets, 114 (2013) 1849.
- [1.16] A.L. NICHOLS, B. SINGH, J.K. TULI, Nuclear Data Sheets, 113 (2012) 973.
- [1.17] J. BLACHOT, Nuclear Data Sheets, **113** (2012) 2391.
- [1.18] J. BLACHOT, Nuclear Data Sheets, **111** (**2010**) **717**.
- [1.19] HUANG XIAOLONG, Nuclear Data Sheets, **110** (2009) 2533.
- [1.20] C. OUELLET, B. SINGH, Nuclear Data Sheets, **112** (2011) 2199.
- [1.21] HUO JUNDE, HUO SU, Nuclear Data Sheets, **107** (2006) **1393**.

- [1.22] S. NESARAJA, S.D. GERAEDTS, B. SINGH, Nuclear Data Sheets, 111 (2010) 897.
- [1.23] W. MANNHART, Status of the Evaluation of the Neutron Spectrum of 235 U + $n_{th,,.}$ p.29 Presentations-Web Links in Summary Report of the IAEA Consultants' Meeting, 13-15 October 2008, prepared by Pronyaev, V.G., Mengoni, A. and Carlson, A.D, IAEA report INDC(NDS)-0540, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, November 2008.
- [1.24] W. MANNHART, Status of the Evaluation of the Neutron Spectrum of ²⁵² Cf(sf)., p.29 Presentations-Web Links in Summary Report of the IAEA Consultants' Meeting, 13-15 October 2008, prepared by Pronyaev, V.G., Mengoni, A. and Carlson, A.D, IAEA report INDC(NDS)-0540, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, November 2008.
- [1.25] L.W. WESTON, P.G. YOUNG, W.P. POENITZ, C.R. LUBITZ, Evaluated Neutron Data File for Uranium-235, ENDF/B-VI Library, MAT=9228, MF=5, MT=18, April 1989.
- [1.26] W. MANNHART, Status of the Cf-252 Fission-neutron Spectrum Evaluation with Regard to Recent Experiments, pp. 305-336 in Proc. Consultants' Meeting on Physics of Neutron Emission in Fission, 24-27 May 1988, Mito, Japan, IAEA report INDC(NDS)-220, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 1989.
- [1.27] J.K. Tuli, *Nuclear Wallet Cards*, BNL-NNDC, Upton New York, USA, October 2011.
- [1.28] G.Ya. TERTYCHNYI, E.L. TRYKOV, private communication, IPPE, Obninsk, May 1999.
- [1.29] P.G. YOUNG, E.D. ARTHUR, GNASH: A Pre-equilibrium Statistical Nuclear Model Code for Calculation of Cross Sections and Emission Spectra, Technical Report LA-6947, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, USA, 1977.
- [1.30] J. RAYNAL, Optical Model and Coupled-Channels Calculations in Nuclear Physics, IAEA SMR-9/8, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 1972.
- [1.31] O. BERSILLON, SCAT2-A Spherical Optical Model Code, CEA-N-2037 (1978) 111.
- [1.32] Handbook for Calculations of Nuclear Reaction Data Reference Input Parameter Library, IAEA-TECDOC-1034, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, August 1998.
- [1.33] A. GILBERT, A.G.W. CAMERON, A Composite Nuclear-Level Density Formula with Shell Corrections, Can. J. Phys. 43 (1965) 1446-1496.
- [1.34] J. COOK, H. FERGUSON, A.R. de L. MUSGROVE, Nuclear Level Densities in Intermediate and Heavy Nuclei, Aust. J. Phys. 20 (1967) 477-487.
- [1.35] M. UHL, J. KOPECKY, Gamma-ray Strength Function Models and their Parameterization, pp. 157-166 in Summary Report of the First Research Coordination Meeting on Development of Reference Input Parameter Library for Nuclear Model Calculations of Nuclear Data, Obložinský, P. (Ed.), IAEA report INDC(NDS)-335, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, May 1995.
- [1.36] S.S. DIETRICH, B.L. BERMAN, Atlas of Photoneutron Cross Sections Obtained with Monoenergetic Photons, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 38 (1988) 199-338.
- [1.37] S.A. BADIKOV, V.N. VINOGRADOV, E.V. GAY, N.S. RABOTNOV, Program of the Rational Approximation PADE-2. **Preprint FEI-1686, Obninsk, 1985** (in Russian)..
- [1.38] S.A. BADIKOV, N.S. RABOTNOV, K.I. ZOLOTAREV, Evaluation of neutron dosimetry reactions cross sections and covariance analysis with rational functions, pp. 105-118 in Proc. NEANSC Specialists' Meeting on Evaluation and Processing of Covariance Data, 7-9 September 1992, Oak Ridge, USA, OECD, Paris, 1993.
- [1.39] V.N. VINOGRADOV, E.V. GAY, N.S. RABOTNOV, Analytical Approximation of the Data in Nuclear and Neutron Physics, Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).

2. Evaluation of the 28 Si(n,p) 28 Al reaction excitation function

The abundance of ²⁸Si isotope in natural silicon and the half-life of ²⁸Al are 92.2297 \pm 0.0007 atom percent and (2.245 \pm 0.002) min, respectively. Nucleus ²⁸Al has 100% β^{-} decay mode. For the determination of ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction rate mainly the 1778.987 keV (I_γ = 1.000) gamma line is used. The 1241.80 keV β^{-} rays with end-point energy of 2863.27 keV having intensity of I_β.= 0.99990 \pm 0.000010. Recommended decay data for ²⁸Al - half-life and gamma ray emission probability per decay, I_γ - were taken from Ref. [2.1].

The excitation function of the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction was analysed for the incident neutron energies from the threshold ($E_{th} = 3.99924$ MeV) to 21 MeV. During this analysis 43 works [2.2-2.44] published in the period from 1953 to 2011 were reviewed. In the process of reviewing the experimental data published in Refs. [2.6], [2.11-2.12], [2.14-2.16], [2.18-2.23], [2.25-2.30], [2.32-2.33], [2.35-2.36] and [2.38-2.43] were corrected to the new recommended cross sections for monitor reactions used in the measurements and to the new recommended decay data. Cross section measured by Janczyszyn [2.29] at the neutron energy 14.90 MeV was renormalized to the crosssection value of (128.13 ± 6.09) mb for the ³¹P(n, α)²⁸Al monitor reaction. This value was obtained from the corrected experimental data of Gopych et al. [2.45].

Special correction was applied to the experimental data in Refs. [2.4-2.5], [2.8], [2.9], [2.13], [2.38] and [2.43].

Experimental data of Marion et al. [2.4], Mainsbridge et al. [2.9] and Bass et al. [2.13] were renormalized to the results of precise measurements of W. Mannhart and D. Schmidt [2.42] in the overlapping energy ranges. Correction factors for the experimental data [2.4], [2.9], [2.13] were Fc = 0.61225, Fc = 0.52921, Fc = 0.77906, respectively. Experimental data of Furuta et al. [2.43] were also renormalized to the results of precise measurements by W. Mannhart and D. Schmidt via corrected data of Mainsbridge et al. [2.9] in the overlapping energy 5.060 - 5.930 MeV, Fc = 0.64855.

Kern et al. [2.5] investigated the 28 Si(n,p) 28 Al reaction excitation function in the problem energy range 12 - 18 MeV. Unfortunately, during the cross section measurements neutron flux density was not constant in time. This is the main reason for widely scattered data. Measured in the energy range 12.33 - 18.24 MeV the widely scattered data of Kern et al. [2.5] were separated for five sets of data with approximately equivalent neutron flux density in the process of irradiation. Each set of the data had been renormalized to the corresponded cross section value determined from representative experimental data [2.33], [2.40], [2.42] in the interval 13 - 14 MeV.

Cross section data obtained by Jeronymo et al. [2.8] at incident neutron energies 12.55, 13.55, 14.90, 16.50, 19.60 MeV were renormalized to the representative experimental data of Hongyu Zhou et al. [2.44] at 14.90 MeV, Fc = 1.37274.

Experimental data by Y. Kasugai et al. [2.38] were renormalized for the integral of cross section calculated from the representative experimental data of Ikeda et al. [2.33] in the overlapping energy range 13.40 - 14.87 MeV, Fc = 1.09426.

Cross section measured by Klochkova et al. [2.34], [2.37] at 14.90 MeV neutron energy was corrected for the contribution from reactions ${}^{29}Si(n,p){}^{29}Al$ and ${}^{30}Si(n,p){}^{30}Al$. Cross sections for these reactions at 14.90 MeV were taken from the Refs. [2.42] and [2.46], respectively.

All experimental data obtained by using the activation method and samples of natural isotopic composition (except [2.33], [2.38], [2.41], [2.42]) were corrected above 12 MeV for contribution from the ²⁹Si(n,x)²⁸Al reaction where x = (n+p) + (p+n) + d, i.e. the sum of the outgoing particles.

Excitation function for the ${}^{29}Si(n,x){}^{28}Al$ reaction from threshold to 21 MeV and related uncertainties were evaluated in this work on the basis of experimental data given in the Refs. [2.33], [2.38] and [2.41]. These experimental data were also corrected to the new standards (see Table 1.1 in Section 1). Evaluated excitation function for the reaction ${}^{29}Si(n,x){}^{28}Al$ from threshold to 21 MeV in comparison with experimental data is shown in Fig. 2.1 and presented in Table 2.1.

Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)	Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)
10.461 - 12.500	0.418	23.99	16.750 - 17.000	98.224	8.94
12.500 - 13.000	3.239	5.41	17.000 - 17.250	112.007	8.45
13.000 - 13.250	4.989	4.87	17.250 - 17.500	125.924	8.02
13.250 - 13.500	6.354	4.48	17.500 - 17.750	139.641	7.83
13.500 - 13.750	7.915	4.26	17.750 - 18.000	152.850	7.92
13.750 - 14.000	9.745	4.28	18.000 - 18.250	165.292	8.20
14.000 - 14.250	11.951	4.41	18.250 - 18.500	176.539	8.50
14.250 - 14.500	14.668	4.45	18.500 - 18.750	187.151	8.69
14.500 - 14.750	18.056	4.34	18.750 - 19.000	196.369	8.68
14.750 - 15.000	22.285	4.24	19.000 - 19.250	204.409	8.47
15.000 - 15.250	27.523	4.55	19.250 - 19.500	211.302	8.11
15.250 - 15.500	33.919	5.43	19.500 - 19.750	217.109	7.76
15.500 - 15.750	41.583	6.67	19.750 - 20.000	221.912	7.60
15.750 - 16.000	50.572	7.87	20.000 - 20.250	225.805	7.85
16.000 - 16.250	60.868	8.78	20.250 - 20.500	228.887	8.66
16.250 - 16.500	72.374	9.25	20.500 - 20.750	231.255	10.02
16.500 - 16.750	84.910	9.27	20.750 - 21.000	233.002	11.83

Table 2.1. Evaluated cross sections and their uncertainties for the ${}^{29}Si(n,x){}^{28}Al$ reaction in the NEUTRON energy range from threshold to 21 MeV.

The excitation function for the ${}^{28}Si(n,p){}^{28}Al$ reaction from threshold to 21 MeV and related uncertainties were evaluated on the basis of experimental data given in Refs. [2.4-2.5], [2.7-2.9], [2.13], [2.16-2.19], [2.21-2.22], [2.25-2.33] and [2.36-2.45].

A statistical analysis of input cross section data was carried out by means of PADE-2 code. The rational function was used as a model function.

Uncertainties in cross sections for the evaluated ${}^{28}Si(n,p){}^{28}Al$ reaction excitation function are given in the form of a relative covariance matrix for 47-neutron energy groups (LB = 5). The covariance matrix of uncertainties was calculated simultaneously with the recommended cross-section data by means of the PADE-2 code and tested additionally by the COVEIG code [2.57]. The six-digit eigenvalues of the relative covariance matrix presented in File-33 are as follows:

3.51564E-06	3.52823E-06	3.54907E-06	3.57789E-06
3.61472E-06	3.66117E-06	3.71115E-06	3.77705E-06
3.83668E-06	3.92041E-06	3.99476E-06	4.07835E-06
4.19493E-06	4.30483E-06	4.41557E-06	4.55429E-06
4.93802E-06	5.62141E-06	6.59375E-06	8.10454E-06
1.03073E-05	1.34009E-05	1.76791E-05	2.35391E-05
3.11619E-05	3.32529E-05	4.37642E-05	1.54908E-04
2.25270E-04	6.60505E-04	7.08582E-04	8.01326E-04
8.79098E-04	9.45802E-04	1.02200E-03	1.18158E-03
1.42206E-03	1.51558E-03	1.59922E-03	1.95391E-03
3.05175E-03	4.12368E-03	7.39707E-03	1.53135E-02
1.86107E-02	5.42632E-02	6.77829E-02	

The group cross sections calculated from the evaluated excitation function and related uncertainties for the ${}^{28}Si(n,p){}^{28}Al$ reaction are given below in Table 2.2. Boundaries of the neutron energy groups are the same as in the File-33.

Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)	Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)
3.999 - 5.000	2.698	25.98	12.250 - 12.500	264.996	3.27
5.000 - 5.500	15.333	7.81	12.500 - 12.750	268.053	3.11
5.500 - 6.000	41.087	4.91	12.750 - 13.000	270.954	2.94
6.000 - 6.250	75.509	3.76	13.000 - 13.250	273.258	2.75
6.250 - 6.500	128.945	3.67	13.250 - 13.500	274.525	2.56
6.500 - 6.750	147.097	4.21	13.500 - 13.750	274.345	2.36
6.750 - 7.000	186.436	3.87	13.750 - 14.000	272.394	2.19
7.000 - 7.250	188.253	3.68	14.000 - 14.250	268.489	2.04
7.250 - 7.500	223.400	3.68	14.250 - 14.500	262.618	1.95
7.500 - 7.750	220.082	3.83	14.500 - 14.750	255.803	1.95
7.750 - 8.000	259.903	3.90	14.750 - 15.000	248.262	2.05
8.000 - 8.250	194.510	4.62	15.000 - 15.500	234.510	2.40
8.250 - 8.500	209.158	3.70	15.500 - 16.000	215.396	3.14
8.500 - 8.750	249.735	3.87	16.000 - 16.500	197.286	3.98
8.750 - 9.000	221.373	4.45	16.500 - 17.000	179.390	4.80
9.000 - 9.500	228.627	3.04	17.000 - 17.500	161.556	5.50
9.500 - 10.000	241.025	3.08	17.500 - 18.000	144.536	6.06
10.000 - 10.500	262.919	3.27	18.000 - 18.500	128.860	6.55
10.500 - 11.000	254.631	3.45	18.500 - 19.000	114.841	7.12
11.000 - 11.250	251.901	3.55	19.000 - 19.500	102.600	8.00
11.250 - 11.500	261.808	3.57	19.500 - 20.000	92.120	9.44
11.500 - 11.750	259.798	3.55	20.000 - 20.500	83.288	11.64
11.750 - 12.000	260.206	3.49	20.500 - 21.000	75.944	14.68
12.000 - 12.250	262.217	3.40			

Table 2.2. Evaluated cross sections and their uncertainties for the ${}^{28}Si(n,p){}^{28}Al$ reaction in the NEUTRON energy range from threshold to 21 MeV.

Uncertainties in the evaluated ${}^{28}Si(n,p){}^{28}Al$ excitation function range from 1.95% to 25.98%. The smallest uncertainty of 1.95% in the evaluated cross sections is observed in the neutron energy range 14.25 – 14.75 MeV. Uncertainties in cross sections exceeding 10% can be observed in the energy range 3.999 - 5.000 and 20 - 21 MeV.

The evaluated excitation function for the 28 Si(n,p) 28 Al reaction in the neutron energy range from threshold to 21 MeV is shown in Fig. 2.2 in comparison with the equivalent data from ENDF/B-VII.1 and EAF-2010 libraries and corrected experimental data. The same information but in the narrow neutron energy ranges from 4 to 7 MeV and from 7 to 9.2 MeV is shown in Fig. 2.3 and Fig. 2.4, respectively.

Integral experiments for the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction were described in the works of [2.47-2.56]. The main part of experiments was carried out in the neutron fields with ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum [2.48-2.51] and [2.55-2.56]. Two experiments [2.52] and [2.54] were performed in the field of ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum. In the experiment performed by Cohen [2.47], the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction cross section was measured in the neutron spectrum from the Be(d,n) source. The minimal and the maximal energies in the neutron spectrum were 3.9 MeV and 18 MeV, respectively. Vaenskae and Rieppo [2.53] measured the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction cross section in the

neutron field produced by the ²⁴¹Am-Be source. The broad spectrum of neutrons from the ²⁴¹Am-Be source have their maximal energy at 11 MeV. Unfortunately, the experimental data of [2.47] and [2.53] could not be used for verification of evaluated microscopic cross sections due to the absence of well determined neutron spectrum data.

Experimental data obtained for the ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum and the ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum were corrected to the new recommended cross sections for monitor reactions and decay data given in Table 1.2. The measurements carried out by Kimura et al. [2.49], Grigor'ev and Yaryna [2.51], and Arribere et al. [2.55] in the ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum give for the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction the integral cross section values: (5.331 ± 0.329) mb, (5.395 ± 0.270) mb and (5.537 ± 0.206) mb, respectively. Corrected to the new standards, experimental data of Bruggeman et al. [2.48] and Pfrepper and Raitschev [2.50] arrive at higher cross-section values: (7.099 ± 0.165) mb and (6.482 ± 0.270) mb, respectively.

Two measurements on the ${}^{28}\text{Si(n,p)}{}^{28}\text{Al}$ reaction cross section in the ${}^{252}\text{Cf}$ spontaneous fission neutron spectrum were performed by Csikai and Dezső [2.52], [2.54]. Corrected to the new standards, a value of (6.907 ± 0.305) mb from the second publication [2.54] was accepted. The preliminary result (9.320 ± 0.531) mb given in Ref. [2.52] has been rejected.

As the most representative, the integral experimental data of [2.49], [2.51], [2.54] and [2.55] as the most representative were selected to benchmark the results of new evaluation for the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction excitation function. Calculated averaged cross sections over the ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum are compared with ENDF/B-VII.1, EAF-2010 and experimental data in Table 2.3.

Type of neutron field	Averaged cross section, mb		90% response function, MeV	C/E
	Calculated	Measured		
²³⁵ U thermal fission neutron spectrum	5.4836 [A] 7.0330 [B] 5.6641 [C]	5.470 ± 0.168 [*]	5.30 - 10.30 5.20 - 10.10 5.20 - 10.30	1.00249 1.28574 1.03548
²⁵² Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum	7.1173 [A] 9.0353 [B] 7.3270 [C]	6.900 ± 0.437 [2.54]	5.40 - 10.80 5.20 - 10.60 5.20 - 10.80	1.03149 1.30946 1.06188

Table 2.3Calculated and measured averaged cross sections for THE ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction in ²³⁵U thermal
fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra.

[A] - Present evaluation

[B] - ENDF/B-VII.1

[C] - EAF-2010

* - average-weighted value obtained from experimental data [2.49], [2.51] and [2.55].

The 90% response function shows the neutron energy range where the investigated excitation function is tested in the benchmark spectrum.

In the last column gives the value of C/E which is the ratio of the calculated to experimental cross sections.

The C/E values obtained for the ²³⁵U thermal fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra show that the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al integral cross sections calculated from newly evaluated excitation function agree well with the relevant experimental data. In the case of the $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235}$ and $\langle \sigma \rangle_{Cf-252}$ cross sections calculated from the EAF-2010 excitation function the agreement with the relevant experimental values is worse. Discrepancies between experimental data and calculated ENDF/B-VII.1 values are very large: 28.6 % and 30.9 %, respectively.





Fig. 2.2. Evaluated ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al reaction excitation function in the energy range from threshold to 21 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from ENDF/B-VII.1, EAF-2010 and corrected experimental data.



Fig. 2.3. Evaluated 28 Si(n,p) 28 Al reaction excitation function in the energy range 4.0 – 7.0 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from ENDF/B-VII.1, EAF-2010 and corrected experimental data.



Fig. 2.4. Evaluated 28 Si(n,p) 28 Al reaction excitation function in the energy range 7.0 – 9.2 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from ENDF/B-VII.1, EAF-2010 and corrected experimental data.

REFERENCES to Section 2

- [2.1] M.C. BASUNIA, Nuclear Data Sheets, **114** (2013) **1189**.
- [2.2] E.B. PAUL, R.L. CLARKE, Cross Section Measurements of Reactions Induced by Neutrons of the 14.5MeV Energy. Canadian J. Phys. 31 (1953) 267.
- [2.3] A.V. COHEN, P.H. WHITE, The Excitation Curves of Some (n,p) Processes Induced by Neutrons of 12.5-17.5 MeV Energy. Nucl. Phys. 1 (1956) 73.
- [2.4] J.B. MARION, R.M. BRUGGER, R.A. CHAPMAN, *Cross section for the Si-28(n,p)Al-28 reaction*. **Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 247**.
- [2.5] 1. B.D. KERN, W.E. THOMPSON, J.M. FERGUSON, *Cross Sections for Some (n,p) and (n,alpha) Reactions*. Nucl. Phys. 10 (1959) 226.
- [2.6] C.S. KHURANA, H.S. HANS, Measurements of (n,p) and (n,a) Total Cross-Sections at 14 MeV. Proc. of 4th Nuclear Physics and Solid State Physics Symp., 24-26 February 1960, Waltair, India D.L. ALLAN, An Experimental Test of the Statistical Theory of Nuclear Reactions. Nucl. Phys. 24 (1961) 274.
- [2.7] J.M.F. JERONYMO, G.S. MANI, J. OLKOWSKI et al., Absolute cross sections for some (*n*,*p*), (*n*,*α*) and (*n*,2*n*) reactions. Nucl. Phys. 47 (1963) 157.
- [2.8] B. MAINSBRIDGE, T.W. BONNER, T.A. RABSON, The disintegration of silicon by fast neutrons. Nucl. Phys. 48 (1963) 83.
- [2.9] M. BIRK, G. GOLDRING, P. HILLMAN, *Fast neutron spectroscopy with solid state detectors*. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Res. 21 (1963) 197.
- [2.10] J.E. STRAIN, W.J. ROSS, 14 MeV Neutron Reaction. Report ORNL-3672, January 1965.
- [2.11] C.S. KHURANA, I.M. GOVIL, *The* (*n*,*x*), (*n*,*p*) and (*n*,*t*) Cross-Sections at 14.8 MeV. Nucl. Phys. 69 (1965) 153.
- [2.12] R. BASS, P. HAUG, K. KRUGER, B. STAGINNUS, *Fast neutron excitation functions by activation techniques*. **Report EANDC(E)-66, p. 64, February 1966**.
- [2.13] B. MITRA, A.M. GHOSE, (n,p) Cross Sections of Some Low Z Nuclei for 14.8 MeV Neutrons. Nucl. Phys. 83 (1966) 157.
- [2.14] A. PASQUARELLI, Measurement of Cross Sections for (n,2n), (n,p) and (n,a) Reactions at 14.7 MeV. Nucl. Phys. A93 (1967) 218-222.
- [2.15] N. RANAKUMAR, E. KONDAIAH, R.W. FINK, Neutron Activation Cross Sections at 14.4 MeV for Si and Zn Isotopes. Nucl. Phys. A122 (1968) 679.
- [2.16] D. CRUMPTON, 14 MeV Neutron Cross-Section Measurements on V, Ti, Si and F. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 31 (1969) 3727-3729.
- [2.17] J.J. SINGH, Si(n,p) and Si(n,alpha) reaction cross sections at 14.5 MeV neutron energy. **Report NASA-TN-D-5946, October 1970**.
- [2.18] W. SCHANTL, Abstract communicated by Nuclear Data Section, IAEA, Vienna, 1970 (EXFOR 21846.019).
- [2.19] C.S. KHURANA, H.S. HANS, Proc. of 4th Nuclear Physics and Solid State Physics Symp., 24-26 Feb. 1960, Waltair, India, p. 297.
- [2.20] R. PRASAD, D.C. SARKAR, Measured (n,p) Reaction Cross-Sections and Their Predicted Values at 14.8 MeV. Nuovo Cimento A3 (1971) 467.
- [2.21] J.C. ROBERTSON, B. AUDRIC, P. KOLKOWSKI, Some Activation Cross-Sections at 14.78 MeV. J. of Nuclear Energy 27 (1973) 531.
- [2.22] J. DRESLER, J. ARAMINOWICZ, U. GARUSKA, Cross Sections of the (n,p) Reactions at 14.6 MeV for 13 Nuclides. Progress Report INR-1464, p.12, May 1973.
- [2.23] M.L. SANCHEZ, J.L. CASANOVA, J. CASANOVA, Activation Cross Section of O-16, Si-28, Na-23, for 14.1±0.3 MeV Neutrons. Progress Report NEANDC(OR)-140, p.8, December 1974.

- [2.24] D.V. ALEKSANDROV, L.I. KLOCHKOVA, B.S. KOVRIGIN, Cross-Section of (n,p) Reaction on Al-27, Si-28, P-31, Cl-35, K-39 and Cr-52 at 14,1 MeV Neutron Energy. Atomnaja Energija 39 (1975) 137 (in Russian).
- [2.25] V.T. SHCHEBOLEV, Z.A. RAMENDIK, Determination of (n,p) and (n,a) Cross-Sections on Si-28, Si-29, Si-30 For 14.8-MeV Neutrons. Atomnaya Energiya 43 (1977) 54 (in Russian).
- [2.26] A.M. GHOSE, *Al*(*n*,*p*) and *Si*(*n*,*p*) Cross-Sections at 8.4 MeV. Report IAEA/TA-1390, October 1978.
- [2.27] M. HERMAN, A. MARCINKOWSKI, J. DRESLER, U. GARUSKA, Cross sections for the fast neutron induced reactions on Si and Zn isotopes. Progress Report, INR-1871/I/PL/A, p.13, July 1980.
- [2.28] P.N. NGOC, S. GUETH, F. DEAK, A. KISS, *Investigations of (n,p), (n,a) and (n,2n) Reactions Around 14 MeV.* **Report ZFK-410 p.192, January 1980**.
- [2.29] J. JANCZYSZYN, Simple Measurement of Neutron Cross Section Ratio for Reactions Leading to the Same Radionuclide. Proc. of Int. Conf. on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, 6-10 September 1982, Antwerp, Holland, D. Reidel Publishing Company, p.869.
- [2.30] R. PEPELNIK, B. ANDERS, B.M. BAHAL, M. FAROOQ, *14 MeV Neutron Activation Cross Sections*. Progress Report NEANDC(E)-262U, (5), p. 32, June 1985.
- [2.31] D.A. BRADLEY, C.S. CHONG, A.M. GHOSE, *Measurements of (n,p) Cross-Sections* Using Monoenergetic Neutrons from T-D Reaction. Proc. of Chiang Mai Conference, p.19, February 1985.
- [2.32] J.CSIKAI, Zs. LANTOS, S. SUDAR, Study of the Excitation Functions of ${}^{13}Al^{27}(n,\alpha)$, ${}^{13}Al^{27}(n,p)$ and ${}^{14}Si^{28}(n,p)$. Zeitschrift fuer Physik A325 (1986) 69.
- [2.33] Y. IKEDA, C. KONNO, K. OISHI, T. NAKAMURA, H. MIYADE, K. KAWADE, H. YAMAMOTO, T. KATOH, Activation Cross Section Measurements for Fusion Reactor Structural Materials at Neutron Energy from 13.3 to 15.0 MeV Using FNS Facility. Report JAERI-1312, March 1988.
- [2.34] L.I. KLOCHKOVA, B.S. KOVRIGIN, V.N. KURITSYN, Study of the Reactions (n,p) and (n,np) on the Nuclei Si-28, Ni-58 and Nickel at the Neutron Energy 14.1 MeV. Proc. of 40th All-Union Conference on Nuclear Spectroscopy and Nuclear Structure, Leningrad, USSR, 10-13 April 1990, p. 276 (in Russian).
- [2.35] A. ERCAN, M.N. ERDURAN, M. SUBAŞI, E. GÜLTEKIN, G. TARCAN, A. BAYKAL, M. BOSTAN, 14.6 MeV Neutron Induced Reaction Cross-Section Measurements. Proc. of an Intern. Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, 13-17 May 1991, Julich, FRG, Springer-Verlag, 1992, pp. 376-377.
- [2.36] M. BELGAID, M. SIAD, M. ALLAB, Measurement of 14.7 MeV Neutron Cross Sections for Several Isotopes. J. of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry Letters 166 (1992) 493.
- [2.37] L.I. KLOCHKOVA, B.S. KOVRIGIN, V.N. KURITSYN, Investigation of (n,p) and (n,np) Reactions on the Al-27, Si-28, Cr-50, Fe-54, Fe-56, Ni-58, Ni Nuclei at the Neutron Energy 14.1 MeV. Voprosy Atomn. Nauki i Tekhn., Ser. Yadernye Konstanty, v. 1, pp. 27-36, 1992 (in Russian).
- [2.38] Y. KASUGAI, H. YAMAMOTO, K. KAWADE, T. IIDA, Measurements of (n,p) Cross-Sections for Shortlived Products by 13.4 - 14.9 MeV Neutrons. Annals of Nuclear Energy 25 (1998) 23-45.
- [2.39] J. CSIKAI et al., Private communication, Debrecen, April 1998.
- [2.40] A.A. FILATENKOV, S.V. CHUVAEV, Systematic Measurement of Activation Cross Sections at Neutron Energies around 14 MeV. Preprit RI-252, M.: CNIIAtominform, Moscow 1999 (in Russian).

- [2.41] A. FESSLER, A.J.M. PLOMPEN, D.L. SMITH, J.W. MEADOWS, Y. IKEDA, Neutron Activation Cross-Section Measurements from 16 to 20 MeV for Isotopes of F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, Cl, Ti, V, Mn, Fe, Nb, Sn, and Ba. Nucl. Sci. Eng. 134 (2000) 171-200.
- [2.42] W. MANNHART, D. SCHMIDT, Measurement of Neutron Activation Cross Sections in the Energy Range from 8 to 15 MeV. **Report PTB-N-53, Braunschweig, January 2007**.
- [2.43] M. FURUTA, T. SHIMIZU, H. HAYASHI, I. MIYAZAKI, H. YAMAMOTO, M. SHIBATA, K. KAWADE, Measurements of activation cross sections of (n,p) and (n,a) reactions in the energy range of 3.5 5.9 MeV using a deuterium gas target. Annals of Nuclear Energy 35 (2008) 1652-1662.
- [2.44] HONGYU ZHOU, FUGUO DENG, WEI CHENG, FENGSHOU ZHANG, QIANG ZHAO, JUN SU, LIMING DONG, QING ZHU, GUOYING FAN, Associated gamma radiation in interaction of 14.9 MeV neutrons with natural silicon. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A648 (2011) 192.
- [2.45] P.M. GOPYCH, M.N. DEMCHENKO, I.I. ZALYUBOVSKIY et al., Neutron crosssections for tin, tellurium, silicon and phosphorus at the neutron energy 14.6 MeV. Proc. of the 40th All-Union Conf. on Nuclear Spectroscopy and Nuclear Structure, Leningrad, USSR, 10-13 April 1990, p. 277.
- [2.46] H. SHIBATA, S. KUNIEDA, Evaluated neutron data for Si-29, JENDL-4.0 Library, MAT-1431, Evaluated August 2007.
- [2.47] B.L. COHEN, (n,2n) and (n,p) Cross Sections. Phys. Rev. 81 (1951) 184-188.
- [2.48] A. BRUGGEMAN, D.De SOETE, J. HOSTE, The Average Cross-Sections of the ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al and the ²⁹Si(n,p)²⁹Al Reactions in a Fission Neutron Spectrum. **Rad. Chim.** Acta 14 (1970) 147.
- [2.49] I. KIMURA, K. KOBAYASHI, T. SHIBATA, Measurements of Average Cross Sections for Some Threshold Reactions for Neutrons with Fission-Type Reactor Spectrum. Nucl. Sci. Technology 8 (1971) 59.
- [2.50] G. PFREPPER, C. RAITSCHEV, Determination of the cross-sections of several (n,p)- and (n,α)-reactions for fission neutrons. Radiochimica Acta 23 (1976) 127.
- [2.51] E.I. GRIGOR'EV, V.P.JARYNA, Average Cross Section of Reactions in the U-235 Fission Spectrum. Atomaja Energija (Sov.) 43 (1977) 14 (in Russian).
- [2.52] J. CSIKAI, Z. DEZSO, Average cross sections for the Cf-252 neutron spectrum. Proc. of 7th Int. Symp. on The Interaction of Fast Neutrons with Nuclei, November 21-25, 1977 Gaussig, GDR, Report ZFK-376, p. 44, December 1978.
- [2.53] R.VAENSKAE, R. RIEPPO, Measurement of the Average Cross Sections for (n,p) Reactions on Some Light and Medium Mass Nuclei in the Am-241-Be Neutron Spectrum. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 30 (1979) 513.
- [2.54] Z. DEZSO, J. CSIKAI, Measurement of Average Cross Sections for Cf-252 Neutrons. Proc. of Int. Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, 6-10 September 1982, Antwerp, Holland, D.Reidel Publishing Company, pp.418-420.
- [2.55] M.A. ARRIBERE, I.M. COHEN, A.J.KESTELMAN, S. RIBERRO GUEVARA, Use of the absolute parametric method to evaluate the analytic significance of threshold reactions on P, Si, Al and Mg by NAA. J. of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 244 (2000) 417.
- [2.56] S.A. JONAH, Y.V. IBRAHIM, E.H.K. AKAHO, The Determination of reactor neutron spectrum averaged Cross-sections in a miniature neutron source reactor facility. Applied Rad. and Isotopes 66 (2008) 1377.
- [2.57] A. TRCOV, COVEIG code for testing covariance matrixes prepared in the ENDF/B format. Private communication, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, July 2013.

3. EVALUATION OF THE ³¹P(N,P)³¹SI REACTION EXCITATION FUNCTION

The isotopic abundance of ³¹P in natural phosphorus is 100 atom percent, and the ³¹Si obtained via the (n,p) reaction undergoes a 100 % via β^{-} decay mode with a half-life of (157.36 ± 0.26) minutes. The ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction rate is usually measured by detecting beta particles with endpoint energy 1491.50 keV and total beta intensity (99.94463 ± 0.00007)%. The mean beta-energy is equal to 595.93 keV. Sometimes the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction rate is measured by detecting the 1266.2-keV gamma radiation, $I_{\gamma} = (0.0554 \pm 0.0007)$ %. Recommended decay data for ³¹Si - half-life and beta, gamma emission probabilities per decay of ³¹Si were taken from Ref. [3.1].

Microscopic experimental data about the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function are given in the works [3.2], [3.4-3.20] and cover a neutron energies range from 1.56 MeV to 14.8 MeV. The ratio of the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction cross section to the ${}^{12}C(n,2n){}^{11}C$ reaction cross section measured by Knox [3.3] permits to evaluate the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction cross section at 90 MeV.

In the process of analysis the experimental data of Refs. [3.7], [3.11], [3.15-3.19] in the process of analysis were corrected to the new standards for the relevant monitor reactions (see Table 1.1) and to the recommended decay data for ³¹Si [3.1].

Special correction was applied to the experimental data in [3.4], [3.9] and [3.14]. Experimental data by Ricamo et al. [3.4] and Cuzzocrea et al. [3.9] were renormalized to the absolute cross section of 74 mb measured by Metzger et al. [3.2] at 3 MeV. For the experimental data in [3.4] and [3.9] the correction factors were Fc = 1.39623 and Fc = 1.07246, respectively. Cross sections measured by Paulsen and Liskien [3.14] were renormalized to the results of corrected data of Ricamo et al. [3.4] in the overlapping energy range 1.91 - 2.22 MeV. The correction factor for the experimental data [3.14] is Fc = 1.88603.

The results of cross section measurement obtained by Grundl et al. at 1.59, 1.98, 2.08, 2.19, 2.57, 4.54 MeV with T(p,n)He3 neutron source [3.7] were rejected due to a significant inconsistency with experimental data in [3.4], [3.9], [3.14], [3.15]. All other results presented in the work [3.7] agree well with the main bulk of experimental data. Experimental data of Morita [3.8] were only taken into account in the evaluation for the four neutron energies: 4.85, 5.05, 5.08 and 5.22 MeV. Data of Morita obtained for the neutron energies 3.18, 3.70, 3.93, 4.23, 4.59 and 4.71 MeV were rejected due to a large underestimation of the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction cross section. Experimental data [3.6], [3.10], [3.12], [3.16], [3.18] and [3.20] were rejected completely.

As mentioned above, the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction cross section at 90 MeV may be determined from measurements of Knox [3.3]. The ratio of the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction cross section to the ${}^{12}C(n,2n){}^{11}C$ reaction cross section, $R_{P31/C12} = (0.80 \pm 0.15)$, was measured by Knox at incident neutron energy 90 MeV.

Experimental data for the ¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C reaction cross section at 90 MeV can only be found in an old work [3.21], $\sigma_{C12} = (22 \pm 4)$ mb. The ¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C reaction cross section at 90 MeV may also be determined by reaction cross section ratios Mg(n,x)²⁴Na/¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C, Si(n,x)²⁴Na/¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C, ²⁷Al(n,x)²⁴Na/¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C and new experimental data [3.22-3.23] for Mg(n,x)²⁴Na, Si(n,x)²⁴Na, ²⁷Al(n,x)²⁴Na reaction cross sections at 90 MeV as measured by Knox. The ¹²C(n,2n)¹¹C reaction cross section at 90 MeV obtained as averaged-weighted value from Ref. [3.21] and above mentioned ratios are equal to (14.77 ± 1.47) mb. The usage of this value gives the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction cross section (11.82 ± 2.51) mb at 90 MeV.

The excitation function for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction in the energy region from threshold to 60.0 MeV was evaluated by means of statistical analysis of experimental cross section data [3.2-3.5], [3.7-3.9], [3.11], [3.13-3.15], [3.17], [3.19]. The relative shape of the excitation function in the

interval 12 – 30 MeV was taken from the TENDL-2011 library [3.24]. The absolute cross sections used as input data in the interval 12 – 30 MeV were calculated from TENDL-2011 data by normalization at 14.5 MeV to a value 87.70 mb which was evaluated from the representative experimental data [3.5], [3.7], [3.11], [3.13], [3.15], [3.17] and [3.19]. Additional information about the resonance structure in the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function has been taken from experimental data from Refs. [3.25] and [3.26] for total neutron cross sections on ${}^{31}P$.

Statistical analysis of input cross section data was carried out by means of PADE-2 code. Rational function was used as a model function.

Uncertainties in cross sections for the evaluated ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function are given in the form of a relative covariance matrix for 49-neutron energy groups (LB = 5). The covariance matrix of uncertainties was calculated simultaneously with the recommended cross-section data by means of the PADE-2 code and tested additionally by the COVEIG code [3.34]. The six-digit eigenvalues of the relative covariance matrix presented in File-33 are as follows:

5.38552E-06	5.42546E-06	5.49295E-06	5.58236E-06
5.68435E-06	5.77416E-06	5.88076E-06	5.99372E-06
6.10786E-06	6.27080E-06	6.44260E-06	6.62665E-06
6.78016E-06	6.95439E-06	7.15837E-06	7.39258E-06
7.65034E-06	7.93518E-06	8.33963E-06	9.09499E-06
9.90255E-06	1.20506E-05	1.41999E-05	1.65407E-05
1.98650E-05	2.46131E-05	3.08057E-05	3.85476E-05
4.97276E-05	7.11644E-05	1.04551E-04	1.43529E-04
2.00228E-04	2.52363E-04	2.82557E-04	3.99537E-04
5.05723E-04	5.57454E-04	5.96886E-04	6.56820E-04
7.65270E-04	1.33891E-03	2.75159E-03	3.50366E-03
5.27493E-03	1.70350E-02	2.92498E-02	1.06080E-01
1.66145E-01			

Evaluated group cross sections and their uncertainties for the excitation function of the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction are listed in Table 3.1. Group boundaries are the same as in File-33. Uncertainties for the evaluated ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ excitation function range from 3.44% to 38.86%. The smallest uncertainties in the evaluated cross sections 3.44 - 3.98% are observed in the neutron energy range from 4.0 to 6.75 MeV and 12.0 – 14.5 MeV. Uncertainties in cross sections exceed 10% in the energy ranges 0.732 - 1.750 and 30 - 60 MeV.

The evaluated excitation function for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction in the neutron energy range from 1 MeV to 20 MeV is shown in Figs. 3.1 and 3.2 in comparison with corrected experimental data and similar data from the IRDFF-2012 and ENDF/B-VII.1 libraries. The same information for the narrower neutron energy range 1 - 6 MeV is shown in Fig. 3.3 and Fig. 3.4.

Integral experimental data for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction determined in the benchmark neutron spectra are given in Refs. [3.27-3.33]. Integral cross sections presented in Refs. [3.27-3.33] were measured in neutron fields with similar spectra to the ${}^{235}U$ thermal fission neutron spectrum. Experiments in the ${}^{252}Cf$ spontaneous fission neutron spectrum are have not been carried out so far. Measured integral cross-sections for ${}^{235}U$ thermal fission neutron spectrum range from (35.12 ± 1. 38) mb [3.28] to (37.58 ± 1.19) mb [3.33]. All integral experimental data were corrected to the new standards.

D. Ricabarra et al. measured the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ integral cross-section at R.A.1 reactor of CNE, Argentina [3.27]. Measurements were carried out at the core of the reactor using ${}^{32}S(n,p){}^{32}P$

reaction as monitor. The obtained ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ integral cross-section is equal to $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (35.23 \pm 2.19)$ mb.

The ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si spectrum averaged cross section was measured by Boldeman using the 90%enriched ²³⁵U fission plate converter facility (FPC) at Moata reactor [3.28]. The ³²S(n,p)³²P reaction was used as monitor. He has obtained an integral cross-section $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (35.12 \pm 1.38)$ mb for the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction.

Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)	Neutron energy (MeV) from to	Cross section (mb)	Uncertainty (%)
0.732 - 1.750	1.063	38.86	8.000 - 8.500	139.678	4.54
1.750 - 2.000	14.742	5.57	8.500 - 9.000	142.234	4.59
2.000 - 2.250	26.353	4.67	9.000 - 9.500	144.596	4.57
2.250 - 2.500	42.692	4.82	9.500 - 10.000	146.764	4.49
2.500 - 2.750	70.387	4.59	10.000 - 10.500	146.383	4.38
2.750 - 3.000	76.048	4.62	10.500 - 11.000	141.454	4.26
3.000 - 3.250	99.558	4.71	11.000 - 12.000	130.045	4.10
3.250 - 3.500	83.899	4.54	12.000 - 13.000	114.530	3.97
3.500 - 3.750	112.353	4.35	13.000 - 14.000	100.328	3.94
3.750 - 4.000	111.786	4.18	14.000 - 14.500	90.699	3.98
4.000 - 4.200	97.943	3.97	14.500 - 15.000	84.705	4.04
4.200 - 4.400	120.202	3.81	15.000 - 16.000	77.301	4.18
4.400 - 4.600	128.511	3.67	16.000 - 17.000	69.160	4.46
4.600 - 4.800	138.317	3.57	17.000 - 18.000	62.205	4.82
4.800 - 5.000	130.969	3.49	18.000 - 19.000	56.225	5.23
5.000 - 5.200	119.784	3.45	19.000 - 20.000	51.116	5.66
5.200 - 5.400	129.315	3.44	20.000 - 22.500	44.104	6.36
5.400 - 5.600	134.710	3.45	22.500 - 25.000	36.552	7.27
5.600 - 6.000	131.878	3.51	25.000 - 27.500	31.081	8.06
6.000 - 6.250	139.679	3.63	27.500 - 30.000	26.966	8.82
6.250 - 6.500	143.103	3.74	30.000 - 35.000	22.992	10.07
6.500 - 6.750	140.951	3.87	35.000 - 40.000	19.786	12.13
6.750 - 7.000	136.848	4.00	40.000 - 50.000	17.119	15.52
7.000 - 7.500	135.275	4.19	50.000 - 60.000	15.172	19.88
7.500 - 8.000	137.126	4.40			

Table 3.1. Evaluated cross sections and their uncertainties for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction in the NEUTRON energy range from threshold to 60 MeV.



Fig. 3.1. Evaluated ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function in the energy range 1 - 20 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from IRDFF-2012 file and corrected experimental data.



Fig. 3.2. Evaluated ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function in the energy range 1 - 20 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from ENDF/V-II.1 library and corrected experimental data.



Fig. 3.3. Evaluated ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction excitation function in the energy range 1 - 6 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from IRDFF-2012 file and corrected experimental data.



Fig. 3.4. Evaluated ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction excitation function in the energy range 1 – 6 MeV in comparison with equivalent data from ENDF/V-II.1 library and corrected experimental data.

Grundl [3.29] carried out an experiment at a Hydro source-reactor with cavity fission-spectrum arrangement. An assembly of investigated samples placed between two ²³⁵U fission plates was centered in a glass-walled spherical cavity of 10.16 cm in diameter, which was located at the center of D₂O thermal column. Grundl measured the spectral index ²³⁸U(n,f)/³¹P(n,p)³¹Si = 8.40 $\pm 4.5\%$, that gives the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si integral cross-section $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (36.82 \pm 1.71)$ mb.

The results of two measurements were presented by Kobayashi and Kimura in their publication [3.30]. The first experiment was carried out at the thermal reactor KUR using the 90%-enriched ²³⁵U fission plate converter facility. The measured ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si integral cross-section is equal to $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (35.98 \pm 2.15)$ mb. The second experiment was carried out at the fast research reactor YAYOI of Tokyo University. Measurements were performed in the core centre of reactor. The ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si integral cross-section $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (36.51 \pm 2.18)$ mb was obtained. The neutron flux in both experiments was monitored by three dosimetry reactions: ²⁷Al(n,a)²⁴Na, ⁵⁸Ni(n,p)⁵⁸Co and ¹¹⁵In(n,n')^{115m}In.

Geraldo, Dias and Koskinas [3.31-3.33] report the measurement of ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si average cross section in U-235 fission field generated in position no. 1 near the core of the IEA-R1 2 MW pool type research reactor at IPEN, Sao Paulo, Brazil. The measurement was performed relative to the ²⁷Al(n, α)²⁴Na monitor reaction and gives a value of $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (36.60 \pm 1.28)$ mb [3.31]. The experimental results obtained relative to the ²⁷Al(n, α)²⁴Na, ⁵⁴Fe(n,p)⁵⁴Mn, ⁵⁸Ni(n,p)⁵⁸Co monitor reactions are $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (37.54 \pm 1.46)$ mb [3.32] and $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (37.58 \pm 1.19)$ mb [3.33].

The analysis of the experimental data for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ integral cross-section in U-235 thermal fission neutron spectrum shows a good agreement between all obtained values. Nevertheless the results of the reactor core measurements were not taken into account in the calculation of the average-weighted cross-section value for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction. An average-weighted value obtained from FPC experimental data [3.28], [3.29] and [3.30] is equal to $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235} = (35.860 \pm 0.961)$ mb.

The evaluated excitation function for the ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ reaction was tested against the above mentioned representative integral experimental data. The calculated averaged cross sections over the ${}^{235}U$ thermal and ${}^{252}Cf$ spontaneous fission neutron spectra are compared with the IRDFF-2012, ENDF/B-VII.1, and experimental data in Table 3.2.

Type of neutron field	Averaged cross section, mb		90% response function, MeV	C/E
	Calculated	Measured		
²³⁵ U thermal fission neutron spectrum	35.553 [A] 28.362 [B] 33.529 [C]	35.860 ± 0.961 [*]	2.10 - 7.00 2.20 - 7.30 2.10 - 7.00	0.99144 0.79091 0.93500
²⁵² Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum	38.059 [A] 30.685 [B] 35.888 [C]		2.10 - 7.40 2.30 - 7.70 2.10 - 7.40	

Table 3.2. Calculated and measured averaged cross sections for THE ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction in ²³⁵U thermal fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra.

[A] - Present evaluation

[B] - IRDFF-2012

[C] - ENDF/B-VII.1

* - average-weighted value obtained from experimental data [3.28-3.30].

The 90% response function shows the neutron energies range where the investigated excitation function is tested in the benchmark spectrum.

The last column gives the value of C/E, which is a ratio of the calculated to experimental cross sections.

The C/E value obtained for the ²³⁵U thermal fission neutron spectrum shows that the ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si integral cross sections calculated from newly evaluated excitation function agree well with the relevant experimental data. The $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235}$ cross sections calculated from the RRDFF-2012 and ENDF/B-VII.1 excitation functions agree worse with the relevant experimental value. Discrepancies between the calculated and experimental values for these libraries exceed the experimental uncertainties.

REFERENCES to Section 3

- [3.1] C. OUELLET, B. SINGH, Nuclear Data Sheets, **114** (2013) 209.
- [3.2] F. METZGER, F. ALDER, P. HUBER, *Investigation of the (n,p)-Reaction on Phosphorus*. Helvetica Physica Acta 21 (1948) 278 (in German).
- [3.3] W.J. KNOX, Relative Cross Sections for Nuclear Reactions Induced by High Energy Neutrons in Light Elements. **Phys. Rev. 75** (1949) 537.
- [3.4] R. RICAMO, E. LUESCHER, P. SCHERRER, W. ZUENTI, *Elastic Scattering and* (*n*,*p*) *Resonances of P-31 and S-32*. Nuovo Cimento 8 (1951) 383-402 (in Italian).
- [3.5] S.G. FORBES, Activation Cross Sections for 14 MeV Neutrons. Phys. Rev. 88 (1952) 309.
- [3.6] E.B. PAUL, R.L. CLARKE, Cross Section Measurements of Reactions Induced by Neutrons of the 14.5 MeV Energy. Canadian J. Phys. 31 (1953) 267.
- [3.7] J.A. GRUNDL, R.L. HENKEL, B.L. PERKINS, *P-31(n,p)Si-31 and Al-27(n,a)Na-24 Cross Sections*. Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 425-428.
- [3.8] W. MORITA, *Excitation Curve for the Reaction P-31(n,p)Si-31*. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 13 (1958) 431-433.
- [3.9] P. CUZZOCREA, G. PAPPALARDO, R. RICAMO, *Cross Section for the P-31(n,p) Reaction up to 5 MeV.* Nuovo Cimento 16 (1960) 450.
- [3.10] D.L. ALLAN, An Experimental Test of the Statistical Theory of Nuclear Reactions. Nucl. Phys. 24 (1961) 274.
- [3.11] J. KANTELE, D.G. GARDNER, Some Activation Cross Sections for 14.7 MeV Neutrons. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1962) 353.
- [3.12] F.L. HASSLER, R.A. PECK Jr, Neutron-Induced Reactions in Third and Fourth Shell Nuclei. Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 1011.
- [3.13] B. GRIMELAND, E. KJELLSBY, J. VINES, Cross sections of some reactions induced in nitrogen, phosphorus, copper, and bromide with neutrons of energy 14.8 MeV. Phys. Rev. B137 (1965) 878.
- [3.14] A. PAULSEN, H. LISKIEN, Cross Sections for Some (n,p) Reactions Near threshold. Proc. of First IAEA Conf. on Nuclear Data for Reactors, Paris, 17-21 October 1966, STI/PUB/140, 1967, p. 217.
- [3.15] J.A. GRUNDL, A Study of Fission-Neutron Spectra with High-Energy Activation Detectors - Part 1. Detector Development and Excitation Measurements. Nucl. Sci. Eng. 30 (1967) 39.
- [3.16] A. PASQUARELLI, Measurement of Cross Sections for (n,2n),(n,p) and (n,a) Reactions at 14.7 MeV. Nucl. Phys. A93 (1967) 218-222.
- [3.17] W. SCHANTL, Abstract communicated by Nuclear Data Section, IAEA, Vienna, 1970 (EXFOR 21846.019).

- [3.18] R. PRASAD, D.C. SARKAR, Measured (n,p) reaction cross-sections and their predicted values at 14.8 MeV. Nuovo Cimento A3 (1971) 467.
- [3.19] J.C. ROBERTSON, B. AUDRIC, P. KOLKOWSKI, Some Activation Cross-Sections at 14.78 MeV. J. of Nuclear Energy 27 (1973) 531.
- [3.20] D.V. ALEKSANDROV, L.I. KLOCHKOVA, B.S. KOVRIGIN, Cross-Section of (n,p) Reaction on Al-27, Si-28, P-31, Cl-35, K-39 and Cr-52 at 14,1 MeV Neutron Energy. Atomnaja Energija 39 (1975) 137 (in Russian).
- [3.21] E.M. Mc MILLAN, H.F. YORK, *Cross section for the reaction 12C(n,2n)11C at 90 MeV.* Phys. Rev. 73 (1948) 262.
- [3.22] D. HANSMANN, About the production of residual nuclides by neutron-induced reactions up to 180 MeV. Dissertation submitted to University of Hannover, FRG, 2010, **EXFOR 22794**.
- [3.23] J. VRZALOVA, O. SVOBODA, A. KRASA, A. KUGLER, M. MAJERLE, M. SUCHOPAR, V. WAGNER, *Studies of (n,xn) cross-sections in Al, Au, Bi, Cu, Fe, I, In, Mg, Ni, Ta, Y, and Zn by the activation method.* Nucl. Instrum. Methods A726 (2013) 84
- [3.24] A.J. KONING, D. ROCHMAN, *Talys Evaluated Nuclear Data Library TENDL-2011*, NRG Petten, The Netherlands, December 2011.
- [3.25] G. ROHR, R.S HELLEY, C. NAZARETH, *Total Neutron Cross Section Measurement of Phosphorous*. **EXFOR 22332001**, Geel, Belgium, December 1995.
- [3.26] W.P. ABFALTERER, F.B. BATEMAN, F.S. DIETRICH, R.W. FINLAY, R.C. HAIGHT, G.L. MORGAN, *Measurement of neutron total cross sections up to 560 MeV*. **Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 044608**.
- [3.27] D. RICABARRA, R. TURJANSKI, A.H.W. ATEN Jr., *P-31(n,p)Si-31 cross-section for fission neutrons relative to S-32(n,p)P-32*. J. Nucl. Energy A12 (1960) 177.
- [3.28] J.W. BOLDEMAN, Fission Spectrum Averaged Cross Sections of Threshold Reactions. J. of Nuclear Energy AB18 (1964) 417-424.
- [3.29] J.A. GRUNDL, A Study of Fission-Neutron Spectra with High-Energy Activation Detectors. Part II: Fission Spectra. Nucl. Sci. Eng. 31 (1968) 191-206.
- [3.30] K. KOBAYASHI, I. KIMURA, Fission Spectrum Averaged Cross Sections with Standard Neutron Fields. **Report EUR-6813**, p. 1004, 1980.
- [3.31] L.P. GERALDO, M.S. DIAS, M.F. KOSKINAS, Average neutron cross section measurements in U-235 fission spectrum for some threshold reactions. Radiochimica Acta 57 (1992) 63-67.
- [3.32] L.P. GERALDO, M.S. DIAS, M.F. KOSKINAS, *Measurement of the Gamma-Ray Probability per Decay of Si-31*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes 44 (1993) 1209.
- [3.33] M.S. DIAS, L.P. GERALDO, M.F. KOSKINAS, Measurement of the average cross sections in U-235 fission neutron spectrum for threshold reactions in Mo-95,-98, I-127 and P-31. Proc. of an Int. Conf. on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Gatlinburg, Tennessee, USA, May 9-13, 1994, p. 257.
- [3.34] A. TRCOV, COVEIG code for testing covariance matrixes prepared in the ENDF/B format. Private communication, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, July 2013.

4. EVALUATION OF THE EXCITATION FUNCTION OF THE ¹¹³IN(N, Γ)^{114M}IN REACTION

The natural indium consists of two isotopes: ¹¹³In with abundance (4.29 ± 0.05) atom percent and ¹¹⁵In with abundance of (95.71 ± 0.05) atom percent.

Indium-114 produced by the (n,γ) reaction in ground state (^{114g}In) has the half-life equal to $T_{1/2}$ = (71.9 ± 0.1) seconds, spin and parity J_{π} =1+. ^{114g}In disintegrates via two decay modes. The (99.50 $\pm 0.15)$ % of disintegration occurs via β^- decay. The rest (0.50 ± 0.15) % of disintegration occurs via ϵ -capture. The β^- transition is accompanied by an emission of 223.80 and 778.72 keV β^- particles and gamma-rays with an energy of 1299.83 keV. Intensities of above mentioned β^- particles are equal to $I_{\beta 1} = (0.140 \pm 0.020)$ % and $I_{\beta 2} = (99.36 \pm 0.16)$ %. The intensity of 1299.83 keV gammas is very low and equal to $I_{\gamma} = 0.139$ %. The X- and gamma-ray radiations accompanying ϵ -capture have very low intensities. This is the reason why the $^{113}In(n,\gamma)^{114g}In$ reaction rate is usually measured by the beta-spectrometry method. Typically, the number of pulses from beta-particles with average energy (777.9 ± 1.8) keV and total intensity (99.50 ± 0.16)% were measured.

Indium-114 produced in radiative neutron capture in a metastable state (^{114m}In) has the half-life $T_{1/2}$ = (49.51 ± 0.01) days. The 190.2682-keV metastable level has the spin and parity of J^{π} = 5+. The decay of metastable ^{114m}In occurs via two modes. The isomeric transition (IT) to the ground state proceeds with probability (96.75 ± 0.24)%, whereas the ε -capture occurs with probability (3.25 ± 0.24)%. Isomeric transition is accompanied by emission of 190.27-keV gamma-rays with intensity I_{γ} = (15.56 ± 0.15) %. In addition to gamma-ray radiation, the IT decay is followed by the six lines spectrum of X-rays. The most intensive are the X-ray lines with energies 24.002 keV (ka2) and 24.21 keV (ka1) and intensities I_{ka2} = (9.8± 0.3)% and I_{ka1} = (18.2± 0.6)%. The X-ray and gamma-ray radiation which accompany ε -capture have a very low intensity. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction rate is measured usually by the gamma-spectrometry method. The measured value is the number of pulses in the peak of full absorption of the 190.27 keV gammas.

In the process of analysis of experimental data for neutron radiative capture on the minor isotope of indium it is necessary to take into account that indium-114 has the second isomeric level (114m2 In). The half-life of these level is (43.1 ± 0.6) milliseconds. The second isomeric level has an energy of 501.948 keV, the spin and parity of $J^{\pi} = 8^{\circ}$. Decay of the 501.948-keV metastable level occurs with 100% probability by IT transition to the first 190.2682-keV isomeric level. The IT transition is accompanied by 190.27-keV and 311.65-keV gamma radiation with intensities $I_{\gamma} = (15.56 \pm 0.15)\%$ and $I_{\gamma} = (89.85 \pm 0.24)\%$, respectively. The IT transition is also accompanied by X-ray radiation. The most intensive X-rays are 24.002 keV (ka2) and 24.21 keV (ka1) lines. The emission intensities of these X-rays are $I_{ka2} = (11.9 \pm 0.4)\%$, $I_{ka1} = (22.0 \pm 0.7)\%$.

Recommended decay data for the half-life and radiation emission probabilities per decay of 114g In, 114m1 In and 114m2 In were taken from Ref. [4.1].

Microscopic experimental data [4.2-4.16] were analysed in order to evaluate the radiative capture cross section for the ¹¹³In, isomeric ratios $R_g(E) = \sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$, $R_m(E) = \sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In partial reaction excitation functions in a wide energy region of 1.000E-05 eV – 20 MeV. The ¹¹³In(n, γ) integral experimental data for ²³⁵U thermal fission and ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectra are not available up to today.

In the first step of evaluation the experimental data for the ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114g}\text{In}$, ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}\text{In}$, ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In, ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In reaction excitation functions were corrected to the new standards for monitor

reactions ${}^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma){}^{60}\text{Co}$, ${}^{115}\text{In}(n,n'){}^{115\text{m}}\text{In}$, ${}^{115}\text{In}(n,\gamma){}^{116\text{m}}\text{In}$, ${}^{127}\text{I}(n,\gamma){}^{128}\text{I}$, ${}^{197}\text{Au}(n,\gamma){}^{198}\text{Au}$ and ${}^{238}\text{U}(n,f)$ (see Table 1.1 in section 1).

The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross sections measured by the gamma-spectrometry method were corrected for new recommended gamma intensity value I_{γ} = (15.56 ± 0.15)% for 190.27 keV gamma line [4.1]. In the earlier measurements the intensity for the 190.27 keV gamma line were taken between I_{γ} = (17.9 – 18.0)%. For example, H.A. Grench and H.O. Menlove used intensity I_{γ} = (17.9 ± 0.3) % for the 190.27 keV gammas [4.9] in his measurements of the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross section in the neutron energies interval 0.36 – 1.02 MeV. The authors of later works [4.14-4.16] also used the overestimated intensity values for 190.27 keV gamma line. Trofimov used a value of I_{γ} = (16.7 ± 0.3)% in his measurement of the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In cross sections at 1 MeV [4.14] and 2 MeV [4.15]. A.G. Belov et al., in measurements carried out at 0.0253 eV, took a value of I_{γ} = 18% [4.16] for 190.27 keV radiation.

The neutron capture cross sections for the minor indium isotope were measured in Refs. [4.4], [4.6], [4.13] relative to monitor reaction ${}^{127}I(n,\gamma){}^{128}I$. The cross section data for the ${}^{127}I(n,\gamma){}^{128}I$ reaction were taken from the new re-evaluation carried out in the energy range 4 keV - 6 MeV (see Ref. [1.11] in section 1).

The experimental data for radiative capture cross section for indium-113 at the thermal energy are given in Refs. [4.2-4.3], [4.5], [4.8], [4.10-4.12] and [4.16]. The more representative are results of measurements obtained by Keish [4.5]. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In thermal cross sections presented in Ref. [4.5] was measured using indium samples with a 96% content of isotope ¹¹³In. Keish has carefully carried out his measurements of activity accompanying decay of ^{114g}In and ^{114m}In. The absolute efficiency of β -counter was determined using the ¹⁸⁸Re source, which emits the β -particles with the same energy as indium-114. S.F. Mughabghab recommended the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In, ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m+g}In reaction cross sections at 0.0253 eV point: (3.9 ± 0.4), (8.1 ± 0.8) and (12.0 ± 1.1) barn, respectively [4.17], which were evaluated on the basis of experimental data of Keish. These data were adopted as standard radiative capture cross sections for indium-113 at the neutron energy 0.0253 eV.

Reich-Muir resonance parameters were used to obtain the ¹¹³In(n,tot), ¹¹³In(n,el) and ¹¹³In(n, γ) reaction cross sections in the neutron energy range 1.000E-05 eV – 2.619 keV. The resolved resonance parameters given in the compilation of S.F. Mughabghab [4.17] were used as zero approximation.

In the process of evaluation of resolved resonance parameters the experimental data of Refs. [4.18-4.22] presented in the EXFOR library were analysed. The measurements carried out at the isotope ¹¹³In give information about resonances with energies from 1.82 eV to 1.996 keV. All resonances determined in the experiments, were identified by authors as resonances of s-wave (neutron orbital moment L = 0).

The most problematical question concerns the evaluation parameters of the first lowest resonance, excited in the n + ¹¹³In interaction. Compilation [4.17] gives for the first s-resonance the following parameters: energy of resonance - $E_r = 1.800 \text{ eV}$, spin of resonance - J = 4, neutron width - $\Gamma_n = 2.722222E-4 \text{ eV}$, radiative width - $\Gamma_\gamma = 7.800000E-2 \text{ eV}$. Information about the source of these parameters is not detailed by S.F. Mughabghab. The one single work, that has deduced the experimental data for parameters of the first 1.8 eV resonance, is the publication of Anufriev et al. [4.21]. The measurements, performed by the TOF method at the horizontal beam of reactor SM-2 (NIIAR, Dimitrovgrad). The flight path was equal to 91.7 meters, the time resolution - 58 nsec/m. In this experiment [4.21], the total Γ_t and neutron $2g\Gamma_n$ widths of 1.82 eV, 4.72 eV, 14.75 eV, 21.65 eV, 25.11 eV and 32.34 eV resonances were measured. The

energies of resonances E_r are left the same as received by the authors. Parameters for the 1.82 eV resonance have been obtained from experimental data for the total cross section of the irradiated Sn sample. If a spin of the first resonance is J = 4, the rest parameters obtained from experimental data [4.21] will be following: $E_r = 1.82 \pm 0.01$ eV, neutron width $\Gamma_n = (1.77778 \pm 0.77778)E-4$ eV, radiative width $\Gamma_{\gamma} = (8.18222 \pm 0.00608)E-2$ eV. Calculations, carried out with these parameters for the first resonance show bad agreement with cross sections at 0.0253 eV recommended in compilation [4.17].

In the new data file for ¹¹³In the following parameters were taken for the first s–resonance: energy of the resonance $E_r = 1.805 \text{ eV}$, spin J = 4, neutron width $\Gamma_n = 2.722222E-4 \text{ eV}$, radiative width $\Gamma_{\gamma} = 7.800000E-2 \text{ eV}$. A small increase in the energy of resonance permits to improve the agreement between reconstructed and recommended cross sections at the thermal point 0.0253 eV.

In the process of analysis of experimental data, the energies of the 2-nd, 3-rd and 7-th s-resonance were determined more precisely: 4.713 ± 0.016 eV, 14.700 ± 0.026 eV and 32.250 ± 0.019 eV, respectively.

Weak neutron resonances with $\Gamma_n = (3.636364E-4 - 1.230769E-6)$ eV, observed in experiment in the interval 30.86 - 200.6 eV, were identified as p-wave resonances.

Parameters of resonances exited by p-neutrons (L = 1) above 200.6 eV and d-neutrons (L = 2) in the interval 1.109639 - 2.689350 keV were taken from the evaluation of A.J. Koning and D. Rochman. They developed a method of generation the resonance parameters for neutrons with L = 0 - 2. Generation of resonance parameters was carried out by means of the TARES-1.1 code and the data base "Radiator" created for this code [4.23].

The energy resolution of the existing experimental technique does not permit to determine the parameters of d-resonances. Inclusion of the data for d-wave neutrons permits to extend the upper boundary of the resolved resonance region (RRR). It should be noted that parameters Γ_{γ} assigned to p-resonances in the TENDL-2012 library in the energy interval 30.86 - 200.6 eV are significantly overestimated. In the described new evaluation the parameters for p-resonances in the energy interval 30.86 - 200.6 eV were determined from the analysis of experimental data.

Regrettably, the experimental data for the ¹¹³In(n,tot), ¹¹³In(n,el) and ¹¹³In(n, γ) reactions cross section in the resolved resonance region are not available up to today. Hence the evaluated resonance parameters could only be tested at the 0.0253 eV point. Reconstructed from evaluated resonance parameters, the ¹¹³In(n,el) and ¹¹³In(n, γ) cross sections at 0.0253 eV at the temperature 300K are equal to 3.68624 and 12.0482 barn, respectively. Cross section values recommended by S.F. Mughabghab are equal to (3.67 ± 0.08) and (12.0 ± 1.1) barn, respectively [4.17]. The cross section of elastic scattering on a free ¹¹³In atom (3.75±0.07) barn, measured by Koester and Knopf at 0.51E-03 eV [4.24], supports the recommendation of S.F. Mughabghab.

The ¹¹³In(n,el) and ¹¹³In(n, γ) cross sections, reconstructed from the evaluated TENDL-2012 resonance parameters at 0.0253 eV and temperature 300K, are equal to 3.2717 barn and 12.1317 barn, respectively.

In the process of the evaluation of the ¹¹³In resonance parameters two important characteristics were also tested: a value of Westcott parameter (g-factor) and a value of radiative capture resonance integral ($I_{r\gamma}$). The values recommended by S.F. Mughabghab are the following: g-factor=1.0057, $I_{r\gamma}$ = (320±30) barn.

The new data file for indium-113 contains information for 684 resonances. In the framework of the Reich-Muir formalism the parameters for 387 s-resonances were determined: 97 p-

resonances and 200 d-resonances. As mentioned above, RRR region covers the neutron energies range 1.000E-05 eV - 2.619 keV.

For determination of the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation functions in the energy range 1.000E-05 eV – 20 MeV, the cross-section ratios $\sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ have been evaluated. The evaluation was carried out on the basis of the recommended data of Mughabghab at the 0.0253 eV point [4.17] and experimental data from works [4.7] and [4.9]. The evaluated cross-section ratios $\sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ are shown in Fig. 4.1 and Fig. 4.2 in comparison with experimental data and equivalent data from the EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010 and TENDL-2012 libraries. The ratios $\sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ displayed in Fig. 4.1 and Fig.4.2 show that results of the new evaluation agree better with experimental data than equivalent data from the EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 libraries.

The evaluated ratios $R_1(E) = \sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $R_2(E) = \sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ at 22 points in the interval 1.000E-05 eV - 20 MeV are given in the data file for indium-113. In accordance with the ENDF/B format the ratios are given in the MF = 9 file in the order of increasing level energy for $R_1(E)$ and $R_2(E)$. In the ROSFOND-2010 library, $R_1(E) \bowtie R_2(E)$ are given in the reverse order.

Experimental data for the ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114g}In reaction excitation function are obtained for incident neutron energies 7.8 keV - 1 MeV. The ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m}In reaction cross section has been measured in the energy interval 7.8 keV - 2 MeV. The ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m+g}In reaction cross section was not measured directly. Total radiative capture cross section for ¹¹³In may be determined by summing up the partial cross sections.

In the evaluation the ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114g}In, ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m}In and ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m+g}In reaction excitation functions in the interval of 2.619–7.8 keV and above 2 MeV, those data were dominant which had been obtained from theoretical model calculation carried out by means of the modified version of the GNASH code, which is a significantly improved version of the original GNASH code.

The modified GNASH code as mentioned in Section 1 includes subroutine, which permits to take into account the neutron width fluctuation. Furthermore, the modified GNASH code has a mode which permits to calculate cross-section of population of individual levels excited in the investigated reaction. This capability is very important to calculate the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross sections. The neutron optical parameters were calculated by means of the ECIS code.

The excitation function of the 113 In(n, γ) 114m In reaction in the energy range from 2.619 keV to 20 MeV was evaluated by means of statistical analyses of the experimental cross-section data [4.4], [4.7], [4.9], [4.13-4.15] and data from theoretical model calculation, which were used as additional source of information between 2.619–7.8 keV and 2 - 20 MeV.

Uncertainties in the evaluated 113 In $(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In reaction excitation function are given as two independent matrixes.

In the RRR range 1.0E-5 eV – 2.619 keV uncertainties are given in the form of diagonal matrix for the 37 neutron energy intervals (LB = 1). Uncertainties in cross sections were calculated by means of the DSIGNG code [4.25] from uncertainties in Reich-Muir resonance parameters. In the energy range 2.619 keV - 20 MeV the uncertainties are presented in the form of relative covariance matrix for the 49-neutron energy groups (LB = 5). Covariance matrix was generated by the PADE-2 code simultaneously with cross sections. All eigenvalues of the relative covariance matrix presented in the sub file-40 in six-digit representation are positive:

1.38469E-09	2.09464E-09	2.69099E-09	3.43341E-09
4.11168E-09	5.99906E-09	9.93656E-09	1.54654E-08
2.14259E-08	2.75352E-08	4.02100E-08	6.61675E-08
7.02494E-08	7.51831E-08	8.45538E-08	9.25912E-08
9.61765E-08	1.00756E-07	1.04351E-07	1.06704E-07
1.78985E-07	6.34161E-07	2.41733E-06	7.63306E-06
3.16510E-05	1.35632E-04	1.72482E-04	5.15391E-04
5.95402E-04	7.00698E-04	7.95169E-04	8.44792E-04
9.23952E-04	1.43073E-03	2.11018E-03	3.10634E-03
4.79421E-03	5.46918E-03	6.57118E-03	7.93899E-03
9.36067E-03	1.12490E-02	1.26068E-02	1.48601E-02
1.55817E-02	1.75117E-02	2.24708E-02	3.63558E-02
2.52709E-01			

A test carried out by means of the COVEIG code [4.26] has also shown that all eigenvalues in LB = 5 sub-matrix are positive.

The evaluated group cross sections and related uncertainties for the ${}^{113}In(n,\gamma){}^{114m}In$ reaction excitation function are listed in Table 4.1. Group boundaries are the same as in File-40.

The lowest uncertainties in the evaluated cross sections 2.90 - 3.43% are observed in the neutron energy range from 2.619 keV to 1.20 MeV. In the resolved resonance region (RRR) the minimal uncertainty of 4.75% is observed in the interval 160 - 180 eV. In other regions of RRR, the uncertainty in cross sections is equal to 8.29 - 26.83%. Above 1.20 MeV, the uncertainty in cross sections increases and reaches maximum value of 23.06% at the interval 18 - 20 MeV. This can be explained by the fact that all information about excitation function above 2 MeV was obtained from one source – theoretical model calculation carried out with the GNASH code.

The evaluated ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation function in the energy range 1.0E-8 – 0.001 MeV and 0.001 – 20 MeV is shown in Fig 4.3 and Fig 4.4, respectively. In both Figs., the equivalent data from the TENDL-2012, EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010 libraries and corrected experimental data are presented for comparison. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross section evaluated in this work in the neutron energies range 1.000E-05 eV – 2.619 keV was obtained from evaluated resonance parameters with following correction for the evaluated isomeric ratio $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$. In Fig 4.3 at the energies range 2.619 keV – 20 MeV are shown results of the statistical analysis of cross sections from described above data base. All evaluated data are given in the SAND-II 640-groups representation.

As can be seen in Fig. 4.3, the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation function evaluated in this work agrees well with cross section (8.1 ± 0.8) barn at 0.0253 eV, recommended by S.F. Mughabghab [4.17]. The same may be said about equivalent cross section data from the ROSFOND-2010 library. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross section at 0.0253 eV in the TENDL-2012 library is significantly overestimated, while it is underestimated by about 14% in the EAF-2010 library.

The systematic overestimation of the $^{113}In(n,\gamma)^{114m}In$ reaction excitation function in the TENDL-2012 library takes place at neutron energies from 1.000E-05 eV to 50 keV keV. In the EAF-2010 library the $^{113}In(n,\gamma)^{114m}In$ reaction cross section is systematically underestimated in the energy intervals 1.000E-11 – 3.5E-04 MeV and 4.5E-04 – 2.0 MeV.

Neutron energy (eV)	Cross section	Uncer-	Neutron energy (eV)	Cross	Uncer-
from to	(barns)	(%)	from to	(barns)	(%)
1.000E-05 - 1.000E-04 1.000E-04 - 1.000E-03 1.000E-03 - 1.000E-02	1.93279E+2 6.11499E+1 1.94226E+1	9.20 9.20 9.21	9.000E+03 - 1.000E+04 1.000E+04 - 1.500E+04 1.500E+04 - 2.000E+04	1.18748E+0 1.04901E+0 8.96599E-1	3.11 3.16 3.11
1.000E-02 - 2.000E-02	1.06871E+1	9.22	2.000E+04 - 3.000E+04	7.64868E-1	3.01
2.000E-02 - 3.000E-02 3.000E.02 - 5.600E.02	8.2/662E+0	9.23	3.000E+04 - 4.000E+04	6.63651E-1	3.24
5.600E-02 - 1.000E-02	4.95379E+0	9.32	4.000E+04 - 5.000E+04	5.64331E-1	3.46
1.000E-01 - 3.000E-01	3.55683E+0	9.49	6.000E+04 - 7.000E+04	5.22339E-1	3.49
3.000E-01 - 5.600E-01	3.09180E+0	9.86	7.000E+04 - 8.000E+04	4.80347E-1	3.48
5.600E-01 - 1.000E+00	3.97000E+0	10.48	8.000E+04 - 9.000E+04	4.40683E-1	3.38
1.000E+00 - 1.500E+00	1.17728E+1	11.23	9.000E+04 - 1.000E+05	4.09025E-1	3.36
1.500E+00 - 2.000E+00	3.41334E+2	14.86	1.000E+05 - 1.500E+05	3.47778E-1	3.29
2.000E+00 - 3.000E+00	9.68776E+0	11.18	1.500E+05 - 2.000E+05	2.88829E-1	3.18
3.000E+00 - 4.000E+00	1.24266E+0	8.29	2.000E+05 - 3.000E+05	2.48695E-1	3.02
4.000E+00 - 5.000E+00	3.30428E+1	16.98	3.000E+05 - 4.000E+05	2.26011E-1	2.90
5.000E+00 - 6.000E+00	1.37662E+0	11.19	4.000E+05 - 5.000E+05	2.16821E-1	2.93
6.000E+00 - 8.000E+00	3.81349E-1	11.41	5.000E+05 - 6.000E+05	2.17539E-1	3.03
8.000E+00 - 1.000E+01	4.06627E-1	16.55	6.000E+05 - 7.000E+05	2.22268E-1	3.13
1.000E+01 - 1.600E+01	1.05004E+2	30.32	7.000E+05 - 8.000E+05	2.28838E-1	3.19
1.600E+01 - 2.500E+01	4.75664E+1	9.06	8.000E+05 - 1.000E+06	2.42178E-1	3.21
2.500E+01 - 4.000E+01	3.89342E+1	12.85	1.000E+06 - 1.200E+06	2.59974E-1	3.43
4.000E+01 - 6.300E+01	5.91964E+0	12.34	1.200E+06 - 1.400E+06	2.70419E-1	4.22
6.300E+01 - 1.000E+02	1.57415E+1	9.94	1.400E+06 - 1.600E+06	2.62624E-1	5.35
1.000E+02 - 1.275E+02	1.55815E+1	9.49	1.600E+06 - 1.800E+06	2.37882E-1	6.40
1.2/5E+02 - 1.600E+02	1./5649E+0	1/.64	1.800E+06 - 2.000E+06	2.02044E-1	/.19
1.600E+02 - 1.800E+02	9.59548E-3	4.75	2.000E+06 - 2.500E+06	1.39800E-1	8.10
1.800E+02 - 2.000E+02	5.54291E-1	39.42 16.45	2.500E+06 - 3.000E+06	7.72814E-2	9.40
2.000E+02 - 2.550E+02	1.14217E+1 4.70179E+0	10.45	3.000E+00 - 3.300E+00	4.42906E-2	10.31
2.550E+02 - 2.050E+02	4.79176L+0 2.61858E+0	18.55	4.000E+06 = 4.000E+06	2.70755E-2	11.23
2.050E+02 = 3.150E+02 3 150E+02 4 000E+02	2.01838E+0	23 74	5.000E+06 - 5.000E+06	6 85625E 3	12.58
4.000E+02 = 4.000E+02	$1.73558E\pm0$	20.13	6.000E+06 = 7.000E+06	0.83023E-3 3 47385E-3	12.30
4.000E+02 = 3.230E+02 5.250E+02 = 7.200E+02	1.73338E+0 5.91258E+0	20.13	7.000E+06 - 8.000E+06	1 81447E-3	13.32
7.200E+02 = 1.200E+02	5.91238E+0	26.24	8 000E+06 - 9 000E+06	9.96093F-4	16.05
1,000E+03 - 1,400E+03	3.69763E+0	26.21	9.000E+06 - 1.000E+07	6 63539E-4	17 34
1400E+03 - 1950E+03	2.98317E+0	26.50	1.000E+07 - 1.100E+07	6 49130E-4	16.56
1.950E+03 - 2.619E+03	2.55561E+0	26.83	1.100E+07 - 1.200E+07	8 01033E-4	15.20
2.619E+03 - 3.000E+03	2.11843E+0	3.10	1.200E+07 - 1.300E+07	9.70856E-4	15.46
3.000E+03 - 4.000E+03	1.90232E+0	3.10	1.300E+07 - 1.400E+07	1.03816E-3	14.81
4.000E+03 - 5.000E+03	1.68853E+0	3.13	1.400E+07 - 1.500E+07	9.76878E-4	14.55
5.000E+03 - 6.000E+03	1.54023E+0	3.10	1.500E+07 - 1.600E+07	8.46062E-4	15.05
6.000E+03 - 8.000E+03	1.36987E+0	3.07	1.600E+07 - 1.800E+07	6.21981E-4	16.89
8.000E+03 - 9.000E+03	1.24633E+0	3.09	1.800E+07 - 2.000E+07	3.62934E-4	23.06

Table 4.1. Evaluated cross sections and their uncertainties for the 113 In $(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In reaction in the NEUTRON energy range from 1.000E-5 eV to 20 MeV.

The absolute values of neutron radiative capture cross section for ¹¹³In at energy range 2.619 keV – 20 MeV were obtained from two evaluated functions: the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation function and isomeric ratio $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In reaction cross section for the energy range 2.619 keV – 20 MeV was determined on the basis of the total capture cross section and evaluated ratio $\sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$.

The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In reaction excitation function evaluated by means of the described method is shown in Fig. 4.5 and Fig. 4.6 in the neutron energy range of 1.0E-8 – 0.001 MeV and 0.001 – 20 MeV, respectively. For comparison, the both Figs. display the corresponding data from the TENDL-2012, EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010 libraries and corrected experimental data. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In reaction excitation function evaluated in this work agrees well with cross section (3.9 ± 0.4) barn at 0.0253 eV recommended by S.F. Mughabghab [4.17]. This is also true for equivalent cross section data from ROSFOND-2010 library. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In reaction cross section at 0.0253 eV in the TENDL-2012 library is significantly underestimated while it is overestimated by about 14% in the EAF-2010 library. The ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In cross section measured by W. Poenitz at 64 keV [4.7] contradicts all evaluations results.



Fig. 4.1. Evaluated cross section ratio of the reactions 113 In(n, γ) 114g In and 113 In(n, γ) ${}^{114m+g}$ In in the energy range (1.0E-8 – 20) MeV in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.



Fig. 4.2. Evaluated cross section ratio of the reactions 113 In $(n,\gamma)^{114m}$ In and 113 In $(n,\gamma)^{114m+g}$ In in the energy range (1.0E-8-20) MeV in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.



Fig. 4.3. Evaluated 113 In(n, γ) 114m In reaction excitation function in the energy range (1.0E-8 – 1.0E-3) MeV, in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.



Fig. 4.4. Evaluated 113 In(n, γ) 114m In reaction excitation function in the energy range (0.001 – 20) MeV, in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.



Fig. 4.5. Evaluated 113 In(n, γ) 114g In reaction excitation function in the energy range (1.0E-8 – 1.0E-3) MeV, in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.



Fig. 4.6. Evaluated 113 In(n, γ) 114g In reaction excitation function in the energy range (0.001 – 20) MeV, in comparison with equivalent data from EAF-2010, ROSFOND-2010, TENDL-2012 and experimental data.

REFERENCES to Section 4

- [4.1] J. BLACHOT, Nuclear Data Sheets, **113** (2012) 515.
- [4.2] L. SEREN, H.N. FRIEDLANDER, S.H. TURKEL, *Thermal Neutron Activation Cross* Sections. Phys. Rev. 72 (1947) 888.
- [4.3] M. GOLDHABER, C. MUEHLHAUSE, Nuclear Isomers Produced by Slow Neutron Capture. Phys. Rev. 74 (1948) 1248.
- [4.4] R. BOOTH, W.P. BALL, M.H. Mac GREGOR, *Neutron Activation Cross Sections at 25 keV*. Phys. Rev. **112** (1958) 226.
- [4.5] B. KEISH, Yield Ratios of Isomers Produced by Neutron Activation. Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 769.
- [4.6] A.K. CHAUBEY, M.L. SEHGAL, *Neutron activation cross-sections at 24 keV*. Nucl. Phys. **66** (1965) 267.
- [4.7] W. POENITZ, *Measurements of Activation Cross Sections*. **Progress Report EANDC(E)**-66, p.6, February 1966.
- [4.8] D. CLARK, M. KIME, *Isomer Cross Section Rations in In-114 and In-116*. J. Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **12** (**1967**) **544** (GH2).
- [4.9] H.A. GRENCH, H.O. MENLOVE, ¹¹³In(n,γ)^{114m}In, ¹¹³In(n,n')^{113m}In, ¹¹⁵In(n,γ)^{116m,116g}In, and ¹¹⁵In(n,n')^{115m}In, Activation Cross Sections between 0.36 and 1.02 MeV, **Phys. Rev. 165** (1968) 1298-1311.
- [4.10] K.F. ALEXANDER, H.F. BRINCKMANN, C. HEISER, W. NEUBERT, Thermal Neutron Activation Cross Sections for the Isomeric States in Odd Indium Isotopes and the J-Selection Rule. Nucl. Phys. A112 (1968) 474-480.
- [4.11] F. De CORTE, A. SPEECKE, J. HOSTE, *Reactor Neutron Activation Analysis by a Triple Comparator Method.* J. Radioanalytical Chemistry **3** (1969) 205-215.
- [4.12] R.Van der LINDEN, F. De CORTE, P. Van Den WINKEL, J. HOSTE, A Compilation of Infinite Dilution Resonance Integrals, I. J. Radioanalytical Chemistry 11 (1972)133.
- [4.13] M. SRIRAMACHANDRA MURTY, K. SIDDAPPA, J. RAMA RAO, *Capture Cross Sections of Intermediate Neutrons*. J. Phys. Soc. Japan **35** (**1973**) **8**.
- [4.14] Yu.N. TROFIMOV, Neutron Radiation Capture Cross-Sections for Nuclei of Medium and Large Masses at the Neutron Energy 1 MeV. Proc. of All Union Conf. on Neutron Physics, Kiev, 14-18 September 1987, Vol.3, pp.331-334, Moscow 1988.
- [4.15] Yu.N. TROFIMOV, Activation cross-sections for 31 nuclei at the neutron energy 2 MeV. Voprocy Atomnoj Nauki i Tekhniki, Ser.: Yadernye Konstanty 4 (1987) 10-18.
- [4.16] A.G. BELOV, Yu.P. GANGRSKIY, N.N. KOLESNIKOV, V.G. LUKASHIK, Excitation of Isomers in ¹¹⁴In and ¹¹⁶In Nuclei in Crossing Reactions. J. Izvestija Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk, Ser. Fiz. 66 (2002) 396.
- [4.17] S.F. MUGHABGHAB, Atlas of Neutron Resonances: Resonance Parameters and Thermal Cross Sections (Z=1-100), Elsevier Science, Amsterdam 2006.
- [4.18] J.A. HARVEY, D.J. HUGHES, R.S. CARTER, V.E. PILCHER, Spacings and Neutron Widths of Nuclear Energy Levels. Phys. Rev. 99 (1955) 10.
- [4.19] I.A. RADKEVICH, V.V. VLADIMIRSKY, V.V. SOKOLOVSKY, The Total Neutron Cross-Sections of Pd, Os, Ir, Mo, In, I, Ta, Th and U238 in the Resonance Region. Atomnaya Energiya 1 (1956) 55 (in Russian).
- [4.20] G. HACKEN, H.I. LIOU, H.S. CAMARDA, W.J. MAKOFSKE, F. RAHN, J. RAINWATER, M. SLAGOWITZ, S. WYNCHANK, *Neutron resonance spectroscopy*. *XVI. 113In*, 115In. Phys. Rev. C10 (1974) 1910-1916.
- [4.21] V.A. ANUFRIEV, S.I. BABICH, S.M. MASYANOV, V.N. NEFEDOV, Measurement of the Total Neutron Cross Sections of the Radionuclides ¹¹²Sn and ¹¹³Sn. Atomnaya Energiya 63 (1987) 397 (in Russian).

- [4.22] C.M. FRANKLE, J.D. BOWMAN, B.E. CRAWFORD, P.P.J. DELHEIJ, C.R. GOULD, D.G. HAASE, J.N. KNUDSON, G.E. MITCHELL, S.S. PATTERSON, S.I. PENTTILA, Yu.P. POPOV, N.R. ROBERTSON, S.J. SEESTROM, E.I. SHARAPOV, Y.F. YEN, S.H. YOO, V.W. YUAN, X. ZU, *Neutron resonance spectroscopy of* ¹¹³*In and* ¹¹⁵*In*. Phys. Rev. C48 (1993) 1601-1608.
- [4.23] A.J. KONING, D. ROCHMAN, *Modern nuclear data evaluation with the TALYS code system*. Nucl. Data Sheets **113 (2012) 2841**.
- [4.24] L. KOESTER, K.KNOPF, Slow Neutron Scattering Lengths of the Isotopes of Silver and Indium. Zeitschrift fuer Physik A **297** (**1980**) **85**.
- [4.25] K.I. ZOLOTAREV, F.K. ZOLOTAREV, *The DSIGNG Code*, Private communication, IPPE, Obninsk, 2003.
- [4.26] A. TRCOV, COVEIG code for testing covariance matrixes prepared in the ENDF/B format. Private communication, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, July 2013.

CONCLUSION

The new evaluation of cross sections and their uncertainties was carried out for the ${}^{28}\text{Si}(n,p){}^{28}\text{Al}$, ${}^{31}\text{P}(n,p){}^{31}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)$ reactions.

The ²⁸Si(n,p)²⁸Al and ³¹P(n,p)³¹Si reaction excitation functions were evaluated in the energy range from threshold to 21 MeV and from threshold to 60 MeV, respectively. Radiative capture cross section for the minor isotope of indium was evaluated in a wide energy region 1.000E-05 eV – 20 MeV.

In the described new evaluation for the minor indium isotope the ¹¹³In(n,tot), ¹¹³In(n,el) and ¹¹³In(n, γ) reaction excitation functions are determined in the energy range 1.000E-05 eV – 2.619 keV by Reich-Moore resonance parameters, which were obtained for 684 resonances excited by neutrons with orbital moments L = 0 - 2.

Cross sections for the partial reactions ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114g}\text{In}$ and ${}^{113}\text{In}(n,\gamma)^{114m}\text{In}$ are given as evaluated cross section ratios $\sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $\sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$. The $R_1(E) = \sigma_g(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ and $R_2(E) = \sigma_m(E)/\sigma_{m+g}(E)$ values are given at 22 points in the neutron energies range from 1.000E-05 eV to 20 MeV and presented in the MF = 9 sub-file of the new data file for indium-113.

The averaged cross sections $\langle \sigma \rangle_{U-235}$ and $\langle \sigma \rangle_{Cf-252}$ calculated with the newly evaluated $^{28}Si(n,p)^{28}Al$ excitation function agree well with the corresponding experimental data measured in the ^{235}U thermal neutron induced and ^{252}Cf spontaneous fission neutron fields.

The ${}^{31}P(n,p){}^{31}Si$ integral cross section calculated with the newly evaluated excitation function also agrees well with the cross section measured in the ${}^{235}U$ thermal fission neutron spectrum.

The ¹¹³In(n,tot), ¹¹³In(n,el), ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114g}In and ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction cross sections reconstructed at 0.0253 eV from the new data file for indium-113 agree well with values recommended by S.F. Mughabghab. This is a first evaluation of the ¹¹³In(n, γ)^{114m}In reaction excitation function for the reactor dosimetry application.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the Nuclear Data Section of the International Atomic Energy Agency for the support of the project, and to Dr. Stanislav Simakov for his interest to this work, his useful discussions and advice.

Nuclear Data Section International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna International Centre, P.O. Box 100 A-1400 Vienna, Austria E-mail: nds.contact-point@iaea.org Fax: (43-1) 26007 Telephone: (43-1) 2600 21725 Web: http://www-nds.iaea.org